



स्टैंडर्ड डाक ए.डी. द्वारा

DIN-20221264SX000000FCC0

अपील / फाइल संख्या/
Appeal / File No.

V2/86/BVR/2022

मूल आदेश सं /
O.I.O. No.

**BHV-EXCUS-000-JC-LD-
029-2021-22**

दिनांक/Date

02-12-2022

अपील आदेश संख्या (Order-In-Appeal No.):

BHV-EXCUS-000-APP-100-2022

आदेश का दिनांक /

Date of Order:

30.11.2022

जारी करने की तारीख /

Date of issue:

02.12.2022

श्री शिव प्रताप सिंह, आयुक्त (अपील्स), राजकोट द्वारा पारित /

Passed by Shri Shiv Pratap Singh, Commissioner (Appeals), Rajkot.

अपर आयुक्त/ संयुक्त आयुक्त/ उपायुक्त/ सहायक आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क/ सेवाकर वस्तु एवं सेवाकर, राजकोट / जामनगर / गांधीधाम। द्वारा उपरलिखित जारी मूल आदेश से सृजित: /

Arising out of above mentioned OIO issued by Additional/Join/Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise/ST / GST, Rajkot / Jamnagar / Gandhidham:

अपीलकर्ता/प्रतिवादी का नाम एवं पता /Name & Address of the Appellant & Respondent :-

M/s. Raghuvirsinh Gohil(Raghuvir Construction), Opp. Plot No. 131,,Sosiya Ship Breaking yard,Tal- Talaja, Bhavnagar

इस आदेश (अपील) से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति निम्नलिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी / प्राधिकरण के समक्ष अपील दायर कर सकता है। /

Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate authority in the following way.

सोमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35B के अंतर्गत एवं वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 के अंतर्गत निम्नलिखित जगह की जा सकती है। /

Appeal to Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal under Section 35B of CEA, 1944 / Under Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994 an appeal lies to: -

(i) वर्गीकरण मूल्यांकन से सम्बंधित सभी मामलों सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की विशेष पीठ, वेस्ट ब्लॉक नं 2, आर० के० पुरम, नई दिल्ली, को की जानी चाहिए। /

The special bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal of West Block No. 2, R.K. Puram, New Delhi in all matters relating to classification and valuation.

(ii) उपरोक्त परिच्छेद 1(a) में बताए गए अपीलों के अलावा शेष सभी अपीलों सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, द्वितीय तल, बहुमाला भवन असारवा अहमदाबाद- 380016 को की जानी चाहिए। /

To the West regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at, 2nd Floor, Bhaumali Bhawan, Asarwa Ahmedabad-380016 in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para- 1(a) above

(iii) अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष अपील प्रस्तुत करने के लिए केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001, के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए गये प्रपत्र EA-3 को चार प्रतियों में दर्ज किया जाना चाहिए। इनमें से कम से कम एक प्रति के साथ, जहां उत्पाद शुल्क की मांग, ब्याज की मांग और लगाया गया जुर्माना, रुपए 5 लाख या उससे कम, 5 लाख रुपए या 50 लाख रुपए तक अथवा 50 लाख रुपए से अधिक है तो क्रमशः 1,000/- रुपये, 5,000/- रुपये अथवा 10,000/- रुपये का निर्धारित जमा शुल्क की प्रति संलग्न करें। निर्धारित शुल्क का भुगतान, संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा के सहायक रजिस्ट्रार के नाम से किसी भी सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के बैंक द्वारा जारी रेखांकित बैंक ड्राफ्ट द्वारा किया जाना चाहिए। संबंधित ड्राफ्ट का भुगतान, बैंक की उस शाखा में होना चाहिए जहां संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा स्थित है। स्थगन आदेश (स्टे ऑर्डर) के लिए आवेदन-पत्र के साथ 500/- रुपए का निर्धारित शुल्क जमा करना होगा। /

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 / as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise (Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 1,000/-, Rs.5000/-, Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty demanded/interest/penalty/refund is upto 5 Lac., 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asst. Registrar of branch of any nominated public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominated public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated. Application made for grant of stay shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 500/-

