¢st⁄ći K	रेस कोर्स रिंग	स टी भवन / 2 nd Floor, GST Bhavan, रोड, -/ Race Course Ring Road, ोट / Raikot 360-001	
,	Tele Fax No. 0281 – 2477952/2	······································	nt@gmail.com
ৰ্ক্তি	स्टर्ड डाक ए. डी. दवारा :-		
क	अपील / फाइल संख्या / Appeal / File No.	मूल आदेश सं / 01.0. No.	दिलांक /
	. V2/261 /RAJ/2017	DC/JAM/ST/53/2016-17	Date 25.05.2017
ख	अपील आदेश संख्या (Order-In-Appeal N	0.):	
	RAJ-EXCUS-	000-APP-108-2018-11	9
	आदेश का दिनांक / 30.05.2018 Date of Order:	जारी करने की तारीख / Date of issue:	01.06.2018
	कुमार संतोण , आयुक्त (अपील्स), राजग Passed by Shri Kumar Santosh,		Raikot
म	अपर आयुक्त/ संयुक्त आयुक्त/ उपायुक्त/ सहायक आयुक्त, मूल आदेश से स्जित: / Arising out of above mentioned OIO issued by Ac	केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुरुक/ सेवाकर, राजकोट / जामन	गर / गांधीधाम। द्वारा उपरतिखित जारी
घ	Rajkot / Jamnagar / Gandhidham : अपोलकर्ता & प्रतिवादी का नाम एवं पता M/s. Mahalaxmi Electricals, 1st Flo		
	इस आदेश(अपील) से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति निम्नलिखित तरी Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may) के में उपयव्स पाधिकारी / पाधिकरण के संगक्ष अ	ील त्युग कर अकता है।/
(A)	सीमा शुल्क ,केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अधीलीय न अंतर्गत एवं वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 के अंतन	यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपीत, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल ति निम्नतिखि+त जयह की जा सकती है ।/	क अधिांनेयम ,1944 की धारा 35B के
	Appeal to Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appell Finance Act, 1994 an appeal lies to:-	ate Tribunal under Section 35B of CEA.	1944 / Under Section 86 of the
(i)	वर्गीकरण सूल्यांकन से सम्बन्धित सभी ग्रामले सीमा शुल्क 2, आर- के. पुरम, नई दिल्ली, को की जानी चाहिए ॥	केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेताकर अपीलीय न्या	याधिकरण की विशेष पीठ. येम्ट ब्लॉक नं
	The special bench of Customs, Excise & Service matters relating to classification and valuation.		
(ii)	उपरोक्त परिच्छेद 1(a) में बताए गए अपीलों के अलावा (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, , द्वितीय तल, बहुमाली	। भवन असावी अहमदाबाद- ३८००१६ को की जामें)	चाहिए ।/
	To the West regional bench of Customs, Excise & Asarwa Ahmedabad-380016 in case of appeals oth		
(iii)	अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष अभीत प्रस्तुत करने के लिए गये प्रपत्र EA-3 को चार प्रतियों में दर्ज किया जाना चाहिए और लगाया गया जुर्माना, रुपए 5 लाख या उससे कम, 5 त रुपये, 5,000/- रुपये अथवा 10,000/- रुपये का निर्धारित न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा के राहायक रजिस्टार के नाम से कि संबंधित ड्राफ्ट का भुगतान, बैंक की उस शाखा में होना चाहिए लिए आवेदन-पत्र के साथ 500/- रुपए का निर्धारित शुल्क ज	। इनमें से कम से करा एक प्रति के साथ, जह एख रुपए था 50 लाख रुपए तक अथवा 50 लाख ए जमा शुल्क की प्रति संलयन करें। तिथांदि सी भी सॉर्वेजिनक क्षेत्र के बैक द्वारा जारी रेखांकि ए जहां संबंधित अपीतीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा	। उत्पाद शुल्क की माँग ,दयाज की माँग । रुपए से अधिक है तो कुमश: 1,000/- । शुल्क का भुगतान, संबंधित अपीलीय त बैंक ड्राफ्ट द्वारा किंगा जाना चाहिए ।
	The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be file Excise (Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompt 1,000/- Rs.5000/-, Rs.10,000/- where amount of above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed sector bank of the place where the bench of any is situated. Application made for grant of stay shall	panied against one which at least should duty demand/interest/penaity/refund is up bank draft in favour of Asst. Registrar o nominated public sector bank of the plac	be accompanied by a fee of Rs. to 5 Lac., 5 Lac to 50 Lac and of branch of any nominated public.
(B)	अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष अपील, वित्त अधिनियम, निर्धारित प्रेपत्र S.T5 में चार प्रतियों में की जा सकेगी एत (उनमें से एक प्रति प्रमाणित होनी चाहिए) और इनमें से न जुर्माना, रुपए 5 लाख या उससे कम, 5 लाख रुपए या 50 रुपये अथवा 10.000/- रुपये का निर्धारित नामा शुल्क की धं सहायफ रजिस्टार के नाम से किसी भी सार्वजिनक क्षेत्र के न्नै बैंक की उस शाखा में होना चाहिए जहां संबंधित अपीलीय न 500/- रुपए का निर्धारित शुल्क जमा करना होगा 1/	तं उसके साथ जिस आदेश के विरुद्ध अपील की र जय से कम एक एति के साथ, जहां सेवाकर की । लाख रुपए तक अथवा 50 लाख रुपए से अपि दि संलयन करें। निर्धारित थुल्क तन भुगतान, गर्न क दुवारा जारी रेखांकित बैंक झगट त्वारा किया ज	मधी हो, उसकी पहित साथ में संलगत नहें सॉय, हमाज की सॉम और लयाया गया क है तो कसश: 1,000/ रुपये, 5,000/- दित अपीतीय ल्यायाधिकरण की शाखा के बाना चाहिए। संबंधित इफ्ट का भूयलान.
	The appeal under sub section (1) of Section 8 quadruplicate in Form S.T.5 as prescribed under 1 copy of the order appealed against (one of which 1000/- where the amount of service tax & interest amount of service tax & interest demanded & p. Rs.10,000/- where the amount of service tax & in form of crossed bank draft in favour of the Assis	Rule 9(1) of the Service Tax Rules, 1904 i shall be certified copy) and should by i demanded & penalty levied of Rs. 5 La inalty levied is more than five lakts to interest demanded & penalty levied is more	I, and Shall be accompanied by a e accompanied by a fees of Rs. ikhs or less, Rs.5000/- where the jut not exceeding Rs. Fifty Lakhs, ore than fifty Lakhs rupees, in the

वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की घारा 86 की उप-धाराओं (2) एवं (2A) के अंतर्गत दर्ज की नथी अपील, सेताकर नियमवाली, 1994, के नियम 9(2) एवं 9(2A) के तहत निर्धारित प्रपत्र S.T.-7 में की जा सकेगी एवं उसके साथ आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अथवा आयुक्त (अपील), केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क द्वारा पारित आदेश की प्रतियाँ संतरन करें (उनमें से एक प्रति प्रमाणित होनी चाहिए) और आयुक्त दवारा सहायक आयुक्त अथवा उपायुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क/ शंधाकर, को अपीलीय ज्यायाधिकरण को आवेदन दर्ज करने का निर्देश देने वाले आदेश की घूलि भी साथ में सलग्ज करनी होगी। /

The appeal under sub section (2) and (2A) of the section 86 the Finance Act 1994, shall be filed in For ST.7 as prescribed under Rule 9 (2) & 9(2A) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994 and shall be accompanied by a copy of order of Commissioner Central Excise or Commissioner, Central Excise (Appeals) (one of which shall be a certified copy) and copy of the order passed by the Commissioner authorizing the Assistant Commissioner or Deputy Commissioner of Central Excise/ Service Tax to file the appeal before the Appellate Tribunal.

रोभा शुल्क, बेन्हीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय प्राधिकरण (संस्टेट) के प्रति अपीलों के मामले में बेन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम 1944 की धारा 350फ के अंतर्शत, जो की वित्तीय अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 83 के अंतर्शत सेवाकर को श्री लागू की गई है, इस आदेश के प्रति अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में अपील करते समय उत्पाद शुल्क/सेवा कर मांग के 10 प्रातेशत (10%), जब मांग एवं जुर्माना विवदित है, या जुर्माना, जब केवल जुर्माना विवादित है, का भुगतान किया जाए, वशते कि इस धारा के अंतर्शत जम कि जाने वाली अपेक्षित देय गरि दस करोड़ रुपए से अधिक न हो। (ii)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुलक एव सेवाकर के अंतर्गत "मांग किए गए शुलक" में लिस्न शामिस है धारा 11 डा के अंतर्गत रक्षम (i)

(ii) सेजवेट जमा की ली गई गलत राशि (iii)

सेनवेट जमा जियमावली के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत देव रक्तम

. बशर्त यह कि इस धारा के प्रावधान वित्तीय (प्रं. 2) अधिनियम 2014 के आरंभ से पूर्व किसी अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष विचाराधीन स्थगनः अञ्ची एवं अपील को लाग नहीं होगे।/

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, under Section 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944 which is also made applicable to Service Tax under Section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute, provided the amount of pre-deposit payable would be subject to a ceiling of Rs. 10 Crores,

- Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty Demanded" shall include (i)
 - amount determined under Section 11 D; amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken.
- (ii)
- amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules (iii)

- provided further that the provisions of this Section shall not apply to the stay application and appeals pending before any appellate authority prior to the commencement of the Finance (No.2) Act, 2014.

आरत सरकार को पनरीक्षण आवेदन : (C)

शिर्ण तेपुनार भा उत्तरा ने अविज (Johan) Revision application to Government of India: इस आदेश की पुनरीक्षण याचिका निम्नलिखित मामलों में, केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 35EE के प्रथम परंतुक के अंतर्गत अवर सचिव, भारत सरकार, पुनरीक्षण आवेदन ईकाई, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्य विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद भागे, नई दिल्ली-110001, को किया जाना चाहिए। /

A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Government of India, Revision Application Unit, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35B ibid:

यदि माल के किसी नुकसान के मामले में, जहां नुकसान किसी माल को किसी कारखाने से मंडार गृह के पारगमन के दौरान या किसी अन्य कारखाने या फिर किसी एक मंडार गृह से दूसरे मंडार गृह पारगगन के दौरान, था किसी मंडार गृह में या मंडारण में माल के प्रसंस्करण के दौरान, किसी कारखाने या किसी मंडार गृह में भाल के नुकसान के मागल में।/ (i)

