

## : : आयुक्त (अपील्स) का कार्यालय, वस्तु एवं सेवा करऔरकेन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क:: O/O THE COMMISSIONER (APPEALS), GST &CENTRAL EXCISE

द्वितीय तल, जी एस टी भवन / 2nd Floor, GST Bhavan रेस कोर्स रिंग रोड / Race Course Ring Road

राजकोट / Raikot - 360 001

Tele Fax No. 0281 - 2477952/2441142Email: commrapp13-cexamd@nic.in



DIN20230164SX0000419544

बपील / फाइलसंख्या/ ं Appeal /File No. GAPPL/COM/STP/2237/2022

मूल बादेश सं / O.I.O. No. 279:/SERVICE TAX/ DEMAND/2022-23 दिनांक/Date 5/30/2022

अपील आदेश मंख्या(Order-In-Appeal No.):

## BHV-EXCUS-000-APP-144-2022

आदेश का दिनांक / Date of Order: 28.12.2022

जारी करने की सारीख / Date of issue:05.01.2023

त्री शिव प्रताप सिंह, आयुक्त (अपील्स), राजकोट द्वारा पारित /

Passed by Shri Shiv Pratap Singh, Commissioner (Appeals), Rajkot.

ण अपर आयुक्त/ संयुक्त अभुक्त/ उपायुक्त/ सहायक आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुक्क/ सेवाकर/वस्तु एवंसेवाकर, राजकोट / जायनगर / गांधीबाम। द्वारा उपरत्तिखित जारी मूल आदेश से सुजित: /

Arising out of above mentioned OTO issued by Additional/Joint/Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise/ST / GST, Rajkot / Jamnagar / Gandhidham :

अपीलकर्ता कप्रतिवादी का नाम एवं पता /Name & Address of the Appellant & Respondent :-

M/s. Bharatbhai Khatabhai Gohil, 56 A, Nareshwar Society, Ghogha Road, Bhavnagar-364001

इस आवेश(अपीय) से व्यक्ति कोई व्यक्ति निर्मानितित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी / प्राधिकरण के समझ अपीन दायर कर सकता है।/ Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate authority in the following way.

(A) सीमा शुल्क , केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं मेवाकर अपीषीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम , 1944 की श्रारा 35B के अंतर्गत एवं वित्त अधिनियम , 1994 की श्रारा 86 के अंतर्गत निम्नलिखित अगह की जा सकती है।/

Appeal to Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal under Section 35B of CEA, 1944 / Under Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994 an appeal lies to:-

(i) वर्गीकरण मूल्यांकन से सम्बन्धित सभी मामसे सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुक्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की विशेष पीठ, वेस्ट ब्लॉक नं 2, अर॰ के॰ पुरम, नई दिल्ली, को की जानी चाहिए।/

The special bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal of West Block No. 2, R.K. Puram, New Delhi in all matters relating to classification and valuation.

(ii) उपरोक्त परिच्छेद १(a) में बताए गए अपीनों के अनावा शेष सभी अपीनें सीमा शुल्क, नैत्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीनीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीटिका, ,दितीय तल, बहुमाली मदन असावा अहमदाबाद- ३८००१६को की जानी चाहिए।/

To the West regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at, 2nd Floor, Bhaumali Bhawan, Asarwa Ahmedabad-380016in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para- 1(a) above

(iii) अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के सुपक्ष अपीम प्रस्तुत करने के लिए केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुन्क (अपील) नियमावसी, 2001, के नियम 6 के अंतर्गन निर्धारित किए गये प्रपन्न EA-3 को चार प्रतियों में दर्ज किया जाना चाहिए। इनमें से कम से रहि के साथ, जहां उत्पाद शुन्क की माग, अधाज की माग और नगाया गया जुमीना, क्याए 5 लाख या उसने कम्,5 लाख क्याए या 50 लाख क्याए में अधिक है तो क्याचा 1,000/- क्या क्याचा 10,000/- क्या का निर्धारित जमा शुन्क की प्रति संनप्त करें। निर्धारित शुन्क का मृगतान, संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा के सहाय का जिल्हा के किया जाना चाहिए। संबंधित हाफ का भुगतान, वैक की उस शाखा में होना चाहिए जहां मंबितन अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा स्थित है। न्यान आदेश (स्टे ऑर्डर) के लिए आवेदन-पत्र के साथ 500/- क्याए का निर्धारित शुन्क जमा करना होगा।/

