

"आयुक्त ।अवीत्रशा का का≉श्रिक केल्क्रीन करत् पर्य हेवा कर् और करनद शुस्कर 0-0 Тип турм чихвичен к (хичнатк), инмивад, се радеждіве, і

# दक्तिक एक, की एक हैं अबक J 🗺 Franç GST Blacks, क्षेत्र कोर्स किए केंद्र - Race Casese Ting Ricel,



TM 6 Five No. #381 | 24 (1955):244 (142)  $F(a) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{$ 



र्गवेक्टडं सन् ए. हो, रुज्या :

आलि अपद्रिक्त प्रकार

Appropriate Auto Mail V2/19ку кумерасту. js .गर्थहर स

0.5 D. NU

1047.1076.15ec/25/2816-17

. Geraphi

Doce 44 05/4957

ामिन प्रातेष सरका (Oscer Er Appeal Nute

# XXXV-EXCUS4000-APP4071-2018-19.

žiost, er iš−ie d Ditto of Codera

26.04.2016

वारी पहले के सार्वाध है।

Carried Isone

08.05.2006

Passer by Shri P. A. Vatavo, Commissioner, CCSI in Central Income, Sutch[Bandbldkam].

भारिक्षुभाग सम्बद्धा वर अभिनेत्रका (१८) एका अध्यक्षेत्रकारकारक २००७ में १ एम भन्ने सार्व ऑस्ट्रिस हमाहर हा ।  $\cos (i\omega) = \int_0^1 0 dt \cos (i\omega) \sin (i\omega)$ के हैंथ अभार कुला, कबल (गर्भवाम), यो विकास पीति । १५४४ की पालक्ष्यक्ता केरीब हाएट , क प्रत्यास करों की सद्दे अमील के प्रस्ति में आदेश प्रति करते के उद्देश्य में उनके प्राप्त अध्कारीकीयम् प्रतित पादकको क हम **में न**िह्न (नेट) जना है.

University to be Branchs to the companion by weight (0.22) (50) dated (7.00017) confided Dated's Order No. 05/05/1580 dated ( $\sigma \sim 2017$ ), that F/g Vester, Constitutions, 1999) is Central Basse, Kutchiffon and them), the potentiappointed as Appellate Anti-Ladie, for The prospect of passing of  $16.6 \pm m_{
m page}$  of 2 mappings fits. Under distinct 35 of Domesticemest erd, iNAA and Stochon is, of the Plagage (cdg 1994)

क्षपर व्यारकत्वर परिवृक्त कार राज के अभावत्वर मुख्यक आकृतता, विकास क्रमान भूकर रहेन कर, उसकार है जा जन ह

ாவுள்ளிரும் எசிர்ப்படிரி அவளில் அனிகர் விடும் சிரியிரும் இரு சிரியிரும் இருக்கு இருக்கு இருக்கு இருக்கு இருக்க இடியாக படிய விடியில் உள்ள பெற்றி விடும் இருக்கு இரு Additionally Injet (Jeputy / Additionally Injet (Jeputy / Additionally Injet) பிருக்கு இருக்கு सपीतकतो ६८ पति एके का अन्य एवं पत्र अभवतात स्थलताहरू यो काम सृत्युकारियाके के एक्ट्र प्राप्त है है।

1. M/s Datshau Coustinellon Co., Tecon Complex 63, Weift Part, Perhament,

इस आर्टिशक्र्यामा से व्यक्ति कर्ष व्यक्ति 'नेवन्धिसित हाकि है के कुल विकरी र पश्चिम् के साक्ति ै प्रति है, पर तम जन्म है। And pursual space (Fig. 1) के Chapter-Andrew may like an append to ship appropriate as Joanity कि The Inflowing way

