



:: आयुक्त (अपील्स) का कार्यालय, वस्तु एवं सेवा कर और केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क ::
O/O THE COMMISSIONER (APPEALS), GST & CENTRAL EXCISE

द्वितीय तल, जी एस टी भवन / 2nd Floor, GST Bhavan

रेस कोर्स रिंग रोड / Race Course Ring Road

राजकोट / Rajkot - 360 001

Tele Fax No. 0281 - 2477952/2441142 Email: commrappl3-cexamd@nic.in



सत्यमेव जयते

DIN20230164SX000000EECF

क	अपील / फाइल संख्या/ Appeal / File No. GAPPL/COM/STP/1655/2022	मूल आवेश नं / O.I.O. No. 797/SERVICE TAX/DEMAND/2021-22	दिनांक/Date 3/23/2022
---	---	--	--------------------------

अपील आवेश संख्या (Order-In-Appeal No.):

BHV-EXCUS-000-APP-142-2022

आदेश का दिनांक /

Date of Order:

28.12.2022

जारी करने की तारीख /

Date of issue: 05.01.2023

श्री शिव प्रताप सिंह, आयुक्त (अपील्स), राजकोट द्वारा पारित /

Passed by Shri Shiv Pratap Singh, Commissioner (Appeals), Rajkot.

ग अपर आयुक्त/ संयुक्त आयुक्त/ उपायुक्त/ सहायक आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क/ सेवाकर/ वस्तु एवं सेवाकर, राजकोट / जामनगर / गांधीधाम द्वारा उपरलिखित जारी मूल आवेश से मूलित: /

Arising out of above mentioned OIO issued by Additional/Joint/Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise/ST / GST, Rajkot / Jamnagar / Gandhidham :

घ अपीलकर्ता & प्रतिवादी का नाम एवं पता / Name & Address of the Appellant & Respondent :-

M/s. Manishbhai Jamnadas Harsora,, Plot No. 2370, Old Bhagvati Society, Kallyabid, Bhavnagar-364002

इस आदेश (अपील) से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति निम्नलिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी / प्राधिकरण के समक्ष अपील दायर कर सकता है। / Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate authority in the following way.

(A) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35B के अंतर्गत एवं वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 के अंतर्गत निम्नलिखित जगह की जा सकती है। /

Appeal to Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal under Section 35B of CEA, 1944 / Under Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994 an appeal lies to:-

(i) वर्गीकरण, मूल्यंकन से सम्बन्धित सभी मामलों में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की विशेष पीठ, वेस्ट ब्लॉक नं 2, आर.के. पुरम, नई दिल्ली, को की जानी चाहिए। /

The special bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal of West Block No. 2, R.K. Puram, New Delhi in all matters relating to classification and valuation.

(ii) उपरोक्त परिच्छेद 1(a) में बताए गए अपीलों के अलावा शेष सभी अपीलों में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, द्वितीय तल, बहुमाली भवन असावा अहमदाबाद- ३८००१६ को की जानी चाहिए। /

To the West regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at, 2nd Floor, Bhaumali Bhawan, Asarwa Ahmedabad-380016 in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para- 1(a) above

(iii) अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष अपील प्रस्तुत करने के लिए केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001, के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत निश्चित किए गये प्रथम EA-3 को चार प्रतियों में दर्ज किया जाना चाहिए। इनमें से कम से कम एक प्रति के साथ, जहां उत्पाद शुल्क की मांग, न्याय की मांग और लगाया गया जुर्माना, रुपए 5 लाख या उससे कम, 5 लाख रुपए या 50 लाख रुपए तक अथवा 50 लाख रुपए से अधिक है तो क्रमशः 1,000/- रुपये, 5,000/- रुपये अथवा 10,000/- रुपये का निर्धारित जमा शुल्क की प्रति संलग्न करे। निर्धारित शुल्क का भुगतान, संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा के सहायक रजिस्ट्रार के नाम से किसी भी सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के बैंक द्वारा जारी रेखांकित बैंक ड्राफ्ट द्वारा किया जाना चाहिए। संबंधित ड्राफ्ट का भुगतान, बैंक की उस शाखा में होना चाहिए जहां संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा स्थित है। स्थान आवेश (स्टे ऑर्डर) के लिए आवेदन-पत्र के साथ 500/- रुपए का निर्धारित शुल्क जमा करना होगा। /