In case of any loss of goods, where the loss occurs in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse

- भारत के लहर किसी राष्ट्र या क्षेत्र को निर्यात कर रहे माल के विनिर्भाण में प्रयुक्त कच्चे माल पर भरी गई केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क के छुट (रिबेट) के आगले में, जो भारत के बाहर किसी सब्दू या क्षेत्र को निर्यात की जशी हूँ। / (ii) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- धोद अत्याद शुल्क का मुगलान किए विजा भारत के वाहर, जैपाल या मूटान को माल नियोत किया गया है। / In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty. (iii)
- भुनिश्चित उत्पाद के उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो इंगूटी केडीट इस अधिनियम एवं इसके विभिन्न प्रावधानों के तहत मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो आयुक्त (अपील) के द्वारा वित्त अधिनियम (न. 2), 1998 की धारा 109 के द्वारा नियत की गई तारीख अथवा समायाविधि पर या बाद में पारित किए गए है।/ (iv)

Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec. 109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

- अपरोक्त आवेदन की दो प्रतियां प्रभन्न संख्या EA-8 में, जो की केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001, के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट है, इस आदेश के संप्रेषण के 3 माह के अंतर्गत की जानी चाहिए । अपरोक्त आवेदन के साथ मूल आदेश व अपील आदेश की दो प्रतियां संलग्न की जानी चाहिए। साथ ही केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-EE के तहत निर्धारित शुल्क की अदायगी के साक्ष्य के तौर पर TR-6 की प्रति (v) संखनन की जानी चाहिए। / The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.
- पुनरीक्षण आवेदन के साथ निम्नलिखिल निर्धारित शुल्क की अदायगी की जानी चाहिए । जहाँ शंतरज रकम एक लाख रूपये था उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/- का झुगतान किया जाए और यदि संतरन रकम एक लाख रूपये से ज्यादा हो तो रूपये 1000 -/ का भुगतान किया जाए । The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 200/- where the amount involved in Rupees One Lac or less and Rs. 1000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac. (vi)
- यदि इस आदेश में कई गुल आदेशों का समावेश है तो प्रत्येक मूल आदेश के लिए शुल्क का भगतान, उपयेक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिये। इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी की लिखा पढ़ी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलेय नयाधिकरण को एक अपील या केंद्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता है । / In case, if the order covers various numbers of order- in Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner, not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lakh fee of Rs. 100/- for each. (D)
- यथासंशोधित ज्यायालय शुल्क अधितियग, 1975, के अनुसूचीन के अनुसार मूल आदेश एव रूधमन आदेश की प्रति पर निर्धारित 6.50 रुपये का ज्यायालय शुल्क टिकिट लगा होना चाहिए। / One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjudicating authority shall beer a court fee stamp of Rs. 6.50 as prescribed under Schedule-i in terms of the Court Fee Act,1975, as amended. (12)
- सोग। খুল্ফ, কিন্দ্রীয় उत्पाद খুল্ফ एवं सेवाकर अभीक्षीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्य विधि) नियमावली, 1982 में वणित एवं अन्य संबन्धित मामलों को राजिमांगत करने वाले नियमों की और भी ध्यात आकर्षित किया जाता है। / Attention is also invited to the rules covering these and other related matters contained in the Customs, Excise and Service Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982. (F)
- उच्च अपीलीय प्राधिकारी को अपील दाखिल करने से संबंधित व्यापक, विस्तृत और नवीनतम प्रावधानों के लिए, अपीलार्थी विभागीय वेबसाइट (G) www.cbec.gov.in को देख सकते हैं । / For the elaborate, detailed and latest provisions relating to filing of appeal to the higher appellate authority, the appellant may refer to the Departmental website www.cbec.gov.in

(i)

÷.

::ORDER IN APPEAL ::

M/s. Mahalxmi Electricals, First Floor, Rajavir Complex, ST Road, Jamnagar 361005 (hereinafter referred to as "Appellant") filed present appeal against Order-In-Original No. DC/JAM/ST/53/2016-17 dated 24.03.2017 (hereinafter referred to as "the impugned order") passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Central Excise Division, Jamnagar (hereinafter referred to as "the lower adjudicating authority").

2. Brief facts of the case are that the Appellant was holding service tax registration number ACMPP1377MSD002 for taxable Services under the category of 'Erection, Commissioning and Installation Service", however, Audit of M/s. N. J. Devani Builders P Ltd, Ahmedabad alleged that Appellant had provided services to M/s. N. J. Devani as a Sub-Contractor for various electrical works. I was also alleged that the appellant had short paid service tax during the period from Jan, 2013 to June, 2016. Show Cause Notice dated 24.10.2016 (hereinafter referred to as "SCN") issued demanded service tax of Rs.28,31,971/under Section 73 of the Finance Act, 1994 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act") along with interest under Section 75 of the Act, penalty on the appellant under Section 76, 77 & 78 of the Act and also proposed imposition of . The lower adjudicating authority vide impugned order confirmed demand of service tax of Rs. 28,31,971/- along with interest and imposed penalty of Rs. 28,31,971/- under Section 78 of the Act with option to pay reduced penalty and also imposed late fee of Rs.79,800/- a under Section 70 of the Act and penalty of Rs.10,000/- under Section 77(2) of the Act.

