::आयुक्त (अपील्स) का कार्यालय,वस्तु एवं सेवा करऔरकेन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क::
O/O THE COMMISSIONER (APPEALS), GST &CENTRAL EXCISE
द्वितीय तल, जी एस टी भवन / 2nd Floor, GST Bhavan
रेस कोर्स रिंग रोड / Race Course Ring Road
राजकोट / Rajkot – 360 001
Tele Fax No. 0281 – 2477952/2441142 Email: commrappl3-cexamd@nic.in

सत्यमेव जयते

रजिस्टर्ड डाक ए.डी. द्वारा

DIN-20221264SX0000624155

क	अपील / फाइलसंख्या/ Appeal /File No.	मूल आदेश सं / O.I.O. No.	दिनांक/Date
	GAPPL/COM/STP/1417/2022	804:/SERVICE TAX/ DEMAND/2021-22	23-03-2022

अपील आदेश संख्या(Order-In-Appeal No.):

BHV-EXCUS-000-APP-104-2022

आदेश का दिनांक / Date of Order:	30.11.2022	जारी करने की तारीख / Date of issue:	02.12.2022

श्री शिव प्रताप सिंह, आयुक्त (अपील्स), राजकोट द्वारा पारित /

Passed by Shri Shiv Pratap Singh, Commissioner (Appeals), Rajkot.

ग अपर आयुक्त/ संयुक्त आयुक्त/ उपायुक्त/ सहायक आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क/ सेवाकर/वस्तु एवंसेवाकर,राजकोट / जामनगर / गांधीधाम। द्वारा उपरलिखित जारी मूल आदेश से सृजित: /

Arising out of above mentioned OIO issued by Additional/Joint/Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise/ST / GST, Rajkot / Jamnagar / Gandhidham:

घ अपीलकर्ता&प्रतिवादी का नाम एवं पता /Name & Address of the Appellant & Respondent :-

M/s. MADS Group of Companies, Shop No. 1&2, Himalaya Square,,Iscon Mega City,Bhavnagar

इस आदेश(अपील) से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति निम्नलिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी / प्राधिकरण के समक्ष अपील दायर कर सकता है।/
Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate authority in the following way.

(A) सीमा शुल्क ,केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम ,1944 की धारा 35B के अंतर्गत एवं वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 के अंतर्गत निम्नलिखित जगह की जा सकती है ।/

Appeal to Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal under Section 35B of CEA, 1944 / Under Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994 an appeal lies to: -

(i) वर्गीकरण मूल्यांकन से सम्बन्धित सभी मामले सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की विशेष पीठ, वेस्ट ब्लॉक नं 2, आर° के° पुरम, नई दिल्ली, को की जानी चाहिए ।/

The special bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal of West Block No. 2, R.K. Puram, New Delhi in all matters relating to classification and valuation.

(ii) उपरोक्त परिच्छेद 1(a) में बताए गए अपीलों के अलावा शेष सभी अपीलें सीमा शुल्क,केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट)की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका,,द्वितीय तल, बहुमाली भवन असावा अहमदाबाद- ३८००१६को की जानी चाहिए ।/

To the West regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at, 2nd Floor, Bhaumali Bhawan, Asarwa Ahmedabad-380016in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para- 1(a) above

(iii) अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष अपील प्रस्तुत करने के लिए केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क (अपील)नियमावली, 2001, के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए गये प्रपत्र EA-3 को चार प्रतियों में दर्ज किया जाना चाहिए । इनमें से कम से कम एक प्रति के साथ, जहां उत्पाद शुल्क की माँग ,ब्याज की माँग और लगाया गया जुर्माना, रुपए 5 लाख या उससे कम,5 लाख रुपए या 50 लाख रुपए तक अथवा 50 लाख रुपए से अधिक है तो क्रमश: 1,000/- रुपये, 5,000/- रुपये अथवा 10,000/- रुपये का निर्धारित जमा शुल्क की प्रति संलग्न करें। निर्धारित शुल्क का भुगतान, संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा के सहायक रजिस्टार के नाम से किसी भी सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के बैंक द्वारा जारी रेखांकित बैंक ड्राफ्ट द्वारा किया जाना चाहिए । संबंधित ड्राफ्ट का भुगतान, बैंक की उस शाखा में होना चाहिए जहां संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा स्थित है । स्थगन आदेश (स्टे ऑर्डर) के लिए आवेदन-पत्र के साथ 500/- रुपए का निर्धारित शुल्क जमा करना होगा ।/