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 / as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise (Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 1,000/- Rs.5000/-, Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty demand/interest/penalty/refund is upto 5 Lac., 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asst. Registrar of branch of any nominated public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominated public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated. Application made for grant of stay shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 500/-

अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष अपील, वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86(1) के अंतर्गत सेवाकर नियमावली, 1994, के नियम 9(1) के तहत निर्धारित प्रथम S.T.-5 में चार प्रतियों में की जा सकती है एवं उसके साथ जिस आवेश के विरुद्ध अपील की गयी हो, उसकी प्रति साथ में संलग्न करें (उनमें से एक प्रति प्रमाणित होनी चाहिए) और इनमें से कम से कम एक प्रति के साथ, जहां सेवाकर की मांग, न्याय की मांग और लगाया गया जुर्माना, रुपए 5 लाख या उससे कम, 5 लाख रुपए या 50 लाख रुपए तक अथवा 50 लाख रुपए से अधिक है तो क्रमशः 1,000/- रुपये, 5,000/- रुपये अथवा 10,000/- रुपये का निर्धारित जमा शुल्क की प्रति संलग्न करे। निर्धारित शुल्क का भुगतान, संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा के सहायक रजिस्ट्रार के नाम से किसी भी सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के बैंक द्वारा जारी रेखांकित बैंक ड्राफ्ट द्वारा किया जाना चाहिए। संबंधित ड्राफ्ट का भुगतान, बैंक की उस शाखा में होना चाहिए जहां संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा स्थित है। स्थान आवेश (स्टे ऑर्डर) के लिए आवेदन-पत्र के साथ 500/- रुपए का निर्धारित शुल्क जमा करना होगा। /

The appeal under sub section (1) of Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994, to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in Form S.T.5 as prescribed under Rule 9(1) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994, and shall be accompanied by a copy of the order appealed against (one of which shall be certified copy) and should be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 1000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than five lakhs or less, Rs.5000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than fifty Lakhs rupees, in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of the Assistant Registrar of the bench of nominated Public Sector Bank of the place where the bench of Tribunal is situated. / Application made for grant of stay shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.500/-