ਈ। 1 ਅਰਜ਼ਾ (ਜੇਜ਼ਲੀਸ਼ ਤਰਸਤ ਸਭਾਵ ਦਾ ਹੈ ਜੇਸ਼ਕਰ ਦੀ।ਜੀਸ਼ ਹਨਾਨਾ ਮਿਸਲਾ ਨੇ ਪ੍ਰਤੀ ਤਸੰਕਰ ਜੇਜ਼ਲੀਨ ਤਸੰਕ ਕਰਤ ਮੁੱਧੀਜ਼ਿੰਦੀਆਂ (19 - ਸਾਂ ਇੱਕ ਤਿਹੜੇ ਜੇ ਤਜ਼ਜ਼ੀਰ ਤਸ਼ਾ ਇੱਕ ਜ਼ੀਮਿੰਸਕਸ, 1994 ਜੀ ਸਿਰ 50 ਜੇ ਜੰਜੰਬਰ ਜਿਸ਼ਸ਼ੀਸ਼ੀਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹ ਸਭਾਵ ਕੀ ਕਰ ਜਕਦੀ ਹੈ। Appeal in Castering 95 of the Vizacce Act, 1997 and appeal basile. izi.

दर्गराहम् मृत्यानमा मि एक्बोरिया सभी मामर्ग जीमा १६४५, अस्तीय इसाधन शुन्दा गर मेह्नाह शास्त्रीय स्थानाधिकामी बीट विशेष भार विकासभीका है । आहे की पैत्रम साई किस्सी, की की जाती शिक्षिण र चीत प्रकारांची benefit of Sustain, Basise & Service Basise Basise this territory of West Black No. 2, L.X. Burst, Bown Cellum of trackers relating to elegating two restors Z

क्ष्यांकर परिचार, १७६ से बताय एयं अपीया के अपाय श्रीक कामी अभिन्ये दीवा आकर्, मैंटीय अभाद १७०० ए० में एक अपीकीय ने प्रतिकरण (मिन्टेंट) की परिचा संदेश प्रतिकता, प्रतिकीत तथ, व्यूतनी श्रीकी संदर्भ स्तारी अपीकी सहस्रकार १८७० के की एको प्रांतिक ए 46

)) the Weig regard, send on this same. Choise 5 Service that Apparlability fundamental  $(0.57)^{1/2}$  and 2.5 Fiber, Shadler II Shayam. Assume Almodatical 380016 of the of appears of sentences matches of an early above.

ाहित्य कालादिक्षण के समझा प्रचार बहाद करना के दिन के ईए उत्तर करना क्षिणों कि मिले हैं हैं, 2001. दे 'बाबम दे के प्राचीत केए, 'का कि अमें किया है हैं हैं की भी जिल्ला के की किया करना हरीया। इस के एक करने के अप एक की कहा है किया का कि अप का कर कर के अप जा कर है किया है के अप का कि अप के की की का अप का कि अ ार्ज (<mark>गर्क) ११ किए अनद्भाग्यन के साथ १९१५ हैं . के अनेश्रीके दुर्वक निर्मे करणा हैं</mark>गे हैं

181

The appeal of the sub-scene. If of Stenion Step Lie Figures Att. 1901, to the Appellate Subjudies the best of participations in 1 mm 1. In as representational adult of the first term, and subjudies the sub-scene of the scene of the sub-scene of the scene of the

शिल्ल अधिकरियम (१९४४ के प्राप्त २४) के काश्याक्षण ५४) एक १४४। के असमस्य की की उन्हें असीन, हेरकार निवसकारी, १७७७ के सिवस १९४१ के जो ६ के समुद्र दिखींकी करण ४३। असे की या उन्होंने एक उनके साथ ार्क , कार प्राप्त के प्राप्त के का कार के कि का अपने के कि है में का का मान के का का प्राप्त के का की पार्टिय पार्ट्क , के होना अने के कुछ । यह जा प्रमुख्य कार्यने के हैं में कार का अपने के हमा आदि जा देश की पार्टिय संचान को (कार्य के कार्यक स्थान का अपीक्षिय स्थान के अपने मान कार्यक का स्थान के अपने का अपने कार्यक कार्यक क कि भी साथ के कार्यक प्राप्त किया है . \*\*\*

To replicate equal total policies. Some district the worker the transported 1994 and front in the Supplied modern makes by a surface trade to the worker the Supplied makes to accomplished to the Supplied Supplied Supplied to the Supplied Supplied Supplied Trade (Supplied Supplied Su