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 / as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise (Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 1,000/- Rs.5000/-, Rs,10,000/- where amount of dutydemand/interest/penalty/refund is upto 5 Lac., 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asst. Registrar of branch of any nominated public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominated public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated. Application made for grant of stay shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 500/-

(B) अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष अपील, वित्त अधिनियम,1994 की धारा 86(1) के अंतर्गत सेवाकर नियमवाली, 1994, के नियम 9(1) के तहत निर्धारित प्रपत्र S.T.-5 में चार प्रतियों में की जा सकेगी एवं उसके साथ जिस आदेश के विरुद्ध अपील की गयी हो, उसकी प्रति साथ में संलग्न करें (उनमें से एक प्रति प्रमाणित होनी चाहिए) और इनमें से कम से कम एक प्रति के साथ, जहां सेवाकर की माँग ,ब्याज की माँग और लगाया गया जुर्माना,रुपए 5 लाख या उससे कम,5 लाख रुपए या 50 लाख रुपए तक अथवा 50 लाख रुपए से अधिक है तो क्रमश: 1,000/- रुपये, 5,000/- रुपये अथवा 10,000/- रुपये का निर्धारित जमा शुल्क की प्रति संलग्न करें। निर्धारित शुल्क का भुगतान, संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा के सहायक रजिस्टार के नाम से किसी भी सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के बैंक द्वारा जारी रेखांकित बैंक ड्राफ्ट द्वारा किया जाना चाहिए । संबंधित ड्राफ्ट का भुगतान, बैंक की उस शाखा में होना चाहिए जहां संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा स्थित है । स्थगन आदेश (स्टे ऑर्डर) के लिए आवेदन-पत्र के साथ 500/- रुपए का निर्धारित शुल्क जमा करना होगा ।/

The appeal under sub section (1) of Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994 to the Appellate Tribunal Shall be filed in quadruplicate in Form S.T.5 as prescribed under Rule 9(1) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994, and Shall be accompanied by a copy of the order appealed against (one of which shall be certified copy) and should be accompanied by a fees of Rs. 1000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied of Rs. 5 Lakhs or less, Rs.5000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than five lakhs but not exceeding Rs. Fifty Lakhs, Rs.10,000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than fifty Lakhs rupees, in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of the Assistant Registrar of the bench of nominated Public Sector Bank of the place where the bench of Tribunal is situated. / Application made for grant of stay shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.500/-.

(i) वित्त अधिनियम,1994 की धारा 86 की उप-धाराओं (2) एवं (2A) के अंतर्गत दर्ज की गयी अपील, सेवाकर नियमवाली, 1994, के नियम 9(2) एवं 9(2A) के तहत निर्धारित प्रपत्र S.T.-7 में की जा सकेगी एवं उसके साथ आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अथवा आयुक्त (अपील), केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क द्वारा पारित आदेश की प्रतियाँ संलग्न करें (उनमें से एक प्रति प्रमाणित होनी चाहिए) और आयुक्त द्वारा सहायक आयुक्त अथवा उपायुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क/ सेवाकर, को अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को आवेदन दर्ज करने का निर्देश देने वाले आदेश की प्रति भी साथ में संलग्न करनी होगी। /
The appeal under sub section (2) and (2A) of the section 86 the Finance Act 1994, shall be filed in For ST.7 as prescribed under Rule 9 (2) & 9(2A) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994 and shall be accompanied by a copy of order of Commissioner Central Excise or Commissioner, Central Excise (Appeals) (one of which shall be a certified copy) and copy of the order passed by the Commissionerauthorizing the Assistant Commissioner or Deputy Commissioner of Central Excise/ Service Tax to file the appeal before the Appellate Tribunal.