3. Being aggrieved with the impugned order, appellant preferred present appeal, *inter-alia*, on the following grounds:-

(i) Adjudicating authority has not considered various submissions and documentary evidences submitted by them in form of Contracts, Invoices, VAT returns etc. filed by Appellant in support of their plea that Rule 2A of Service Tax Determination of Value Rules, 2006, no service tax can be demanded on value of goods that were sold and transferred in the course of providing service.

(ii) Appellant had transferred goods to M/s. N. J. Devani Builders P Ltd and hence it was not in disputed that service provided by the Appellant is covered under the category of "Works Contract Services" as they sold and transferred property in goods to M/s. N J Devani Builders P Ltd and to Pandit Deendayal

Page No. 3 of 14

Petroleum University and hence provided Works Contract Services; that demand of Service tax can not be confirmed on the ground that registration for Works Contract service was not obtained by Appellant for which only penal provisions can be attracted.

(iii) No service tax was payable by them on sale transactions made with Gujarat Energy Research Management Institute as consideration received was not service.

(iv) It was bonafide belief of Appellant that service of installation of electrical and electronic items sold by them is squarely covered within the definition of works contract service and no service tax is payable on the value of the goods sold, as provided under Rule 2A of Service Tax Determination of Value Rules, 2006; that demand of service tax can not be made by invoking extended period without establishing *mens rea* on the part of Appellant; that invocation of extended period is contrary to CBEC Cir No. 1053/02/2017-CX dated 10.03.2017; that no penalty was imposable upon him under Sectin 78 of the Act.
(v) Appellant submitted that Benefit of Cum duty tax is available to him.

4. Personal hearing in the matter was attended by Shri Vikas Mehta, Consultant, on behalf of the Appellant and reiterated the grounds of Appeal, filed written submission and contended that they have been providing works contract services all along from 2012-13 onwards; that service tax is not payable on value of goods supplied in WCS but VAT is payable on that. He also contended that service tax is payable as per Rule 2A of Service Tax Determination of Value Rules,2006; that they have already paid service tax in each year; that the impugned order has ignored these facts and demanded service tax on entire value of Works Contract Service including on value of goods supplied under VVork Contract Services; that they may be given two weeks time to submit additional submissions.

4.1 Appellant vide letter dated 05.03.2018 made additional submission reiterated the contents of reply filed before the Adjudicating authority and submitted copies of eight duly signed work orders by their client i.e. M/s. N J Devani Builders P Ltd, Pandit Deendayal Petroleum University, and Gujarat Energy Research and Management Institute. Appellant also submitted a certificate from Chartered Accountant and contended that service tax is demanded for the period upto 2014-15 and no demand is made from 2015-16 onwards; that this dichotomy per se would establish that all these contracts were

· Page No. 4 of 14

works contract and service tax could not have been lawfully demanded by taking the entire value of these contracts as taxable value for demanding service tax and relied upon the decisions in the case of Wipro GE Medical Systems P Ltd 2009 (14) STR 43 (Tri. Bang), M/s. LSG Sky Chefs (India) P Ltd 2009(15)STR 545 (Tri-bang), M/s. N.A. Enterprises 2014 (35) STR 856 (Tri-Bang), M/s. Balaji Triupati Enterprises 2013(32)STR 530 (All) and Ramesh Kumar 2017 (52) STR 512 (Tri Chennai).

4.2 No one appeared from the Department even though P.H. Notices were sent to the Commissionerate with request to attend P.H. on the given day.

FINDINGS: -

5. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case, the impugned order and written as well as oral submissions of the appellant. The issue to be decided in the present case is whether the appellant is eligible for exclusion of value of goods transferred to service receiver under Rule 2A of Service Tax Determination of Value Rules, 2006 or not and impugned order confirming demand of service tax and imposing penalty on appellant is correct or not.

6. I find that the Appellant's main contentions are that the services provided by them are in the nature of works contract service where they have supplied the goods property of which were transferred to the service recipient on payment of VAT. I find that the adjudicating authority has rejected the appellant's contention on the ground that Appellant had not obtained registration for works contract services, list of electrical materials attached with the contracts were not signed by the recipients of the services and contracts do not stipulate that the work assigned had been inclusive of material and labour to prove that the contracts were composite contracts involving material supply with labour and services.

6.1 I find that Appellant has submitted copies of the contracts/ work orders and also duly signed sheets attached to the work order. I find it appropriate to produce the copies of List of Description and first page of the list of Item with Description for better appreciation of the facts:-