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 / as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise (Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 1,000/- Rs.5000/-, Rs.10,000/- where amount of dutydemand/interest/penalty/refund is upto 5 Lac., 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asst, Registrar of branch of any nominated public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominated public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated. Application made for grant of stay shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 500/-

खपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के समझ खपील, वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86(1) के अंतर्गत सेवाकर नियमवाली, 1994, के नियम 9(1) के सहत नियमित प्रमत् S.T.-5 में चार प्रतियों में की जा सकेगी एवं उसके ताब जिस आदेश के विकट अपील की गयी हो, उसकी प्रति साथ में संस्था करें (उनमें से एक प्रति प्रमाणित होनी चाहिए) और इनमें से कम से कम एक प्रति के साथ, जहां सेवाकर की मौग , ज्याज की मौग और समाथा गया जुर्माना, उपए 5 लाख उपर उसके कम 5, काख रुपए 150 साथ उपर उसके का स्वाच 1,000/- रुपये का किसी प्रति जुपा प्राप्त के प्रति के साथ प्रति के साथ एक के प्रति के साथ एक की प्रति के साथ के प्रति के साथ के प्रति के साथ के साथ के साथ के साथ के प्रति के साथ के प्रति के साथ के प्रति के साथ में किसी भी साविजन के सेव के दोरा जारी रखांकित विक होरेट द्वारा किया जाना चाहिए। संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा स्थित है। स्थान आदेश (स्ट ऑडर) के लिए आवेदन-पन्न के साथ 500/- रुपए का निर्धारित सुनक जमा करना होगा।/

The appeal under sub section (1) of Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994, to the Appellate Tribunal Shall be filed in quadruplicate in Form S.T.5 as prescribed under Rule 9(1) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994, and Shall be accompanied by a copy of the order appealed against (one of which shall be certified copy) and should be accompanied by a fees of Rs. 1000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied of the S. Lakhs or less, Rs.5000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than five lakhs but not exceeding Rs. Fifty Lakhs, Rs.10,000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than five lakhs rupees, in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of the Assistant Registrar of the bench of nominated Public Sector Bank of the place where the bench of Tribunal is situated. / Application made for grant of stay shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.500/-.

.

B)

(1)

(ii)

. भारत सरकार कोपनरीक्षण अवेदन : Revision application to Government of India: इस आदेश की पुनरीक्षणयाचिका निम्नालिखित सामयों में, केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुक्क अभिनियम,1994 की झारा 35EE के प्रथमपूरंतुक के अंतर्गतअवर सचिव, इस आदेश की पुनरीक्षणयाचिका निम्नालिखत सामयों में, केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुक्क अभिनियम,1994 की झारा 35EE के प्रथमपूरंतुक के अंतर्गतअवर सचिव, इस आदेश की पुनरीक्षण आवेदन इकाई, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन वीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001, को किया (C) भारत सरकार, पुनरीक्षण आवेदन देकाई, वित्त संज्ञालय, राजस्व विभाग, चार्चा माजल, जावन वार संवय, तथय वार, तथय वार, वित्त संज्ञालय, राजस्व विभाग, चार्चा माजल, जावन वार, वित्त स्थाजित, Revision Application Unit, जाना चाहिए। / A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Government of India, Revision Application Unit, A revision application Unit, and The Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-Ministry of Finance, Parliamen