(ii) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय प्राधिकरण (सेस्टेट) के प्रति अपीलों के मामले में केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम 1944 की धारा 35एफ के अंतर्गत, जो की वित्तीय अधिनियम, 1984 की धारा 83 के अंतर्गत सेवाकर को भी लागू की गई है, इस आदेश के प्रति अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में अपील करते समय उत्पाद शुल्क/सेवा कर मांग के 10 प्रतिशत (10%), जब मांग एवं जुर्माना विवादित है, या जुर्माना, जब केवल जुर्माना विवादित है, का भुगतान किया जाए, बशर्ते कि इस धारा के अंतर्गत जमा कि जाने वाली अपेक्षित देय राशि दस करोड़ रुपए से अधिक न हो।

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर के अंतर्गत "मांग किए गए शुल्क" मे निम्न शामिल है
(i) धारा 11 डी के अंतर्गत रकम
(ii) सेनवेट जमा की ली गई गलत राशि
(iii) सेनवेट जमा नियमावली के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत देय रकम

- बशर्ते यह कि इस धारा के प्रावधान वित्तीय (सं॰ 2) अधिनियम 2014 के आरंभ से पूर्व किसी अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष विचाराधीन स्थगन अर्ज़ी एवं अपील को लागू नहीं होंगे।/

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, under Section 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944 which is also made applicable to Service Tax under Section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute, provided the amount of pre-deposit payable would be subject to a ceiling of Rs. 10 Crores,

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty Demanded" shall include :
(i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
(ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
(iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules

- provided further that the provisions of this Section shall not apply to the stay application and appeals pending before any appellate authority prior to the commencement of the Finance (No.2) Act, 2014.

(C) **भारत सरकार कोपुनरीक्षण आवेदन :**
Revision application to Government of India:
इस आदेश की पुनरीक्षणयाचिका निम्नलिखित मामलो में, केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम,1994 की धारा 35EE के प्रथमपरंतुक के अंतर्गतअवर सचिव, भारत सरकार, पुनरीक्षण आवेदन ईकाई, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001, को किया जाना चाहिए। /
A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Government of India, Revision Application Unit, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35B ibid:

(i) यदि माल के किसी नुकसान के मामले में, जहां नुकसान किसी माल को किसी कारखाने से भंडार गृह के पारगमन के दौरान या किसी अन्य कारखाने या फिर किसी एक भंडार गृह से दूसरे भंडार गृह पारगमन के दौरान, या किसी भंडार गृह में या भंडारण में माल के प्रसंस्करण के दौरान, किसी कारखाने या किसी भंडार गृह में माल के नुकसान के मामले में।/
In case of any loss of goods, where the loss occurs in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse

(ii) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या क्षेत्र को निर्यात कर रहे माल के विनिर्माण में प्रयुक्त कच्चे माल पर भरी गई केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क के छुट (रिबेट) के मामले में, जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या क्षेत्र को निर्यात की गयी है। /
In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

(iii) यदि उत्पाद शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर, नेपाल या भूटान को माल निर्यात किया गया है। /
In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

(iv) सुनिश्चित उत्पाद के उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो ड्यूटी क्रेडीट इस अधिनियम एवं इसके विभिन्न प्रावधानों के तहत मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो आयुक्त (अपील) के द्वारा वित्त अधिनियम (नं॰ 2),1998 की धारा 109 के द्वारा नियत की गई तारीख अथवा समायाविधि पर या बाद में पारित किए गए है।/
Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec. 109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