Page No. 5 of 14

WORK ORDER No. NJD/ELEC/011 dd. 15.11.2011 of M/s. N Devani Builders P

Ltd for Rs.3,50,040,108/-

<u>.</u>

Description				Amount
DP Structure				210,669
Breaker				1.999
ansformer				1,050,000
isduci				64 060
ustation & Auxiliary Installation stilution Board				314.980
able Tranch				3,800,950
V Gabling				4,484 225
able Termination				94,295
able Tray				1,024,300
nternal Wiring				9,454,840
ight Extures and Fans arthing				3,487,205 898,455
elephone & Data Distribution Network				2,108,418
Ascellaneous				110,970
external Lighting				1.153,500
felevision Distribution				136,150
Lightung Arrestor DC cets				397,500
Fire Detection, Alarm and Control Systems		-		1,957,000
244o Sulveillance / CCTV Systems				139,635
Video Conferencino Systems				
Cubic Address System				37,040
fold for file ulgel works				35,040,103
Rehate @% (If any)				
Net Total) taptrical Works		Ber Solit Article in 1		35,040,108
	,			
ECTRICAL WORK OF SPT-III BUILDING MDP-PGP HOS	, TEL (PHASE	-II) PDPU-G.	andhinağaıf	
Item Description	Unit	-II) PDPU-G. (Rate	andhinağalı QTY	Amount
Item Description structure Linut Testing & Commissioning of HT DP Structure with nece	ssary Jelow.	Rate		Amount
Structure Juging, Testing & Commissioning of HT DP Structure with nece Juging, Testing & Commissioning of HT DP Structure with nece works as per specification and Detaits given to set of 11 kV Double pole MS structure (10 meters) set of 11 kV Double pole MS structure (10 meters) and 400 Amps GOD test DO tuses 1 set of MS Channel (ISIMC 75X40) supports 1 set of MS Channel (ISIMC 75X40) supports 1 set of Disc insulators (as required) 1 set of Stay wire (as required) 1 set of Stay wire (as required) ACSR DOG conductor with Raychem insulation tap (as required) after accessories & hardware to make system complete in all no sper standard design practice and CEIG norms. Eta in words eveling & rubble solling the switchyard and ground with 1" size or a height of 150 mm from ground level for 6 x 6 mtr area comp at respect as directed by engineer in charge.	INO.	Rate	OTY	Amount 160,000
Structure July Testing & Commissioning of H1' DP Structure with nece July Testing & Commissioning of H1' DP Structure with nece works as per specification and Detaits given to set of 11 kV Double pole MS structure (10 meters) No. 400 Amps GOD 1 set of AS Channel (ISMC 75X40) supports 1 set of AS Channel (ISMC 75X40) supports 1 set of Stay wire (as required) 1 set of Stay wire (as required) 1 set of Stay wire (as required) ACSR DOG conductor with Raychem insulation tap (as required) other accessories & hardware to make system complete in all no sper standard design practice and CEIG norms. Test in vords eveling & rubble solling the switchyard and ground with 1" size are height of 150 mm from ground level for 6 x 6 mtr area comp	I) espect metal plete in Job	160,000	QTY	Amount 160,000

Page No. 6 of 14

First page of Work Order NJD/ELECT/005 dtd. 05.09.2011 of M/s. N J Devani Builders, Ahmedabad for Rs.2,50,35,433/-

	CTRICAL WORK OF ENGINEERING COLLEGE AT M	Gatt	ក្រសិក។	ATY	Amount
r. No.	Itematecculation		a concern		1 s
	DP Structure		[.j
1.1	Supplying, Testing a Commission of the Driver Street with necessary civil works as per specification and Details pole MS structure (10 meters) b) 3 Nos. 9kV Gapless Lightning Arresters b) 3 Nos. 9kV Gapless Lightning Arresters b) 1 Nos. 9kV Gapless Lightning Arresters b) 1 Set Of Juses e) 1 set of MS Channel (ISMC 75X40) supports b) 1 Set of Pin Insulators (as required) g) 1 set of Disc insulators (as required) h) 1 set of Stay wire (as required) h) 1 set of Stay wire (as required) h) 1 set of Stay wire (as required)	No.	160,000	1	- 160,90
1	alber accessories & hardware to make system complete				
1.2	Rate in words Leveling & rubble soiling the switchyard and ground with 1" size metal for a height of 150 mm from ground level for 6 x 6 mtr area complete in all respect as directed by engineer in charge.	dol,	15,000	1	15.00
	Pate in words				
1.3	Chain link fencing 50 \times 50 mm Square of 10 SWG GI wire,2m height with gate for switchyard of 10 \times 6 m and Runs of barbed wire above complete in all respect as	Job	35,000	1	35.00
	Rate in words	•• •••••••••••• •••	Ward and the second	all and the lot	2 17 1 1 7 40 (NO)
$(z_i)^{(i)}$	Sub Total 1.0	15. A.Y.	a di anon	and an and a second and the	had a start and a start of the
2.0	HT Breaker Supplying, Testing & Commissioning of HT VCB panel consisting of 1 no. of 400A HT VCB, The panel should have tinned copper busbars and should have one no. of pulgoing cable box. The VCB should be complete with metering and protection as per specification.	Νσ.	1,015,090	1	1,015,000
	Metering Voltmeter, Ameter, Power fector reter(Electronic Type), Frequency meter (Electronic Type), DIGITAL KWH meter Current Transformers, Voltage Transformers, Trivector meter (digital) with KVA/ KVARH/ KVAH with maximum demand indication in KVA make : all meter as per material specification details.				
12	Relay a) T.P. IDMTL Relay with 2 O/C & 1 E/F Element type EE or equivalent make b) S.P. IDMTL Relay with E/F Element type equivalent to CDG 14 of EE make 4 p c)X ther Auxiliarly protection relay for WT1 (i) High speed tripping relay equivalent to VAJH 13 of Eeh a) Auxiliary relay for winding temperature alerm and the Supplementation of the speed tripping temperature alerm and the				
	Supplying and loying of control wrining using 4c X 2.5 mm2 try clusulased and sheathad, amounted, copper conductor supply of type accessories i er Cable gland, lugs etc	Mir	บล	5	475