(B) अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष अपील, वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86(1) के अंतर्गत सेवाकर नियमावली, 1994, के नियम 9(1) के तहत निर्धारित प्रपत्र S.T.-5 में चार प्रतियों में की जा सकती है एवं उसके साथ जिस आदेश के विरुद्ध अपील की गयी हो, उसकी प्रति साथ में संलग्न करें (उनमें से एक प्रति प्रमाणित होनी चाहिए) और इनमें से कम से कम एक प्रति के साथ, जहां सेवाकर की मांग, ब्याज की मांग और लगाया गया जुर्माना, रुपए 5 लाख या उससे कम, 5 लाख रुपए या 50 लाख रुपए तक अथवा 50 लाख रुपए से अधिक है तो क्रमशः 1,000/- रुपये, 5,000/- रुपये अथवा 10,000/- रुपये का निर्धारित जमा शुल्क की प्रति संलग्न करें। निर्धारित शुल्क का भुगतान, संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा के सहायक रजिस्ट्रार के नाम से किसी भी सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के बैंक द्वारा जारी रेखांकित बैंक ड्राफ्ट द्वारा किया जाना चाहिए। संबंधित ड्राफ्ट का भुगतान, बैंक की उस शाखा में होना चाहिए जहां संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा स्थित है। स्थगन आदेश (स्टे ऑर्डर) के लिए आवेदन-पत्र के साथ 500/- रुपए का निर्धारित शुल्क जमा करना होगा। /

The appeal under sub section (1) of Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994 to the Appellate Tribunal Shall be filed in quadruplicate in Form S.T.5 as prescribed under Rule 9(1) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994, and shall be accompanied by a copy of the order appealed against (one of which shall be certified copy) and should be accompanied by a fees of Rs. 1000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than five lakhs or less, Rs.5000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than fifty Lakhs rupees, in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of the Assistant Registrar of the bench of nominated Public Sector Bank of the place where the bench of Tribunal is situated. / Application made for grant of stay shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.500/-



- (i) वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 की उप-धाराओं (2) एवं (2A) के अंतर्गत दर्ज की गयी अपील, सेवाकर नियमवाली, 1994, के नियम 9 एवं 9(2A) के तहत निर्धारित प्रपत्र S.T.-7 में की जा सकेगी एवं उसके साथ आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अथवा आयुक्त (अपील), केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क द्वारा पारित आदेश की प्रतियाँ संलग्न करें (उनमें से एक प्रति प्रमाणित होनी चाहिए) और आयुक्त द्वारा सहायक आयुक्त अथवा उपायुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क/ सेवाकर, को अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को आवेदन दर्ज करने का निर्देश देने वाले आदेश की प्रति भी साथ में संलग्न करनी होगी। /

The appeal under sub section (2) and (2A) of the section 86 the Finance Act 1994, shall be filed in Form ST-7 prescribed under Rule 9 (2) & 9(2A) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994 and shall be accompanied by a copy of order of Commissioner Central Excise or Commissioner, Central Excise (Appeals) (one of which shall be a certified copy) and copy of the order passed by the Commissioner authorizing the Assistant Commissioner or Deputy Commissioner of Central Excise/ Service Tax to file the appeal before the Appellate Tribunal.

- (ii) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय प्राधिकरण (सेस्टेट) के प्रति अपीलों के मामले में केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम 1994 की धारा 35एफ के अंतर्गत, जो की वित्तीय अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 83 के अंतर्गत सेवाकर को भी लागू की गई है, इस आदेश के प्रति अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में अपील करते समय उत्पाद शुल्क/सेवा कर मांग के 10 प्रतिशत (10%), जब मांग एवं जुर्माना विवादित है, या जुर्माना, या केवल जुर्माना विवादित है, का भुगतान किया जाए, बशर्त कि इस धारा के अंतर्गत जमा कि जाने वाली अपेक्षित देय राशि दस करोड़ रुपये से अधिक न हो।

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर के अंतर्गत "मांग किए गए शुल्क" में निम्न शामिल है