(v) उपरोक्त आवेदन की दो प्रतियां प्रपत्र संख्या EA-8 में, जो की केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील)नियमावली,2001, के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट है, इस आदेश के संप्रेषण के 3 माह के अंतर्गत की जानी चाहिए । उपरोक्त आवेदन के साथ मूल आदेश व अपील आदेश की दो प्रतियां संलग्न की जानी चाहिए। साथ ही केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-EE के तहत निर्धारित शुल्क की अदायगी के साक्ष्य के तौर पर TR-6 की प्रति संलग्न की जानी चाहिए। /
The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(vi) पुनरीक्षण आवेदन के साथ निम्नलिखित निर्धारित शुल्क की अदायगी की जानी चाहिए।
जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/- का भुगतान किया जाए और यदि संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये से ज्यादा हो तो रूपये 1000 -/ का भुगतान किया जाए।
The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 200/- where the amount involved in Rupees One Lac or less and Rs. 1000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

(D) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशो का समावेश है तो प्रत्येक मूल आदेश के लिए शुल्क का भुगतान, उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिये। इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी की लिखा पढ़ी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय नयाधिकरण को एक अपील या केंद्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता है। / In case, if the order covers various umbers of order- in Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner, notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lakh fee of Rs. 100/- for each.

(E) यथासंशोधित न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम, 1975, के अनुसूची-I के अनुसार मूल आदेश एवं स्थगन आदेश की प्रति पर निर्धारित 6.50 रुपये का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकिट लगा होना चाहिए। /
One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjudicating authority shall bear a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 as prescribed under Schedule-I in terms of the Court Fee Act,1975, as amended.

(F) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्य विधि) नियमावली, 1982 में वर्णित एवं अन्य संबन्धित मामलों को सम्मिलित करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है। /
Attention is also invited to the rules covering these and other related matters contained in the Customs, Excise and Service Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(G) उच्च अपीलीय प्राधिकारी को अपील दाखिल करने से संबंधित व्यापक, विस्तृत और नवीनतम प्रावधानों के लिए, अपीलार्थी विभागीय वेबसाइट www.cbec.gov.in को देख सकते हैं। /
For the elaborate, detailed and latest provisions relating to filing of appeal to the higher appellate authority, the appellant may refer to the Departmental website www.cbec.gov.in.

:: अपील आदेश / ORDER-IN-APPEAL ::

M/s. MADS Group of Companies, Bhavnagar (hereinafter referred to as "Appellant") has filed the present Appeal against Order-in-Original No. 804/SERVICE TAX/DEMAND/2021-22 dated 23.03.2022 (hereinafter referred to as 'impugned order') passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Central GST, Bhavnagar-1 (hereinafter referred to as 'adjudicating authority').

2. The facts of the case, in brief, are that the Income Tax Department shared the third party information/ data based on Income Tax Returns/ 26AS for the Financial year 2014-15 of the Appellant. Letter dated 15.07.2020 was issued by the Jurisdictional Range Superintendent requesting the Appellant to provide information/documents for the Financial year 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17 & 2017-18 (upto June-2017). The said letter was also sent on email of the Appellant. However, no reply was received from the Appellant.

3. In absence of data/information, a show cause notice dated 10.09.2020 was issued to the Appellant, demanding Service Tax and cess to the tune of Rs. 3,09,919/- under Section 73(1) of the Finance Act, 1994 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act') alongwith interest under Section 75, and proposing to impose penalties under Section 77(1)(a), 78, 77(2) and 77(1)(c) of the Act upon the Appellant.

4. The adjudicating authority vide the impugned order confirmed Service Tax demand of Rs. 3,09,919/- under Section 73(1) along with interest under Section 75 of the Act, imposed penalty of Rs. 3,09,919/- under Section 78 of the Act and penalty of Rs. 5,000/- each under Section 77(1)(a), 77(2) and 77(1)(c) of the Act.

5. Being aggrieved, the Appellant has preferred the present appeal on various grounds as stated below:

(i) The impugned order passed by the Assistant Commissioner is bad in law, unjust and illegal, based on surmises and without considering the relevant facts and circumstances of the law.

(ii) The Department neither classified the services in Show Cause Notice nor in the Order-In-Original, which is the sole ground for quashing of the impugned order in light of plenty of judgments by various Courts/Tribunal.