6.2 I find that above work order refers supply of various Electrical equipments/articles to the service recipient with Rate and value of the goods to be supplied. I also find from the work orders dated 07.06.2013, dated 15.7.2013 and dated 8.1.2014 from Pandit Deendayal Petroleum University that scope of the work defines "Procurement and Installation of Electrical Items" and description of item also stipulates supply of goods. Relevant sheets of these contracts are also reproduced as under:-

Page No. 7 of 14

WORK ORDER No. PDPU/2014/Seimens/003 dated 8.1.14

	Total Amount		1€,01,800.00	
Supply and Installation of 32mm PVC Casing Caping Path	mtr	200.00	38.00	7,600.00
Supplying, installing, testing and commissioning of one Mephane point to be done with telephone outlet socket RI-11 (lack type) and box of the specified make.	no	16.00	400.00	6,400.00
rels to be drawing of specified make Cat 5.4 pair upply and laying of specified make Cat 5.4 pair elephone data wire to be done in prelaid PVC pipe / aceway, but without the cost of raceway or pipe (the vire is to be drawn from server/switch to outlet point) elephone/Data Outlet Point	rntr	1000.00	24.00	24,000.00
ppiy and laying of specified make Cat 6 4 pair opputer data wire to be done in pretaid PVC pipe / way, but without the cost of raceway or pipe (the re is to be drawn from server/switch to outlet point)	rntr	6000.00	40.00	2,40,000.00

(= PDPU E) (107 dl) Vour (201 h

WORK ORDER NO. PDPU/2013/SPT-3/ADMIN-4 DATED 7.6.13

W. Ant

31. 10.	l'arthuilars	Description of liem	Read. Qiy	Unii Rate	Total Amount (in Rs.)
ļ	Electrical Point	As per existing cabin	13	3,900/-	50,700/-
2	LAN Cable	As per existing cabin	1300	43/-	55,900/-
	Total				1,06,600/-

COMPLETION TIME:

he completion time for above mentioned work will be One month subsequent to the date of issuan is work order. All other terms and condition are as per above mentioned tender.

^{ou} are requested to return a copy of this order, duly signed in token of your acceptance and mobiliz appower and material so as to complete the project in stipulated time.

^{hanking} you,

Por Matheuleum Ele.

lugur Es

Alex allers.

Yours Since

OSID (A & I



Page No. 8 of 14

. •,

٠.

Work order PDPU/2013/GEO-3/DATED 15.7.13

Annexure: A						
Jil Floor, SPT-4 Workshop Block Date := 15/7/20						
Non: Electrical Item Item Decsription						
Itam Dacsription	UOM	1	فبتسق مقدمة ترمست أيت			
Part - A	908	Qty.	Rate	i Amouro		
Part - A						
				1		
supply & installation of 6/16A x 250v point for Single Phase Power Point	Nos.	2	24(7)	4000		
Supply & Installation of GA x 250v point for Single Phase Power Point	1465.	ü	850	3645 5		
the strong and providing main with 2 nos, of 4.0 summer or where B 1 the 3 r						
somm Cu. Wire for earthing	Rint	110.00	150.00	15500.0		
Casing Coping Potti	Rmit.	10.00	30.00	L		
		10.00		4 - Terri - Contanto Contra Contanta da Carto d		
(A) TOTAL Rs.	ی ہے سبب در پی اندر نوں پر		·	25046.0		
Supply and laying of specified make Cat 6.4 pair Computer data wire	Brot.	73.00	43.00	3139.01		
(regrand Make)		10,00				
Supplying and providing main with 2 nos, of 2.5 sqinm cu. Wire & 1 No. 1.5	Brot.	5.00	120.00	806.61		
symm Cu, Wire for earthing		5.00	120.00			
Computer Power Point (Legrand Make)	No.	1.00	3900.00	3999.00		
Supply and Installation of powder coated MS base box suitable for Cat6a	,	1.00	150.00			
Computer Data 1/O's .	No.	1.00	450.00	450.00		
Casing Caping Patti	Rnit.	10,00	30.00	300.00		
(B) TOTAL RS.				8389.00		
Part - C				·		
Supply and laying of specified make Cat 6.4 pair Computer data wire	Rmt.	70.00	43,09	3010.00		
(Legrand Make)		10.00				
Supplying and providing main with 2 nos. of 2.5 sqmm cu. Wire & 1 No. 1.5	Rint.	53.00	120.00	6360.00		
Syrom Cu, Wire for earthing				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Computer Power Point (Legrand Make)	No.	1.00	3200,00	<u>v-çə dr.</u>		
Supply and Iristallation of powder coated MS base box suitable for CatGa	No.	1.00	450.00	430.00		
Computer Data I/O's .		10.00	30,00	200.00		
Casing Caping Patti (C) 101AL fts.	Rint.			309.00		
				3*020.06		
Part - 1						
Supply and laying of specified make Cat 6.4 pair Computer data wire	Rmt	350.00	43.00	15050.00		
llegrand Make) Supplying and providing main with 2 nos. of 2.5 sqmm.cu. Wire & 1 No. 1.5						
Sopplying and providing main with 2 hos. of 2.5 squarter, this of 2 hos	flmt.	90.00	120.00	10800.00		
Sqmm Cu, Wire for earthing	No.	6.00	3900.00	23400.00		
Computer Power Point (Legrand Make) Supply and Installation of powder coated MS base box suitable for CatGa		6.00	450.00	1700.000		
Computer Data I/O's	^{ti} o.	6.00	450.00	2700.00		
	Nos.	2.00	860.00	1720.00		
Supply & Installation of 6A x 250v point for Single Phase Power Point				ر المراجع عن الريم الر المعندي السيري سيروسروب		
Supplying and providing main with 2 nos. of 4.0 sqmm cu. Wire & 1 No. 2.5	Rmt.	45.00	150.00	6750.00		
Sqmm Cu, Wire for earthing				750.00		
Cool	Rmt.	25.00		61170.00		
Part-1 TOTAURS.						
Part - Z			13.00	14620.02		
Supply and laying of specified make Cat 6.4 pair Computer data wire	Rmt.	340.00	00.EL	140-03		
llegrand Make) Supplying and providing main with 2 nos. of 2.5 sqmm cu. Wire & 1 No. 1.5	Rest	90.00	120.00	10800.000		
"pplying and providing main with 2 nos. or 7.5 square set				لــــــ		
Summ Co. Wire for carthing				۰		
			r			