ीमा अभिकेत अन्तरीय अनाम १७५५ ए। ए एक भाग स्वरीओय अधिकारका विष्ठतीय ना स्वरी अध्यक्षि के **माराज रा अस्तरीय** च्याद कारण अभिनेत्रका असले को प्राची अध्यक्त के आक्रमा, या बार केर्निक क्रिकित हैं अध्यक्त के कार 35 का बोड़िकों के तर का 30 आए तमे कहें हैं, इस अद्धार के पाने अमित्रका विकास में आहे बाद कार्य अपने असर पुन्त और कर मार्थ के 3 प्रतिपत (10%), अब पाने अने दुनी । विक्रिक्त हैं, या सुनीस का केंद्रा क्रिक्न विक्रिक हैं, या भूगाना केंद्रा करहें भरता के अस मार्थ अनेर्मन नात कि उन्न मार्थ आक्रेस के स्थान कार्य अ**ग**ीन हा।

कैन्द्रीय कर 15 कुछक् ए। के जर कर 1. देश १९वा ती**ए तम शुन्दर में 'बे**न्स स्वितिहास

भारत भारतीय केल्पीन दाव

रें कर दर्भ की यो पई करता याहे.

---हैंक अपने किया और के दिनम 6 के अध्यक्ति हम स्वस्त

- भारती रक्ष कि क्या भारत के करभार कि.लेंद है - 21 जांकेंदियन 2004 के ताथा है **क्ये किया** प्रापृद्धि

Fig. 3. As the set set of the set of the property of an architecture of the first of the set of th es.. Ciwe.,

Code Color Estado en Compre Des Phony Legianded séroltés, and figurantes de minerales actuales de minerales actuales de la compre de minerales de mi

- (2) **अ**गता सरकार की पूर्व दिया आहंदत .
  Revision application to Georgeological of Ladder

  6: 10년 전 गूर्व (17 कि 15 मार्ग (15 कि 15 कि 15
- ं वार्ष नात के निश्ं तुक्सान के अपना के प्रकार करना किसे भारा की किशी कारकार्त है होता. यह के बाता का तुन श्रीका का किसी एकिय करणानी के किश किशी एक शहर कहा ने इसरे भ्रम्भ का पारत्यका के तीरका, या किशी किश एक के शहर के भारत के प्रसार का प्रसार का किशी के श्रीक के किशी के हैं के किशी होतर के लिए के उपने के उपने क के समार्थित के स्थापन किशी है कि किशी के किशी के समार्थ के समार्थ के किशी के समार्थ के समार्थ के समार्थ के समार्थ
  - microssion may look QLybuda, vilker. Blockbas province in Iranizel from a Inchery to a may shouse of to keep the Timerry of Them and worm on use to exist the due to Courts. All provinces of the green in a warned as on in which to eviration on a feet or a major of the
- 1001 विकास के प्रत्य का राम में प्रतिक दिया अन्ता के साहत, तेवान सा भूतात की अहा (मेक्ट्रेन (As) बाहा है) है कि Gasard (genderes) के culture side testes expent to Gasar or Discount perform payment of degree
- by ह्योचित स्पार से स्वादेश है का अध्यक्षित के किया जा पूर्व के स्वाद कर स्थाप के स्वाद स्थाप के स्वाद के हैं कि से किया के स्वाद के स्वा
- एप उन्होंचर अच्छान भी दी प्राप्तियों प्राप्त संख्या (१९०५ मी. जो की के द्वीन प्राप्तिय १००० (१४)) कि अपने की अपने कि अपने अपने कि अपन
- 1-8 নাইলেন স্বাধিত কি নাই নিই নিই বিধা গাঁও বিধা ছাকে কা সম্বাধি কা নাই আছে।