- (i) वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 की उप-धाराओं (2) एवं (2A) के अंतर्गत वर्ज की गयी अपील, सेवाकर नियमवाली, 1994, के नियम 9(2) एवं 9(2A) के तहत निर्धारित प्रपत्र S.T.-7 में की जा सकती एवं उसके साथ आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अथवा आयुक्त (अपील), केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क द्वारा पारित आवेदों की प्रतियाँ संलग्न करें (उनमें से एक प्रति प्रमाणित होनी चाहिए) और आयुक्त द्वारा संश्लेषक आयुक्त अथवा उत्पादक, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क/ सेवाकर, को अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को आवेदन दर्ज करने का निर्देश देने वाले आवेदों की प्रति भी साथ में संलग्न करनी होगी। / The appeal under sub section (2) and (2A) of the section 86 the Finance Act 1994, shall be filed in Form ST.7 as prescribed under Rule 9 (2) & 9(2A) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994 and shall be accompanied by a copy of order of Commissioner Central Excise or Commissioner, Central Excise (Appeals) (one of which shall be a certified copy) and copy of the order passed by the Commissioner authorizing the Assistant Commissioner or Deputy Commissioner of Central Excise/ Service Tax to file the appeal before the Appellate Tribunal.
- (ii) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय प्राधिकरण (सेट्टल) के प्रति अपीलों के मामले में केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम 1944 की धारा 35एफ के अंतर्गत, जो की वित्तीय अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 83 के अंतर्गत सेवाकर को भी लागू की गई है, इस आवेदों के प्रति अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में अपील करते समय उत्पाद शुल्क/सेवा कर मांग के 10 प्रतिशत (10%), जब मांग एवं जुर्माना विवादित है, या जुर्माना, जब केवल जुर्माना विवादित है, का भुगतान किया जाए, बशर्ते कि इस धारा के अंतर्गत जमा कि जाने वाली अपेक्षित देय राशि बस करोड़ रुपये से अधिक न हो।
केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर के अंतर्गत "मांग किए गए शुल्क" में निम्न शामिल है
(i) धारा 11 डी के अंतर्गत रकम
(ii) सेनवेट जमा की गयी राशि
(iii) सेनवेट जमा नियमावली के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत देय रकम
- बशर्ते यह कि इस धारा के प्रावधान विधीय (सं-2) अधिनियम 2014 के अंतर्गत से पूर्व किसी अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष विचाराधीन स्थान अर्थात् अपील को लागू नहीं होगी।
For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, under Section 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944 which is also made applicable to Service Tax under Section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute, provided the amount of pre-deposit payable would be subject to a ceiling of Rs. 10 Crores,
Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty Demanded" shall include :
(i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
(ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
(iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules
- provided further that the provisions of this Section shall not apply to the stay application and appeals pending before any appellate authority prior to the commencement of the Finance (No.2) Act, 2014.
- (C) भारत सरकार कोपनरीक्षण आवेदन :
Revision application to Government of India:
इस आवेदों की पुनरीक्षणयाचिका निम्नलिखित मामलों में, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 35EE के प्रथमपारंतुक के अंतर्गतअवर सचिव, भारत सरकार, पुनरीक्षण आवेदन इकाई, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौकी मंदिर, जीवन शीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001, को किया जाना चाहिए। / A revision application lies to the Under Secretary to the Government of India, Revision Application Unit, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35B ibid:
(i) यदि माल के किसी नुकसान के मामले में, जहां नुकसान किसी मात्र को किसी कारखाने से भंडार गृह के पारगमन के दौरान या किसी अन्य कारखाने या फिर किसी एक भंडार गृह से दूसरे भंडार गृह पारगमन के दौरान, या किसी भंडार गृह में या भंडारण में माल के प्रसंस्करण के दौरान, किसी कारखाने या किसी भंडार गृह में माल के नुकसान के मामले में। / In case of any loss of goods, where the loss occurs in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse
(ii) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या क्षेत्र को निर्यात कर रहे माल के विनिर्माण में प्रयुक्त कच्चे माल पर भरी गई केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क के छूट (रिबेट) के मामले में, जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या क्षेत्र को निर्यात की गयी है। / In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
(iii) यदि उत्पाद शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर, नेपाल या भूटान को माल निर्यात किया गया है। / In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.
(iv) सुनिश्चित उत्पाद के उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो अट्टी केडीटी इस अधिनियम एवं इसके विभिन्न प्रावधानों के तहत मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आवेदों को आयुक्त (अपील) के द्वारा वित्त अधिनियम (नं-2), 1998 की धारा 109 के द्वारा नियत की गई शर्तों अथवा समायाचिका पर या बाद में पारित किए गए हैं। / Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec. 109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.
(v) उपरोक्त आवेदन की दो प्रतियाँ प्रपत्र संख्या EA-8 में, जो की केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001, के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट है, इस आवेदन के संलग्न के 3 माह के अंतर्गत की जानी चाहिए। उपरोक्त आवेदन के साथ मूल आवेदन व अपील आवेदन की दो प्रतियाँ संलग्न की जानी चाहिए साथ ही केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-EE के तहत निर्धारित शुल्क की अदायगी के साथ-साथ के तौर पर TR-6 की प्रति संलग्न की जानी चाहिए। / The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.
(vi) पुनरीक्षण आवेदन के साथ निम्नलिखित निर्धारित शुल्क की अदायगी की जानी चाहिए। जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रुपये या उससे कम हो तो रुपये 200/- का भुगतान किया जाए और यदि संलग्न रकम एक लाख रुपये से ज्यादा हो तो रुपये 1000 -/ का भुगतान किया जाए। / The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 200/- where the amount involved in Rupees One Lac or less and Rs. 1000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.
(D) यदि इस आवेदन में कई मूल आवेदों का समावेश है तो प्रत्येक मूल आवेदन के लिए शुल्क का भुगतान, उपरोक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए। इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी की बिना पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता है। / In case if the order covers various numbers of order- in Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner, notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filed to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lakh fee of Rs. 100/- for each.
(E) यथासंशोधित न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम, 1975, के अनुसूची-1 के अनुसार मूल आवेदन एवं स्थान आवेदन की प्रति पर निर्धारित 6.50 रुपये का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए। / One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjudicating authority shall bear a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 as prescribed under Schedule-I in terms of the Court Fee Act, 1975, as amended.
(F) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्य विधि) नियमावली, 1982 में वर्णित एवं अन्य संबंधित मामलों को सम्बन्धित करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है। / Attention is also invited to the rules covering these and other related matters contained in the Customs, Excise and Service Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.
(G) उच्च अपीलीय प्राधिकारी को अपील दाखिल करने से संबंधित व्यापक, विस्तृत और नवीनतम प्रावधानों के लिए, अपीलार्थी विभागीय वेबसाइट www.cbec.gov.in को देख सकते हैं। / For the elaborate, detailed and latest provisions relating to filing of appeal to the higher appellate authority, the appellant may refer to the Departmental website www.cbec.gov.in.