Page No. 9 of 14

9

WORK ORDER DATED PDPU/2013/DATED 10.5.13

solar Research Centre ,SPT-J Workshop Building GF

ielectrical liem Item Deceription	Unit	Qty.	Rate	Amount
wpply and laying of specified make Cat 6 4 pair Computer data wire legrand Make)	Rmt.	1000.00	43.00	43000.0
upply and laying of specified make Cat 5.4 pair Telephone data wire (RR abel)	Rmt.	1000.00	40.00	40000.0
Computer Power Point (Legrand Make)	No.	61.00	3900.00	237900.0
upplying, installing, testing and commissioning of one telephone point to te done with telephone outlet socket RJ-11 (jack type) and box of the pecified make.	No.	61.00	365.00	22265.0
upply and Installation of powder coated MS base box suitable for Cat6a omputer Data I/O's .	No.	61.00	450.00	27450.0
Casing Caping Patti	Rint.	500.00	30.00	15000.0
/16A x 250v point for Single Phase Power Point	No.	55.00	2400.00	132000.0
2 A x 415 V plug & socket with interlocked switched socket, FP MCB and urface mounting box IP 66 with DIN rail for 5 module equivalent to egrand make Cat no.56674 but without cost of wiring and piping.	No.	10.00	11500.00	115000.0
Demical Fathing	No.	2.00	14000.00	28000.0
supplying and Providing mains with 4 nos. of 6 sq mm Cu. PVC insulated lexible wire with TSI and FIA approval for 650 V/ 1100 V and 2 nos 2.5 sorm Cu. PVC insulated flexible wires	Rmt.	500.00	390.00	195000 (
Supplying and providing main with 2 nos. of 2.5 symmicul Wire & 1 No. 1.5 Symmicul Wire for earthing	Rmt.	300.00	120.00	36000.0
Supplying and providing main with 2 nos. of 4.0 sqmm cu. Wire & 1 No. 2.5 Sqmm Cu. Wire for earthing	Rmt.	300.00	150.00	45000 (
CC 2 A 22 C L 2 D MALL ACCORD FOR	No.	1.00	18000.00	18000.0
5.E.1 & C of only 12 way virth DB Supplying, erecting, testing and commissioning of only TPN D.B. with space for FPIMCB as incoming and space for DPELMCB and & SPIMCB as outgoing per phase with PPI kit(i.e. 12 way per phase D.B.) eqequivalent to Legrand Catino 607718+607893	No.	1.00	7700.00	7700 0
6 to 32 A SP MCB	No.	36.00	185.00	0666
63 A FP MCB	No.	2.00	2250.00	4500 (
63 A DP ELMCB(ELCB+MCB) (100mA)	No.	3.00	4100.00	12300
JZA TP MCB	<u> No. </u>	22.00	960.00	21120 (
JIZA IP MCB				

VAT Will be Extra as applicable

Repard de

d()' ≥≬

6.3 I find that the work orders dated 10.5.13 & dated 8.1.14 from the Pandeet Dindayaal University refer "VAT will be extra as applicable" and the Work order dated 04.07.13 of Gujarat Energy Research and Management Institute is in respect of Supply & Installation of Electrical Point and LAN Point for Biometric System Setup. Appellant submitted Chartered Accountant's certificate dated

Page No. 10 of 14

pppu

19.02.2018 issued by M/s. Chetan Agrawal & Co certifying that the appellant has paid VAT on sale Transaction and service tax on provision of services to the service recipients discussed hereinabove and also produced year wise & client wise work sheets giving details of 'sales invoices' & 'service invoices' and tax paid by the Appellant correlating Work Orders. I am, therefore, of the considered view that the transfer of property in goods supplied during the course of provision of services is established. The appellant has submitted that the value of services is required to be considered as per the provisions of Rule 2A of the Service Tax (Determination of Value) Rules, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as "Valuation Rules", which reads as under:-

"RULE 2A. Determination of value of service portion in the execution of a works contract. — Subject to the provisions of section 67, the value of service portion in the execution of a works contract, referred to in clause (h) of section 66E of the Act, shall be determined in the following manner, namely :-

(i) Value of service portion in the execution of a works contract shall be equivalent to the gross amount charged for the works contract less the value of property in goods [or in goods and land or undivided share of land, as the case may be] transferred in the execution of the said works contract.