(iii) They had provided services to Government and no Service Tax was collected. As per Sr. No. B(II)(8) of basic Notification No. 30/2012-S.T. dated 20.06.2012, percentage of Service Tax payable by the person providing service was 25% and percentage of service tax payable by the person receiving the service was 75%.

(iv) They are liable to pay Service Tax on 25% if the same is not exempted. They have not collected Service Tax and hence the amount received from the service receiver may be presumed as inclusive of Service Tax. The services were provided to the Government and therefore, registration was not obtained.

6. The matter was posted for hearing on 11.11.2022. Shri Prashant Gohil appeared for personal hearing in virtual mode online and reiterated the submissions made in the appeal. He stated that the Appellant had gone to attend personal hearing on scheduled date but lower authority was not available in his office. Therefore, he had submitted his reply to the superintendent in the office of the lower authority. However, lower authority has omitted these facts in his order. He submitted that they have no acknowledgement in this regard. He submitted that the Appellant is providing only manpower supply services on which their liability is only 25% and remaining 75% is on the recipient on reverse charge mechanism basis. They have discharged 25% of the tax liability before filing of the appeal but have not paid penalty amount. He undertook to submit proof of manpower supply services in the form of invoices, balance-sheet, profit & loss account and their books of account. Therefore, he requested to set aside the Order-In-Original and allow the appeal.

6.1 I find that the Appellant vide their letter dated 19.11.2022, received by this office on 23.11.2022 has submitted additional documents viz. copies of ledger, balance sheet, work orders and Form 26AS for the year 2014-15.

7. I have carefully gone through the case records, impugned order and appeal memorandum filed by the Appellant. I find that the issue to be decided in the case on hand is whether the activity carried out by the appellant is liable to Service Tax or otherwise. It has been held by the Adjudicating Authority that the services provided by the Appellant is a taxable service in absence of information/documents, which were neither submitted by the Appellant nor they had filed any defense submission and had not appeared for personal hearing also. The Appellant on the other hand, during the course of personal hearing, stated that they had gone to attend personal hearing on scheduled date but lower authority was not available in his office. Therefore, they had submitted his reply to the Superintendent in the office of the lower authority. However, lower authority has omitted these facts in his order. They further submitted that they have no acknowledgement in this regard. They submitted that they are providing only manpower supply services on which their liability is only 25% and remaining 75% is on the recipient on reverse charge mechanism basis. They have discharged 25% of the tax liability before filing of the appeal but have not paid penalty amount.

8. The Appellant vide their letter dated 19.11.2022 have submitted copies of

work order dated 01.01.2015 issued by M/s. Shreeji Exhibitors (Maxus Cinema) for sweeper works (house keeping) for the period 01.01.2015 to 31.12.2017. They have also submitted copy of work order dated 17.07.2014 issued by Sir Takhtasinhji General Hospital, Bhavnagar for supply of man power outsourcing work. On perusal of profit & loss account, it is seen that they have also provided services to Government Medical College, Bhavnagar and Tata Westside Himalaya Mall (Victory Trading and Securities Pvt. Ltd.). It is contention of the Appellant that they had provided services to Government and no Service Tax was collected. Further as per Sr. No. B(II)(8) of basic Notification No. 30/2012-S.T. dated 20.06.2012, percentage of Service Tax payable by the person providing service was 25% and percentage of service tax payable by the person receiving the service was 75%. Now, it is to be decided whether activity carried out by the Appellant is covered under Notification No.30/2012-Service Tax dated 20.06.2012 and as to whether the amount received for providing the services is taxable, or otherwise.

11. It is the contention of the Appellant that the department has neither classified the services in Show Cause Notice nor in the Order-In-Original and hence the impugned order is required to be quashed. On this, I find that in response to Department letter dated 15.07.2020, they have not submitted any reply even though they were registered under Service Tax since 2015. It is also not forthcoming whether they have filed any S.T.-3 returns or otherwise. The personal hearing letters issued by the Adjudicating Authority but they did not appear for personal hearing also. Therefore, in absence of any data, it was not possible to classify the services provided by them. Thus, the plea advanced by them is misplaced.