:: अपील आदेश / ORDER-IN-APPEAL ::

~~M/s. Mamashahar Sammadia Hardware Bhavnagar~~ (hereinafter referred to as "Appellant") has filed the present Appeal against Order-in-Original No. 797/SERVICE TAX/DEMAND/2021-22 dated 23.03.2022 (hereinafter referred to as 'impugned order') passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Central GST Division, Bhavnagar-1 (hereinafter referred to as 'adjudicating authority').

2. The facts of the case, in brief, are that the Income Tax Department shared the third party information/ data based on Income Tax Returns/ 26AS of the Appellant for the year 2014-15. Letter dated 15.07.2021 was issued by the Jurisdictional Range Superintendent requesting the Appellant to provide information/documents viz. copies of I.T. Returns, Form 26AS, Balance Sheet (including P&L Account), VAT/ Sales Tax Returns, Annual Bank Statement, Contracts/ Agreements entered with the persons to whom services provided etc. for the Financial year 2014-15 to 2017-18 (upto June-2017). However, no reply was received from the Appellant.

3. In absence of data/information, a Show Cause Notice dated 10.09.2020 was issued to the Appellant demanding Service Tax including cess to the tune of Rs. 1,283/- under Section 73(1) of the Act by invoking extended period of 5 years alongwith interest under Section 75 of the Act. It was also proposed to impose penalties under Section 77(1)(a), 78, 77(2) and 77(1)(c) of the Act upon the Appellant.

4. The above Show Cause Notice was adjudicated by the adjudicating authority vide the impugned order confirming Service Tax demand of Rs. 1,283/- under Section 73(1) along with interest under Section 75 of the Act and imposing penalty of Rs. 1,283/- under Section 78 and penalty of Rs. 5,000/- each under Section 77(1)(a), 77(2) and 77(1)(c) of the Act.

5. Being aggrieved, the Appellant has preferred the present appeal on various grounds as stated below:

(i) The Show Cause Notice is hit by limitation as there was fraud, collusion or wilful misstatement. Further the Show Cause Notice for the period 2014-15, the due date of filing the return for first half would be 25.10.2014 and the cut off date would be 25.10.2019, whereas the Show Cause Notice is issued on 10.09.2020 which is time barred. They were under bonafide belief that activities of fabrication, supply and installation of door and window frame made of aluminium meant for fitment in building which is covered under definition of works contract. That in works contract, Service Tax is payable on 40% of the total value which is below threshold limit. They were not required to assess and register under the Service Tax and they relied on the case of Apex Electricals (P)



Handwritten signature

Ltd. V UOI-1992 (61) ELT 413 (Guj.), Pahwa Chemicals P. Ltd. V. CCE Delhi- 2005 (189) ELT 257 (S.C.), NRC Ltd. Vs. CCE, Thane-I- 2007 (5) STR 308 (Tri.-Mum), Vir Teja Roadlines Vs. Commissioner of C.Ex. Ahmedabad- 2012 (27) STR 290 (Tri.-Ahmd.). That he is entitled to avail benefit of threshold limit of Rs. 10 Lakhs as per Notification No. 33/2012 dated 20.06.2012 since the abated value is below Rs. 10 Lakh.