- (i) धारा 11 डी के अंतर्गत रकम
(ii) सेनवेट जमा की ली गई गलत राशि
(iii) सेनवेट जमा नियमावली के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत देय रकम

- बशर्त यह कि इस धारा के प्रावधान वित्तीय (सं 2) अधिनियम 2014 के आरंभ से पूर्व किसी अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के साथ विचारधीन स्थगन अर्जी एवं अपील को लागू नहीं होगा। /

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, under Section 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944 which is also made applicable to Service Tax under Section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994, an appeal against this order shall be filed before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute, provided the amount of pre-deposit payable would be subject to a ceiling of Rs. 10 Crores.

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty Demanded" shall include :

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
(ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
(iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules

- provided further that the provisions of this Section shall not apply to the stay application and appeals pending before any appellate authority prior to the commencement of the Finance (No.2) Act, 2014.

- (C) **भारत सरकार को पुनरीक्षण आवेदन :**
Revision application to Government of India:
इस आदेश की पुनरीक्षणयाचिका निम्नलिखित मामलों में, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 35EE के प्रथमपरंतुक के अंतर्गत अपील सचिव, भारत सरकार, पुनरीक्षण आवेदन ईकाई, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001, को किया जाना चाहिए। /

A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Government of India, Revision Application Unit, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35B ibid:

- (i) यदि माल के किसी नुकसान के मामले में, जहां नुकसान किसी माल को किसी कारखाने से भंडार गृह के पारगमन के दौरान या किसी अन्य कारखाने या फिर किसी एक भंडार गृह से दूसरे भंडार गृह पारगमन के दौरान, या किसी भंडार गृह में या भंडारण में माल के प्रसंस्करण के दौरान किसी कारखाने या किसी भंडार गृह में माल के नुकसान के मामले में। /

In case of any loss of goods, where the loss occurs in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in store, whether in a factory or in a warehouse

- (ii) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या क्षेत्र को निर्यात कर रहे माल के विनिर्माण में प्रयुक्त कच्चे माल पर भरी गई केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क के छुट (रिबेट) के मामले में, जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या क्षेत्र को निर्यात की गयी है। /

In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

- (iii) यदि उत्पाद शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर, नेपाल या भूटान को माल निर्यात किया गया है। /

In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

- (iv) सुनिश्चित उत्पाद के उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो ड्यूटी क्रेडिट इस अधिनियम एवं इसके विभिन्न प्रावधानों के तहत मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो आयुक्त (अपील) के द्वारा वित्त अधिनियम (नं 2), 1998 की धारा 109 के द्वारा नियत की गई तारीख अथवा समायाविधि पर्यंत बाद में पारित किए गए हैं। /

Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec. 109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

- (v) उपरोक्त आवेदन की दो प्रतियां प्रपत्र संख्या EA-8 में, जो की केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001, के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट है, इस आदेश के संप्रेषण के 3 माह के अंतर्गत की जानी चाहिए। उपरोक्त आवेदन के साथ मूल आदेश व अपील आदेश की दो प्रतियां संलग्न की जानी चाहिए। साथ ही केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-EE के तहत निर्धारित शुल्क की अदायगी के साक्ष्य के तौर पर TR-6 को प्रति संलग्न की जानी चाहिए। /

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

- (vi) पुनरीक्षण आवेदन के साथ निम्नलिखित निर्धारित शुल्क की अदायगी की जानी चाहिए। /

जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/- का भुगतान किया जाए और यदि संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये से ज्यादा हो तो रूपये 1000 -/ का भुगतान किया जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 200/- where the amount involved in Rupees One Lac or less and Rs. 1000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

- (D) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश है तो प्रत्येक मूल आदेश के लिए शुल्क का भुगतान, उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिये। इस तथ्य के हवाते हुए भी की लिखी पदों कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन कि जाता है। / In case, if the order covers various numbers of order- in Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner, notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filed to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lakh fee Rs. 100/- for each.