  কিই নিৰ্দেশ হৰণ এই কাছা হ'ব বা জন্ম নাইলেন ট্রিটা করা ক্রমেন্ত্রন কালেন নিইল আছে লাই কি ইক্ষেত্রক কালেন কালেন নাইলেন কালেন কা
- (5) बॉट इस लाइरे में कई मृत करोड़ के सार्वात है के पत्तीक पृत्र 3 देश के लिए काक का 3 तलान उपर्यक्त का है कि सार्वात प्रति है हम एक सार्वात है कि मिट उपराद के लिए पहिल्ल के लिए का है कि मिट उपराद के लिए का है कि मिट उपराद के लिए का निर्माण के लिए के लिए का निर्माण के लिए के लिए
- টি এটার মার্কিন ক্যাব্যার প্রথম প্রথম প্রথম (১৫১), বি কল্বন্ধী চল প্রকৃতির ক্যা প্রায়ে তথা ক্ষেত্রত স্থানিক বর্ষ আন কর্ম ক্ষেত্রতার ১৪ জন্ম বর ক্রাকারত প্রকৃতি বিশিষ্ট বিশ্ব ক্ষা আছিল। One deposit approximate to 20 - 20 th messed may be, and the miles of the seduction approximation of the seduction of the 10 billion of the 10 billi
- (F) मोमा भूतक, पिन्छय उत्तरक शृहत एवं होदयन अपीयय विचारित्तरण (यार्थ क्षिप) विचारकती (1982 े बिंग) एवं भूतर हवतियम् सामन्त्रं भर्ग माहि, पित्त शहरी द्वार्थ विश्वमा श्री (तीर क्षिण) तालामि, किया काला (ति) Artendrian or alian months for the missers within the sense of a located massers contained in the Care, 225, (refer that Sentine Armelland Common [Procedure] Palice, 1982.
- (6) एक अभिनेत्र प्रशिव्यक्षेत्र में अभिनादासिक करने में संबंधित का वर्ष विक्रम और मधीनतम विद्याल के किए. लगे नाथी में मधीन मैनेट दें। कक्ष्मक bec jost ± का केल सामा है । में Por the elementary determination of some programment is along to filling of appeal to the bid per appullate authority. Elemphy Dang may get to the Perorimental without www. elempy...

### :: ORDER -IN -APPEAL ::

## 1.0. BRIEF FACTS AND GROUNDS OF APPEALS

- The subject appear has been preferred by M/s. Darshan Constitution Company, Taxon Complex', 03, Ward-Pim, Percander-09/07/9 (hyremafia) interaction as fitte appealant?) egainst the Order In-Original No. 104/AC/STAX/DIV/2016-17, dtii:22.00.2017 (homination retorned to as "the improgned order") bassed by the Assistant Commissioner (AD), Service Tax Division. Shavnagar (homination reformed to as "the Adjudicating autitionity"). The Appellant are engaged in providing taxobe services of categories (Commercial & Industrial Construction 1031A) sonwed and they are registered with service tax vide Regist at on No. AACFD4367430002.
- 1.2. Intelligence gathered revealed that M/s. Taxon infrastructure Pvt. I.d. (Hereinather referred to as 1) Pt \*) and its statence; seen units , including the Appellant were indulging into the evasion of services by non-payment/sarot payment of service tax to respect of taxeble services provided/receives by them. Second on the infoligence, search of the office promises of the Appellatives can fee out on 03.15.2014.
- 13. If the course of investigation, statement of Shri Mahandrakuman Goldaldas Katricha, Partnor et me Appallant was recordad on 38 38.2015, wilerein he interalia stated that they are engaged in providing infrastructure related services (keconstruction of mac learnal offices sub-syntactor for the Covernment Projects, task they had cartled out such works as sub-conhabon of M/s. TIPL and all those services word exempited from so vice rax; that he produced copies of three service lexitetures for the period ended on March, 2011. September 2011 and March, 2012; that in 2010. 11. She Aghallant had provided construction services to M/e. Chinag Construction. (hereinafter referred to as (Ms, CC') as their s in-contractor in assemble (in Dry Dack) Project at Pipassa Shlayard Ltd. (Hereinalter referred to as 1968, PSL\*), that he procupant engine of the contract/work order did 22,09,2010 entered with Mile, CC and stallargines raised and ledger of Ms. CC maintained in their books of accounts, that the three secretariax returns theritay thos: were pertaining to the services they had provided to Mrs. CC, that they had discharged service tax hability on actual receipt of consideration basis. however sorvice that on the amount net received from M/s, CC hadnul Leen, paid, since as per the provisions of service tax laws prevailing up to 01.07.2011, service tax was payable on smount extinity received from the service reciplant against the trouble service provided that except the service provided to M/s.  $\odot 0$ , as more and above, the Appellant had provided services of expansion 0 's 0 like 0∖y/g, ITPL, as the sisut-contractor for execution or construction of road particulous by them, hence the same was exempted from service but this, he such that contact of the Involves raised by this print on Mis. IIII for providing services of excavation of şaittamın and capins of the sub-contracts made with M/s. T.PL , that he also subir flet Ledger of Mai TIPL reportained on their books of accounts; that the Appellant had not