6. Personal hearing in the matter was held on 23.12.2022. CA Pramod A Shah appeared for personal hearing and reiterated the submissions in the appeal. He submitted that the appellant is rendering works contract service for fitting of window frames etc. and was eligible for rebate of 60%, after which his taxable value is below the threshold limit of Rs. 10 lakhs. However, Adjudicating Authority has passed order ex-parte without considering the eligible rebate. He requested to set aside the Order-In-Original and allow the appeal.

7. I have carefully gone through the case records, impugned order and appeal memorandum filed by the Appellant. I find that the issue to be decided in the case on hand is whether the activity carried out by the appellant is liable to Service Tax or otherwise.

8. I find that Show Cause Notice had been issued without verifying any data or nature of services provided by the Appellant as the same had been issued only on the basis of data received from the Income Tax department and the Adjudicating Authority has confirmed the demand of Service Tax vide impugned order in absence of documentary evidences.

9. It is the contention of the Appellant that they are rendering works contract service for fitting of window frames etc. and is eligible for payment of Service Tax on 50% of value being service provider. On verification of copies of bills submitted by the Appellant, it is observed that they have provided fitting of aluminum section windows and door with materials to M/s. Modi Organisers, Bhavnagar. Therefore, I find that they are eligible for abatement as envisaged under Notification No. 30/2012-Service Tax dated 20.06.2012. The relevant portion is as under:

Notification No. 30/2012-Service Tax

New Delhi, the 20th June, 2012

"GSR.....(E).—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 68 of the Finance Act, 1994 (32 of 1994), and in supersession of (i) notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue), No. 15/2012-Service Tax, dated the 17th March, 2012, published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (i), vide number G.S.R 213(E), dated the 17th March, 2012, and (ii) notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue), No. 36/2004-Service Tax, dated the 31st December, 2004, published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (i), vide number G.S.R 849 (E), dated the 31st December, 2004, except as respects things done or omitted to be done before such supersession, the Central Government hereby notifies the



[Handwritten signature]

following taxable services and the extent of service tax payable thereon by the person liable to pay service tax for the purposes of the said sub-section, namely:—

I. The taxable services,—

(A)....

(B) provided or agreed to be provided by any person which is located in a non-taxable territory and received by any person located in the taxable territory;
(ii) The extent of service tax payable thereon by the person who provides the service and the person who receives the service for the taxable services specified in (i) shall be as specified in the following Table, namely:-

Table

Sl.No.	Description of a service	Percentage of service tax payable by the person providing service	Percentage of service tax payable by the person receiving the service
9.	In respect of services provided or agreed to be provided in service portion in execution of works contract	50%	50%

Therefore, the Appellant is liable to pay Service Tax on 50% of value to service portion.

10. I find that the main issue that is to be decided in the instant case is whether the services provided by the Appellant is taxable under Service Tax or otherwise. Now, as per the contention of the Appellant, they are eligible for benefit of threshold exemption of Rs. 10 Lakh as per Notification No. 33/2012-Service Tax dated 20.06.2012. On this, I find that as per Notification No. 33/2012, the value of exempted service is to be excluded while deciding the threshold limit of Rs. 10 Lakh. The relevant portion is re-produced below for reference:

33/2012-ST, Dated: June 20, 2012

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 93 of the Finance Act, 1994 (32 of 1994) (hereinafter referred to as the said Finance Act), and in supersession of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue) notification No. 6/2005-Service Tax, dated the 1st March, 2005, published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (i), vide G.S.R. number 140(E), dated the 1st March, 2005, except as respects things done or omitted to be done before such supersession, the Central Government, being satisfied that it is necessary in the public interest so to do, hereby exempts taxable services of aggregate value not exceeding ten lakh rupees in any financial year from the whole of the service tax leviable thereon under section 66B of the said Finance Act:

Provided that nothing contained in this notification shall apply to,-

(i)

(ii)

2

3

Explanation. - For the purposes of this notification,-

(Signature)



(A)....

(B) "aggregate value" means the sum total of value of taxable services charged in the first consecutive invoices issued during a financial year but does not include value charged in invoices issued towards such services which are exempt from whole of service tax leviable thereon under section 66B of the said Finance Act under any other notification."