- (E) यथासंशोधित न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम, 1975, के अनुसूची-1 के अनुसार मूल आदेश एवं स्थगन आदेश की प्रति पर निर्धारित 6.50 रुपये न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए। /

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjudicating authority shall bear a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 as prescribed under Schedule-1 in terms of the Court Fee Act, 1975, as amended.

- (F) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्य विधि) नियमावली, 1982 में वर्णित एवं अन्य संबंधित मामलों को सम्मिलित करने वाले नियमों को और भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है। /

Attention is also invited to the rules covering these and other related matters contained in the Customs, Excise and Service Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

- (G) उच्च अपीलीय प्राधिकारी को अपील द्याखिल करने से संबंधित व्यापक, विस्तृत और नवीनतम प्रावधानों के लिए, अपीलार्थी विभागीय वेबसाइट www.cbec.gov.in को देख सकते हैं। /

For the elaborate, detailed and latest provisions relating to filing of appeal to the higher appellate authority, appellants may refer to the Departmental website www.cbec.gov.in.



:: अपील आदेश / ORDER-IN-APPEAL ::

M/s. Shri Raghuvirsinh Bhupatsinh Gohil, Prop. Of M/s. Raghuvir Construction, Bhavnagar (hereinafter referred to as "Appellant") has filed the present Appeal against Order-in-Original No. BHV-EXCUS-000-JC-LD-029-2021-22 dated 23.03.2022 (hereinafter referred to as 'impugned order') passed by the Joint Commissioner, Central GST, Bhavnagar (hereinafter referred to as 'adjudicating authority').

2. The facts of the case, in brief, are that the Income Tax Department shared the third party information/ data based on Income Tax Returns/ 26AS for the Financial year 2014-15, 2015-16 & 2016-17 of the Appellant. Letters dated 03.08.2020 & 20.08.2020 were issued by the Jurisdictional Range Superintendent requesting the Appellant to provide information/documents for the Financial year 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17 & 2017-18 (upto June-2017). However, no reply was received from the Appellant.

3. In absence of data/ information, a show cause notice bearing No. V/15-46/Dem/HQ/2020-21 dated 17.09.2020 was issued to the Appellant demanding Service Tax and cess to the tune of Rs. 50,82,599/- under Section 73(1) of the Finance Act, 1994 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act') alongwith interest under Section 75 of the Act. It was also proposed to impose penalties under Section 77(1)(a), 78, 77(2) and 77(1)(c) of the Act upon the Appellant.

4. The adjudicating authority vide the impugned order confirmed Service Tax demand of Rs. 50,82,599/- under Section 73(1) along with interest under Section 75 of the Act, imposed penalty of Rs. 50,82,599/- under Section 78 of the Act, imposed penalty of Rs. 10,000/- each under Section 77(1)(a), 77(2) and 77(1)(c) of the Act.

5. Being aggrieved, the Appellant has preferred the present appeal on various grounds as stated below:

(i) The impugned order passed by the Joint Commissioner is bad in law, unjust and illegal, based on surmises and without considering the relevant facts and circumstances of the law.

(ii) The Department neither classified the services in Show Cause Notice nor in the Order-In-Original, which is the solid ground for quashing of the impugned order in light of plenty of judgments by various Courts/Tribunal.

(iii) They had provided works contract services for construction of bridge and road as a sub-contractor to various contractors which is exempted by virtue of Sr. No. 13(a) read with Sr. No. 29(h) of Mega Exemption Notification No. 25/2012-Service Tax dated 20.06.2012, as amended.



Handwritten signature/initials

6. The matter was posted for hearing on 11.11.2022. CA Poonam Samtan appeared for personal hearing and reiterated the submissions made in the appeal. She submitted that the Appellant was unable to reply to Show Cause Notice or appear for personal hearing before the lower authority due to ill health on account of Covid-19. The Appellant is providing construction service to local government authorities through main contractor and their service is exempt from Service Tax under Mega Exemption Notification No. 25/2012-Service Tax. Therefore, she requested to set aside the Order-In-Original and allow the appeal.

7. I have carefully gone through the case records, impugned order and appeal memorandum filed by the Appellant. I find that the issue to be decided in the case on hand is whether the activity carried out by the appellant is liable to Service Tax or otherwise.