provided any type of services to any other company/ time except those subdifically, mentioned in the statement.

- After investigation, it appears() that during 2010-11, the Appellant had provided Commonat and Industrial Constitution services in terms of Section 88(105)(224) of the Finerese Act, 1994 (Hereinatter reterrents) as "The  $\hbar\omega t$ ) to M/s. CC. as contheir bork order did.22.09.2010 les per six RA Bills and also provided samicos. of trigitarmation & stearance, excession and earthrowing & central tion so per Section. GBT (165) (szezett of the Actito MAz. TIPE as their stor-contractor for Kolikhada-Pafer Canal project. It also appeared that as per the fotger of M/s. CC maintained by the Appellant, they had recover. Rs.20.21.068/ louring 2010-31 to 2014-15 from Mts. CC for gravious file services of Commismel Industrial Construction sorvices, who reas in the AT-3 returns filtre by the Appellant they into declared their income for the come as: Rz. 17,84,225/- will lie view to evade payment of senado tax and short past sonico tax. to the tane of Ks. 55 2849. That the services of site formation & plearance, expanation and earlianceing & demolition provided in the ocurron at construction of constitution from a large induction in the scape of the exstantle Natification No. 17/2005/ST, dla 97-03/2005. heree lights to early on tax and the more, the Appellant had not paid service tax of Fig 8,62,484/1 for such services provided by II e Appeliant to M/s. TIPL. thus there was triple them-paymentines -payment of service text of Rs.7.17,7287, by the Appellant during 2010 41 to 2013-14, which was shoot taxentlen of the provisions of Section 68. of the Act read with Bule Is at the Service Tax Bules (1914 (1)the Bules ); that the Appellant had filed only three pervice tax returns and that too with the wrong details. and entropy they had committed an effection forms of Section 70 of the Act, that the waé found as appropriéte base for invoking the provisions of the Proviso to Section. 73(1) of and Action demanding the short percent on the environity, with interest at the rate applicable under Section 75 of the Appliful First regard, therefore, a Show Causa Nesce did.12.04.2018 was issued to the Appellant proposing therein the so gapt  $\epsilon$  y iincovery of solution at Rs. 7,27,7284 , index Pot Map to shell  $p_1,78(1)$  of the Apt along  $\pi$ with interest under Section 75 of the Act, penalty under Section 75 of the Agt 644. Section 77/2; of the Actual
- 1.5 In Reply to the SCN did.12.342316, the Apparant vigo their letter did 25.05.2013 submitted as foliable:
- (at As regards the construction services provided by their to M/s, CC, it was strong that their may bused aix BA Bue for Diy Duck project for Rs.1.40.98,525% against fills, Diey becomed consideration of Rs.15,03,75%. Thus, the Service for hability worked out to do Hs.80,800% after availability the Illueshold exemption limit or Rs.10,00,000% and thereby short was no case to wholler at less liability of Rs.20,234%.
- (b) As regards the earlies provided by them to Mo. TIPL. for the Poentiar Knikhara Canal (Muec) work, hence the same were describble under Sortion 88(87a) of the



Abl, which service is exempted from the ee vice tax. Thus, there was no evasion of service tax and no case for imposing admatty opithers,