Explanation. - For the purposes of this clause,-

(a) gross amount charged for the works contract shall not include value added tax or sales tax, as the case may be, paid or payable, if any, on transfer of property in goods involved in the execution of the said works contract;

(b) value of works contract service shall include, -

(i) labour charges for execution of the works;

(ii) amount paid to a sub-contractor for labour and services;

(iii) charges for planning, designing and architect's fees;

(iv) charges for obtaining on hire or otherwise, machinery and tools used for the execution of the works contract;

(v) cost of consumables such as water, electricity, fuel used in the execution of the works contract;

(vi) cost of establishment of the contractor relatable to supply of labour and services;

(vii) other similar expenses relatable to supply of labour and services; and

(viii) profit earned by the service provider relatable to supply of labour and services;

(c) where value added tax or sales tax has been paid or payable on the actual value of property in goods transferred in the execution of the works contract, then, such value adopted for the purposes of payment of value added tax or sales tax, shall be taken as the value of property in goods transferred in the execution of the said works contract for determination of the value of service portion in the execution of works contract under this clause;

(ii) Where the value has not been determined under clause (i), the person liable to pay tax on the service portion involved in the execution of the works contract shall determine the service tax payable in the following manner, namely :-



Page No. 11 of 14

(A) - in case of works contracts entered into for execution of original works, service tax shall be payable on forty per cent of the total amount charged for the works contract;

[Provided that where the amount charged for works contract includes the value of goods as well as land or undivided share of land, the service tax shall be payable on thirty per cent. of the total amount charged for the works contract.]

(B) in case of works contract, not covered under sub-clause (A), including works contract entered into for, -

(i) maintenance or repair or reconditioning or restoration or servicing of any goods; or

(ii) maintenance or repair or completion and finishing services such as glazing or plastering or floor and wall tiling or installation of electrical fittings of immovable property,

service tax shall be payable on seventy per cent. of the total amount charged for the works contract.

»

6.4 I find that as per Rule 2A of the Valuation Rules, Value of service portion in the execution of a works contract shall be equivalent to the gross amount charged for the works contract less the value of property in goods transferred in the execution of the said works contract. Rule 2A of the Valuation Rules provides for mechanism to ascertain the value of services in a composite works contract involving services and goods. I find that no evidence is adduced in the SCN nor discussed in the impugned order that the sale of goods which are covered under VAT returns were not part of the services provided by the Appellant. The Chartered Accountant's certificate and Appellant's statement dated 2.8.2016 stating that they were preparing separate invoices each for material supply and towards provisioning of services, are evidencing that the appellant was providing works contract services with transfer of property in goods whereas show cause notice did not allege that VAT paid goods(reported in VAT returns) were different from what were supplied during the course of provisioning of the services. Once it is established that the contract for services also includes supply of goods and if VAWsales tax has also been paid on such supply, I have no option but to hold that the appellant has paid VAT on goods transferred to the recipient and value of such goods is required to be excluded from the gross amount charged for the works contract services provided by him. It is now settled position of law that once an amount suffers sales tax/ VAT, service tax can not be demanded on the same amount

6.5 As regards services provided to M/s. Malani Construction Co, Rajkot appellant has not given any specific submission however, in their submission dated 05.03.2018, they reiterated their reply filed before the Adjudicating

Page No. 12 of 14

authority. I find that Appellant at Para 9 of the said reply has contended that to the best of their knowledge service was provided in relation to a government-run hospital and would submit further submission to the adjudicating authority. I find that appellant, except expressing their belief, has not submitted, any evidence in support of their claim that no service tax was payable by them on the service provided to M/s. Malani Construction Co. I, therefore, reject the appeal to that extent and hold that service tax is payable by the Appellant on the value of the services provided to M/s. Malani Construction Co, Rajkot.

7. I find that Appellant had not obtained registration of the services under the category of "Works Contract Services" and had applied for amendment in registration only in July, 2016. This is a technical aspect as non-inclusion of any service in the service tax registration do not alter nature of the services provided and assessment of service tax can not be made on the basis of services registered in registration certificate only. However, the appellant failed to include the said service in their service tax registration till July, 2016 in violation of Rule 4 of Service Tax Rules, 1994 and hence, I hold penalty of Rs.10,000/- imposed upon the appellant under Section 77(2) is correct, legal and proper.

8. I find that late filing of ST-3 returns is not disputed by the Appellant and hence, I uphold imposition of late fee of Rs.79,800/- under Rule 7C of Service Tax Rules, 1994 read with Section 70 of the Act.

9. I find that appellant has not disclosed their liability of service tax on the services provided by them to M/s. Malani Construction Co Rajkot and non payment of service tax was revealed only during the course of investigation. I am of the considered view that in era of self assessment, the appellant is required to comply with the law on their own, in which appellant failed inasmuch as appellant declared incorrect value and also not paid service tax. Therefore, ingredient of suppression of facts with intent to evade payment of service tax demand payable in respect of the services provided to M/s. Malani Construction Co., Rajkot under Section 78 of the Act. However, I hold that since service tax demand in respect of services provided to service recipients other than M/s. Malani Construction Co., Rajkot does not sustain, no penalty is imposable upon the appellant under Section 78 of the Act.

Page No. 13 of 14