8. I find that Show Cause Notice had been issued without verifying any data or nature of services provided by the Appellant as the same had been issued only on the basis of data received from the Income Tax department and the Adjudicating Authority has confirmed the demand of Service Tax vide impugned order.

9. I find that the main issue that is to be decided in the instant case is whether the services provided by the Appellant is taxable under Service Tax or otherwise. On going through the impugned order, it has been held by the Adjudicating Authority that the services provided by the Appellant is a taxable service in absence of information/documents which were neither submitted by the Appellant nor they had filed any defense submission and not appeared for personal hearing also. The Appellant on the other hand, during the course of personal hearing, stated that unable to reply to Show Cause Notice or appear for personal hearing before the lower authority due to ill health on account of Covid-19. It is the contention of the Appellant that they are providing construction service to local government authorities through main contractor and their service is exempted by virtue of Sr. No. 13(a) read with Sr. No. 29(h) of Mega Exemption Notification No. 25/2012-Service Tax dated 20.06.2012, as amended.

10. Now, it is to be decided whether activity carried out by the Appellant is covered under Notification No.25/2012-Service Tax dated 20.06.2012 and as to whether the amount received for providing the services is taxable, or otherwise.

11. On perusal of the copy of Balance Sheet, Profit & Loss Account, Form 26AS and the copies of works contract for the year 2014-15 submitted by the Appellant, it is found that they have provided construction services as a sub



Handwritten signature

contractor for the work pertaining to:

- (1) construction of bridge nearby GIFT City to Raysan Institute Zone and main contractor is M/s. Ajay Engi Instructure Pvt. Ltd., Mehsana
- (2) construction/ up gradation and maintenance of rural roads under PMGSY (Phase-XIII) package No. GJ-06-02 Block: Shihor-Palitana-Mahuva District: Bhavnagar and main contractor is M/s. Pavan Construction Co., Rajkot
- (3) construction of E.R.M. to Shitla M.I. Tank at Village: Umrala, Taluka: Ranpur, District: Ahmedabad and main contractor is M/s. Rajrath Construction, Bhavnagar
- (4) works of Narmada Pipe Line NC-8.Tapping Based Jamnagar Group Water Supply Scheme 350 mm Dia. D.I. rising main line RP00 2.mth PVC gravity. Supply of all pipes in main pipe line work including lowering laying jointing testing for five years O&M and the main contractor is M/s. Yash Construction Co., Bhavnagar.

11.1 On perusal of the copy of Balance Sheet, Profit & Loss Account, Form 26AS and the copies of works contract for the year 2015-16 submitted by the Appellant, it is found that they have provided construction services as a sub-contractor for the work pertaining to construction work of RCC road at Ghogha Circle and Sardarnagar wards awarded by Bhavnagar Mahanagar Palika through the main contractor is M/s. Rajrath Construction, Bhavnagar and two work orders from Pavan Construction Co., Rajkot & Yash Construction Co., Bhavnagar. The Appellant either submitted copy of sub-contract or copy of certificate issued by the main contractor regarding awarding the sub-contract to the Appellant. Further, all these works are relating to services provided to the Government or local body/authority through the main contractor.

11.2 On perusal of the copy of Balance Sheet, Profit & Loss Account, Form 26AS and the copies of works contract for the year 2016-17 submitted by the Appellant, it is found that they have provided construction services as a sub-contractor for the work pertaining to C. C. Road of 18 Meter DP Road in ward No. 15 from Sangam Baugh to behind Patel Park awarded by Jamnagar Mahanagar Palika and main contractor is M/s. Pruthvi Corporation, Bhavnagar and work of paving block road at K. Para, Pirchhalla, Navapara, Takhteshwar ward awarded by Bhavnagar Mahanagar Palika and the main contractor is M/s. Rajrath Construction, Bhavnagar. The Appellant either submitted copy of sub-contract or copy of certificate issued by the main contractor regarding awarding the sub-contract to the Appellant. Further, all these works are relating to services provided to the Government or local body/authority through the main contractor.