- 1.8. The Appollants were also granted personal ligating and then after the Green came to be passed by the adjudicating authority. The adjudicating surfacely found that the Appellant had raised the invoices on Mis. CG for proteing services in relation to Dry Drick Honest charuling service tax ignors of the hithdia mount and as per the larger of Mis. CC, which was produced during statement did.00.03.2015, the Appellant that received Rs.20.21,03t/ from Mis. CC, whoreas it has been argued by the Appellant that they had received coly Fis.15,81,700A. However, it is apparent or examination of Crein secource that the Appellant out not consider the TDS amount beducted by Mis. CC. Thus, the adjudicating authority has found that the services provided by that the May CC has been conecuty calculated.
- 1.7. As regards the services provided by the Appellary to M/s, M+1 , r was : found by the adjudicating authority that MA: TIPI, were awarded. Project of Construction of Habilitar-Kolikhada screading channel by the wrate Government,  $M_{
  m SC}$ TIPL had sub-contracted by related expansion work to the Appellant. The someon provided by the Appellant in this regard worm sagavation in Sui/SR/HP/in all types of strate is approximately the taxable services of Site Formation and Clearation, Exceveluri and Earthmoving and Bornoldian and such other strategy solvities", which is classificate ender erationle Section 65(105) (azzo) of the Act. The enstwhile Notification No. 17/2005 30 1 dtn 07/06/2005 exempted service vax on such services. provided to any other person in the course of construction of made largonal milways, transport forminals, bridges, fungels, dame, porte or other porte, but here such services i have been provided in the course of construction of channel and gang, which are not exempted under the sold No. Idalian, Further to this, as clarified by the GREC vide. Circular No. 138/76/011-641 dld 05.05.2011 and No. 147/16/2011-ST, c./J.21.10.2011, the sub-contractor is essentially a provident taxable service and the services provided. by them are in the nature of input services and if the sub-contractors are providing. warks contract service to the main contractor for completion of the main contact, which is exampted works contract service, then early set tax to not teviable on the works. contract service provide by such sub-contractor, in the instant case, the maincontractor is MS . TIP1, who had provided the exemptod years contract service, but the services of merely excapation of sait/HF05F0sH types of strata provide by the Appellant, were not being in the nature of the exempted Works Coruses, service, but they are the taxable service of "Sile formalish and degrance expansion and egrannoving said demoition), which is classifiable under distrible Bestion. გნექტულიე of the Ant. tiable to service tax under Section 56 of the Acr. Accordingly... if was indicalled the service last of R3 6/62/4344 normanced in sespect of such sorvice. ję gymectly beyebts twithe Appellant.

Service Prope

- the adjudicating surnority had thereby confirmed the demand of Rs Y 17,7297 under proyental Section 72(1) of the Act with interest on the same interins of Section on of the Act wild else macsod panalty of Re.7,17,7287- on the Apparatumder Section 78(1) of the Act, providing them the option of rocuron panalty. Penalty of Rs.10,0407- was also independ on the Apparatumenter Section 77(2) of the Act paratum under Section 77(2).
- 1.9. Being agentived by the OIO dld.22.03.2017, the Appellant has Theoline present appeal, mainly containing the following grounds:
- (i) The adjudicating authority had not an all dealt with the niess made in written really a the SCA, while passing like in pagined order and thus, it is a non-speaking order and non-sessoned order.
- (ii) The Ri (lings make by the adjudicating authority were without  $\phi$ ); slowing the reply to the SCN filed by their land passed the impagage order in rancharinal manner.
- (ii) Max. THE word awarded Work Order No. A8-21 and ax8448, dtd 15 09 2004 by the Government of Pagarat for construction of Pagarat Collideral Collideral Canal. Under the MQH and 15.09 2010. M/s. TIPL invarided the Appellant common of expandition of solideral Collideral Canal Consequence of Section 10 and Health moving and Demolition as defined vide Section 65(87a) of the Art, such solving word expluding the services provided to relation to infigation and coateralises development. So, the services provided to relation to infigation and coateralises development. So, the services provided by the Appellant may not considered as service provided under Section 65(100)9777a; or the Act, before excepted from the lavy of service tax. The adjudicating authority made reference to the CREC Circulars, or the Melicardaly evolved to discuss the nature of service being provided by them, which is by virue of celinical taxificant case of the Appellant.
- tiv). The Appellant had also relied upon the following case law:
  - (a) FTD Cementation India Etd. wis. CST, Mumba [2214(33) STR 1897 ) in Mumba()]
  - $eta(z) = \operatorname{Generics}(\operatorname{conv}(s-1))$  Cementation hadia  $\operatorname{He}_{\mathbb{C}}(2015(20)\operatorname{STR})$  who gets eta
- (v) The Appollant Inversest agreed with the findings of the adjudenting authority that the TDS deducted by Mis-GC were not contact as payment received by the Appellant.
- (v.) The applicacy may next as agreed by the Appellant was due to leak of knowledge and technical interpretation of the TDO deducted by the service respirant and there was no studyfide intertion to evade the payment of service rax of the contravene any provisions of the Act. Observice. There was no short payment of service tax on the services provided by the Appellant is M/s. OC. Since the services provided by the Appellant is M/s. OC. Since the services provided the service rate as a bound of the service rate and a supplier to be