10.1 In the case of hand the total value of service is Rs. 10,381.67. Further, the Appellant is also eligible for benefit of abatement as per Notification No. 24/2012-Service Tax dated 06.06.2012 as amended by Notification No.-11/2014-Service Tax, is as under:

2A. Determination of value of service portion in the execution of a works contract.- Subject to the provisions of Section 67, the value of service portion in the execution of a works contract, referred to in clause (h) of section 66E of the Act, shall be determined in the following manner, namely:-

(i) Value of service portion in the execution of a works contract shall be equivalent to the gross amount charged for the works contract less the value of property in goods transferred in the execution of the said works contract.

Explanation.- For the purposes of this clause,-

(a) gross amount charged for the works contract shall not include value added tax or sales tax, as the case may be, paid or payable, if any, on transfer of property in goods involved in the execution of the said works contract;

(b) value of works contract service shall include, -

- (i) labour charges for execution of the works;
- (ii) amount paid to a sub-contractor for labour and services;
- (iii) charges for planning, designing and architect's fees;
- (iv) charges for obtaining on hire or otherwise, machinery and tools used for the execution of the works contract;
- (v) cost of consumables such as water, electricity, fuel used in the execution of the works contract;
- (vi) cost of establishment of the contractor relating to supply of labour and services;
- (vii) other similar expenses relating to supply of labour and services; and
- (viii) profit earned by the service provider relating to supply of labour and services;

(c) Where value added tax or sales tax has been paid or payable on the actual value of property in goods transferred in the execution of the works contract, then, such value adopted for the purposes of payment of value added tax or sales tax, shall be taken as the value of property in goods transferred in the execution of the



Handwritten signature

said works contract for determination of the value of service portion in the execution of works contract under this clause.

(ii) Where the value has not been determined under clause (i), the person liable to pay tax on the service portion involved in the execution of the works contract shall determine the service tax payable in the following manner, namely:-

(A) In case of works contracts entered into for execution of original works, service tax shall be payable on forty per cent. of the total amount charged for the works contract;

10.2. Therefore, after allowing abatement of 60% of total value of Rs. 10,381.67, the taxable value come down again. Therefore, I am of considered view that in the case on hand the 40% of gross receipts is service portion which is to be considered as value of taxable services. As per Notification No. 24/2012-Service Tax read with Notification No. 33/2012-Service Tax, the value of taxable services i.e. after allowing the abatement provided by the Appellant comes to below threshold limit of Rs. 10 lakh and hence the Appellant is eligible for the benefit of Notification No. 33/2012-Service Tax and is not liable to pay Service Tax.

11. Since, the value of taxable service is well below the exemption limit envisaged under Notification No. 33/2012-Service Tax dated 20.06.2012, the Appellant is not liable to pay Service Tax. Once the Service Tax is not sustainable, levy of interest and imposition of penalties is not warranted at all.

12. In view of discussions and finding, I set aside the impugned order and allow the appeal filed by the Appellant.

13. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

13. The appeal filed by Appellant is disposed off as above.

सत्यपितु Attested

Superintendent

Central GST (Appeals) आयुक्त (अपील)/Commissioner (Appeals)
Rajkot

(शिव प्रताप सिंह)/(Shiv Pratap Singh)

By R.P.A.D.

To, M/s. Manishbhai Jamnadas
Harsora, Plot No. 2370, Juni
Bhagvati Society, Kaliabid,
Bhavnagar-364002

सेवा में, मे. मनीषभाई जमनादास हरसोरा,
प्लॉट सं. 2370, ओल्ड भगवती
सोसायटी, कालियाबीड, भावनगर-364002

प्रतिलिपि :-

- 1) मुख्य आयुक्त, वस्तु एवं सेवा कर एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, गुजरात क्षेत्र, अहमदाबाद को जानकारी हेतु।
- 2) आयुक्त, वस्तु एवं सेवा कर एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, भावनगर आयुक्तालय, भावनगर को आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु।
- 3) अपर आयुक्त, वस्तु एवं सेवा कर एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, भावनगर को आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु।
- 4) सहायक आयुक्त, वस्तु एवं सेवा कर एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क मण्डल, भावनगर-1 को आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु।

गार्ड फाइल।