It is the contention of the Appellant that they are providing construction



[Handwritten signature]

service to local government authorities through main contractor and their service is exempted by virtue of Sr. No. 12(e), 13(a) read with Sr. No. 29(h) of Mega Exemption Notification No. 25/2012-Service Tax dated 20.06.2012, as amended. The relevant provisions are re-produced below:

"12. Services provided to the Government, a local authority or a governmental authority by way of construction, erection, commissioning, installation, completion, fitting out, repair, maintenance, renovation, or alteration of -

(a) ***

(b);

(c) ***

(d) canal, dam or other irrigation works;

(e) pipeline, conduit or plant for (i) water supply (ii) water treatment, or (iii) sewerage treatment or disposal; or

(f) *** "

13. Services provided by way of construction, erection, commissioning, installation, completion, fitting out, repair, maintenance, renovation, or alteration of,-

(a) a road, bridge, tunnel, or terminal for road transportation for use by general public;

29. Services by the following persons in respective capacities -

(a);

(b);

(c) ****;

(d) ****;

(e) ****;

(f);

(g);

(ga);

(gb);

(h) sub-contractor providing services by way of works contract to another contractor providing works contract services which are exempt;"

12.1 I find that the Appellant is engaged in providing of sub contract service by way of construction, erection, commissioning, installation, completion, fitting out, repair, maintenance, renovation or alteration of bridge and road and disposal to main Contractors. They have provided the said services under the capacity of Sub Contractor to Main contractors, who are providing the services to the Government or Local authority. All the services provided by Main contractors to the Government or Local authority are falling under the definition of exemption under various clauses of Mega Exemption Notification No.25/2012-Service Tax. I also find that the Appellant has provided the work contract



[Handwritten signature]

services under the capacity of Sub-contractor to the main contractors which are exempted in view of clause 29(h) of Notification No. 25/2012-ST. Further, the Appellant has also provided services of construction, erection, commissioning, installation, completion, fitting out, repair, maintenance, renovation or alteration of pipeline, conduit or plant for (i) water supply (ii) water treatment, disposal to main Contractors under the capacity of Sub Contractor and the Main contractors are providing the services to the Government or Local authority.


12.2 Thus, on conjoint reading of the documents submitted by the Appellant and Clause 12(e), 13(a) and 29(h) of Mega Exemption Notification No. 25/2012-Service Tax, I find that when the main contractor is providing the works contract services is exempted, then sub-contractor supply the works contract services to the main contractor also come under the purview of the exempted category.


13. In view of discussions and finding, I set aside the impugned order and allow the appeal filed by the Appellant.

14. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

14. The appeal filed by Appellant is disposed off as above.

सत्यापित / Attested


Superintendent
Central GST (Appeals)
Rajkot


(शिव प्रताप सिंह)/(Shiv Pratap Singh),
आयुक्त (अपील)/Commissioner (Appeals)

By R.P.A.D.

To, M/s. Shri Raghuvirsinh Bhupatsinh Gohil, Prop. Of M/s. Raghuvir Construction, Opp.: Plot No. 131, Sosiya Ship Breaking Yard, Taluka: Talaja, Bhavnagar.	सेवा में, मे. श्री रघुवीरसिंह भूपतसिंह गोहील, मे. रघुवीर कंस्ट्रक्शन, प्लॉट संख्या 131 के सामने, सोसिया शिप ब्रेकिंग यार्ड, तालुका: तलाजा, भावनगर।
--	--

प्रतिलिपि :-

- 1) मुख्य आयुक्त, वस्तु एवं सेवा कर एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, गुजरात क्षेत्र, अहमदाबाद को जानकारी हेतु।
- 2) आयुक्त, वस्तु एवं सेवा कर एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, भावनगर आयुक्तालय, भावनगर को आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु।
- 3) अपर आयुक्त, वस्तु एवं सेवा कर एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, भावनगर को आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु।
- 4) सहायक आयुक्त, वस्तु एवं सेवा कर एवं केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, भावनगर मण्डल को आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु।
- 5) गार्ड फाइल।