यदि मान के किसी नुक्सान के मामसे में, जहां नुक्सान किसी मान को किसी कारवाने से भंडार गृह के पारणमन के बौरान या किसी अन्य कारवाने था फिर किसी एक मंडार गृह से दूसरे भंडार गृह पारणमन के बौरान, किसी कारवाने था किसी एक मंडार गृह से वात के नुक्सान के मानवें में/ शंडार गृह में मान के नुक्सान के मानवें में/ In case of any loss of goods, where the loss occurs in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse (i)

भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या क्षेत्र को निर्यात कर रहे माल के विनिर्माण में प्रयुक्त कड़े माल पर मरी गई केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुक्त के छुट (रिवेट) के मामले में, जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या क्षेत्र को निर्यात की गयी है। / In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India. (ii)

यदि उत्पाद शुस्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर, नेपाल या भुटान को गाल निर्यात किया गया है। / In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty. aii

सुनिश्चित उत्पाद के उत्पादन शुक्क के भगतान के लिए जो काटी केटीट इस अधिनियम एवं इसके विशिष प्रावधानों के तहत मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जी आयुक्त (अपीन) के द्वारा विश्व अदिनियम (क॰ 2),1998 की धारा 109 के द्वारा नियत की गई तारीच अथवा समायाविधि पर या बाद में पारित किए गए हैं।/ Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec. 109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998. (iv)

उपरोक्त आवेदन की वो प्रतियां प्रपत्र संक्या EA-8 में, जो की केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुक्त (अपील) नियमावकी, 2001, के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट है, इस आदेश के तो प्रतियां प्रपत्र की जानी काहिए। साथ आदेश के तो प्रतियां संचय्र की जानी काहिए। साथ आदेश के तो प्रतियां संचय्र की जानी काहिए। साथ आदेश के तो प्रतियां संचय्र की जानी काहिए। साथ और के अपनि शुक्त अधिनियम, 1944 की आरो 35-EE के तहत निवारित शुक्त की अवायगी के साक्ष्य के तौर पर TR-6 की प्रति संचय्र की जानी काहिए। / The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appelals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-accompanies and the section of the CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account. (v)

पुनरीक्षण आवेदन के साथ निम्निवित निर्धारित शुरूक की अदायगी की जानी चाहिए। पुनरीक्षण आवेदन के साथ निम्निवित निर्धारित शुरूक की अदायगी की जानी चाहिए। वहाँ संलग्न एक साख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/- का भुगतान किया जाए और यदि संलग्न रकम एक साख रूपये से ज्यादा हो तो रूपये 1000 -/ का भुगतान किया जाए। The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 200/- where the amount involved in Rupees One Lac or less and Rs. 1000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac. (vi)

यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश है तो प्रत्येक मूल आदेश के लिए शुक्त का भुगतान, उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिये। इस तथ्य के होते हुए सी की लिखा पढ़ी कार्य से स्वान के लिए यथास्थित अपीक्षिय नेपाबिकरण को एक अपीक्ष या केहीय सरकार को एक अवेदन किया जाता है। / In case, if the order covers various umbers of order- in Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner, notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Clovt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lakh fee of Rs. 100/- for each. (D)

वधासंशोधित न्यागामय शुल्क अधिनियम, 1975, के अनुसूची-l के अनुसार मूल आदेश एवं स्थान आदेश की प्रति पर निर्धारित 6.50 रूपये का त्यायामय शुक्क टिकिट मगी होना चाहिए। / One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjudicating authority shall bear a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 as prescribed under Schedule-I in terms of the Court Fee Act, 1975, as amended. Œ

सीमा शुरूक, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुरूक एवं सेवाकर अपीनीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्य विधि) नियमावसी, 1982 में वर्णित एवं अन्य संबन्धित मामलों को सम्मितित करने वाले नियमों की और भी ध्याद आकर्षित किया चाला है। Attention is also invited to the rules covering these and other related matters contained in the Customs, Excise and Service Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982. (F)