12. The Appellant have submitted copy of Service Tax registration certificate having No. AAYFM0264GSD001 dated 03.12.2015 issued by Superintendent, City Range, Service Tax Division, Bhavnagar for services viz. Rent-a-cab scheme operator service, manpower recruitment/ supply agency service, cleaning service, works contract service, supply of tangible goods service and restaurant service. On perusal of the copy of Profit & Loss Account and the copy of work orders for the year 2014-15 submitted by the Appellant, it is found that they have provided para medical staff, ward staff, and class-4 staff to Sir Takhtasinhji General Hospital, Bhavnagar. They have also provided house keeping services to M/s. Shreeji Exhibitors (Maxus Cinema, a multiplex cinema) by way of providing upto 16 persons. Therefore, it is clear that they have provided manpower recruitment/supply agency services to their customers. Hence, their case falls under Notification 30/2012 dated 20.06.2012 which is produced below for reference:

"(II) The extent of service tax payable thereon by the person who provides the service and the person who receives the service for the taxable services specified in (I) shall be as specified in the following Table, namely:-

TABLE

Sl. No.	*Description of a service*	*Percentage of service tax payable by the person providing service*	*Percentage of service tax payable by the person receiving the service*
8.	*in respect of services provided or agreed to be provided by way of supply of manpower for any purpose*	*25%*	*75%*

Therefore, the Appellant is liable to pay Service Tax on only 25% of value of services.

13. It is the contention of the Appellant that they have not collected Service Tax and hence the amount received may be presumed as inclusive of Service Tax. I find that they are registered under Service Tax since 2015 and having all knowledge of Service Tax liability. They have not provided any documentary evidences to substantiate their claim that there was no clause of Service Tax in the contract entered into by them with their customers. Further, they have not filed any S.T.-3 returns with the Department nor paid any Service Tax. Therefore, in absence of any concrete evidence, the claim of cum-duty is not acceptable and hence, I discard this argument of the Appellant being devoid of merits.

14. In view of above, I direct the Adjudicating Authority to re-calculate the Service Tax as discussed in para 12 within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order and inform the Appellant in writing. I uphold the impugned order barring modification as discussed in para 12 supra, including levy of interest on Service Tax so calculated by the Adjudicating Authority. I also uphold penalty under Section 78 to the extent of Service Tax re-calculated by the Adjudicating Authority, alongwith penalties already imposed under Section 77(2), Section 77(1)(a) and Section 77(1)(c) of the Act on the Appellant. However, I extend benefit of reduced penalty envisaged under proviso to Section 78 of the Act subject to conditions mentioned therein.

15. In view of discussions and finding, the appeal filed by the Appellant is partially allowed.

16. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है ।

16. The appeal filed by Appellant is disposed off as above.

सत्यापित / Attested

Superintendent
Central GST (Appeals)
Rajkot

30-12-2022

(शिव प्रताप सिंह)/(Shiv Pratap Singh),
आयुक्त (अपील)/Commissioner (Appeals)

By R.P.A.D.

To, M/s. MADS Group of Companies, Shop No. 1 & 2, Himalaya Square, ISCON Mega City, Near: Sterling Hospital, Bhavnagar, Gujarat.	सेवा में, मे॰ मेडस ग्रुप ऑफ कम्पनीस, शॉप सं. 1 & 2, हिमालया स्कवेर, इस्कॉन मेगा सिटी, स्टर्लिंग हॉस्पिटल के पास, भावनगर ।

प्रतिलिपि :-

1) मुख्य आयुक्त, वस्तु एवं सेवा कर एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, गुजरात क्षेत्र, अहमदाबाद को जानकारी हेतु।
2) आयुक्त, वस्तु एवं सेवा कर एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, भावनगर आयुक्तालय, भावनगर को आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु।
3) अपर आयुक्त, वस्तु एवं सेवा कर एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, भावनगर को आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु।
4) सहायक आयुक्त, वस्तु एवं सेवा कर एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, भावनगर-1 मण्डल को आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु।
5) गार्ड फ़ाइल।