उच्च अपीक्षीय प्राधिकारी को अपीक्ष दाखिल करने से संबंधित व्यापक, विस्तृत और नवीनतम प्रावधानों के लिए, अपीक्षार्थी विभागीय वेबसाइट www.chec.gov.in को देव संकते हैं। / For the elaborate, detailed and latest provisions relating to filing of appeal to the higher appellate authority, the appellant may refer to the Departmental website www.chec.gov.in. (G)



## :: अपील आदेश / ORDER-IN-APPEAL ::

"Appellant") has filed the present Appeal against Order-in-Original No. 279/SERVICE TAX/DEMAND/2022-23 dated 30.05.2022 (hereinafter referred to as 'impugned order') passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Central GST, Bhavnagar-1 (hereinafter referred to as 'adjudicating authority').

- 2. The facts of the case, in brief, are that the Income Tax Department shared the third party information/ data based on Income Tax Returns/ 26AS for the Financial year 2015-16 of the Appellant. Letter dated 10.02.2021 was issued by the Jurisdictional Range Superintendent requesting the Appellant to provide information/documents viz. copies of i.T. Returns, Form 26AS, Balance Sheet (including P&L Account), VAT/ Sales Tax Returns, Annual Bank Statement, Contracts/ Agreements entered with the persons to whom services provided etc. for the Financial year 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17 & 2017-18 (upto June-2017). However, no reply was received from the Appellant.
- 3. In absence of data/information, a show cause notice dated 23.03.2021 was issued to the Appellant, demanding Service Tax and cess to the tune of Rs. 3,22,754/- under Section\*73(1) of the Finance Act, 1994 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act') alongwith interest under Section 75 of the Act. It was also proposed to impose penalties under Section 77(1)(a), 78, 77(2) and 77(1)(c) of the Act upon the Appellant.
- 4. The adjudicating authority vide the impugned order confirmed Service Tax demand of Rs. 3,22,754/- under Section 73(1) along with interest under Section 75 of the Act, imposed penalty of Rs. 3,22,754/- under Section 78 of the Act, imposed penalty of Rs. 5,000/- each under Section 77(1)(a), 77(2) and 77(1)(c) of the Act.
- 5. Being aggrieved, the Appellant has preferred the present appeal on ground that he is qualified doctor possessing Bachelor of Homoeopathic Medicine & Surgery and provides healthcare service in the name and style of Sitaram General Hospital. He is registered with Council of Homoeopathic System of Medicine, Gujarat State vide Certificate No. G-6419 dated 20.09.2003. The healthcare service is covered under mega exemption Notification No. 25/2012-Service Tax dated 20.06,2012.
- 6. The matter was posted for hearing on 28.12.2022. The Appellant has submitted paper book on 28.12.2022 and requested to adjudicate the appeal on the basis of written submission filed with documents.

I have carefully gone through the case records, impugned order and memorandum filed by the Appellant. I find that the issue to be decided

Rij

Page 3 of 6

to Service Tax or otherwise.

- or nature of services provided by the Appellant as the same had been issued only on the basis of data received from the Income Tax department and the Adjudicating Authority has confirmed the demand of Service Tax vide impugned order. It has been held by the Adjudicating Authority that the service provided by the Appellant is a taxable service in absence of information/documents which were neither submitted by the Appellant nor they had filed any defense submission and not appeared for personal hearing also.
- 9. The Appellant has contended that he is registered medical practitioner serving in the medical profession as Bachelor of Homoeopathic Medicine & Surgery (BHMS) and provides healthcare service at his medical establishment as Sitaram General Hospital, Bhavnagar. He further contested that being a Doctor engaged in Medical profession his case falls under Negative list as per Section finance Act, 1994, and referred Notification No.25/2012-Service Tax dated 20.06.2012, according to which services provided by medical professional were not liable to Service Tax. Now, it is to be examined whether the services provided by the her will be covered under the Negative list under Section 66D of Finance Act, 1994 or Notification No.25/2012-Service Tax dated 20.06.2012.
- 10. In the above context, I find that Health care services by a clinical establishment, an authorized medical practitioner or para-medics are exempted under Notification No.25/2012-S.T. dated 20-06-2012. The relevant portion of the Notification No.25/2012-S.T. dated 20-06-2012 is reproduced as under:

"In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 93 of the Finance Act, 1994 (32 of 1994) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act) and in supersession of notification number 12/2012-Service Tax, dated the 17th March, 2012, published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (i) vide number G.S.R. 210(E), dated the 17th March, 2012, the Central Government, being satisfied that it is necessary in the public interest so to do, hereby exempts the following taxable services from the whole of the service tax leviable thereon under section 66B of the said Act, namely:-

- 1. -----;
- 2. Health care services by a clinical establishment, an authorised medical practitioner or para-medics;"
- 11. I find that "Health care services", "a clinical establishment" and "an authorised medical practitioner" are defined at para 2 (t), (j) and (d) respectively of the Notification No.25/2012-S.T. dated 20-06-2012 as under:



Air

Page 4 of 6

(t) "health care services" means any service by way of diagnosis or treatment or care for illness, injury, deformity, abnormality or pregnancy in any recognised system of medicines in India and

from a clinical establishment, but does not include hair transplant or cosmetic or plastic surgery, except when undertaken to restore or to reconstruct anatomy or functions of body affected due to congenital defects, developmental abnormalities, injury or trauma;

- (j) "clinical establishment" means a hospital, nursing home, clinic, sanatorium or any other institution by, whatever name called, that offers services or facilities requiring diagnosis or treatment or care for illness, injury, deformity, abnormality or pregnancy in any recognized system of medicines in India, or a place established as an independent entity or a part of an establishment to carry out diagnostic or investigative services of diseases;
- (d) "authorized medical practitioner" means a medical practitioner registered with any of the councils of the recognized system of medicines established or recognized by law in India and includes a medical professional having the requisite qualification to practice in any recognized system of medicines in India as per any law for the time being in force;
- 12. On going through the degree certificate and registration certificate, I find that the Appellant is a medical practitioner registered with the Council of Homoeopathic System of Medicine, Gujarat State having Registration Certificate No. G-6419 dated 20.09.2003 and was practicing at Sitaram General Hospital, Bhavnagar during the relevant period, which was covered under the definition of clinical establishment as per para 2(j) of the Exemption Notification. Further, the services provided by the Appellant as a B.H.M.S., are covered under the Health care services. Therefore, the services provided by the Appellant as an authorized medical practitioner during the relevant period were not taxable and were exempted under the above said Notification No.25/2012-S.T. dated 20-06-2012. Accordingly, I find that demand of Service Tax on the said services provided by the Appellant is not sustainable.
- 13. In view of discussions and finding, I set aside the impugned order and allow the appeal filed by the Appellant.
- 14. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है ।

14. The appeal filed by Appellant is disposed off as above. सत्यापित / Attested

Dans

Superintendent

(शिव प्रताप सिंह)/(Shiv Pratap Singh),

Central GST (Appeals **आयुक्त (अपील)/Commissioner (Appeals)** Raikot

By R.P.A.D.

To, Dr. Bharatbhai Khatabhai Gohil, 56-Nareshwar Society, Ghogha Mad, Bhavnagar-364001. सेवा में,

डॉ. अरतभाई खताभाई गोहील, 56-a, नारेश्वर सोसाइटी, घोघा रोड, भावनगर-३६४००१ ।

## प्रतिशिप :-

- 1) मुख्य आयुक्त, वस्तु एवं सेवा कर एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पादं शुल्क, गुजरात क्षेत्र, अहमदाबाँदः ् को जानकारी हेतु।
- 2) आयुक्त, वस्तु एवं सेवा कर एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, भावनगर आयुक्तालय,
- 3) अपर आयुक्त, वस्तु एवं सेवा कर एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, भावनगर को आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु।
- 4) सहायक आयुक्त, वस्तु एवं सेवा कर एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, भावनगर-1 मण्डल को आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु।