1.

marconed in 8 f-3 returns and there were no suppression on their part, which may warrant levy of any panalty under Section 75 and 77(2) of the Act. For this, the Augeston sought numberly from the payment of genetic,

- (vii) The CSO die 22 03.2017 was received by the Appellant on 24.03.2017 and the appeal has been fired an 18.05.2017. While fring the appeal the Appellant representational that they had made pre-deposit of Re-52,850/ wide GAR-7 Challen CIN 609048117052017001112 die 17 08 2017 under Accounting Code 00440306.
- 1.10. The Central Roam of Eversoland Dusterns had vice Notice, on No. 26/2017-CEX (NO) obt.17.10.2017 read with Decids Order No. 05/2017-ST. dtd.16.11.2017 has appringe the undersigned as specified sufficiely under Section 35 of the Central Excise Act, 1944 for the purpose of passing precision the present aspeal.
- Accordingly, the Appetant ware granted apportunity of hearing or 30.01.2015, which was alterated by Shii Punit Projugati, Chartered According and Authorise representative of the Appetant During hearing, he reiterated insignantial in squear. The definition provided in Section 65 (105)(67a) has spectarely oscillated in services in raiston to agriculture, introducing watershed development and criting, repairing herovaling or associng of water sources of water bodies. However, their pleast were not let all considered during adjudication. Wills this econsission, he requested to drop the demand of section tax, interest and penaltys. He also represented that the maximum density which can be imposed under Section 78 of the Act is (48b), as the text has been contained beard on ledge 9 and recorded in books of accounts.
- 1.12. Midd letter and UADA 2016 the Appellant filed their higher without submission. In which they submitted that capy of the work order dtd.05.65.2303 assigned to Mis TIPL and the MCU dtd.01.71.2010 they had with Mis CC were already provided in appear.
- 1.13. Copy of the appeal memo was provided to the Assistant Commissioner. Service Tax Division, Hitavinagar vice letter do 28 09 2017, and they core also informed about the hearing schedule, but nothing has been received from them.

### 2.0. FINDINGS:

I have cerebity gono (sough the appeal papers placed before meland the commissions made by the Appellant during the proceedings, which took place before me. I find that the Appellant has made pre-deposit of etabli, 5501 vide SAR 7 (hattan CIN scans4911705201700112 did.17.05.2017, which is more than 7.5% or he amount of service texick Hs.7, 17,7284 confirmed in the impugned Order. Thus, find that there is substantial compliance to Section 35F (4 the Confirm Excise Act. 1944 read own Section 80 of the Appella.



- 2.2 Primatagid, I find that the points for determination in the present appoint in terms of Sort on 25A (4) of the Comra. Excise Act, 1944 mad with Section 88 of the Act, are the following:
  - (a) Whener there was shart payment of service fax in respect of isservices provided by appellant to MS. 1202
  - (b) Why ther the Appellant were entitled for exemption (Amis exists ax strespect of eervices they ned provided to MA . JPU in terms of exculsion clause provided in Section 65(974) of the AdC
  - (c) Whether the case law of (41) Commission India Ltd. What most by the Appellant's applicable in the present case of the Appellant's
  - (4) What should be the amount of service tax certains to de confirmed? Under which provisions of the Act such demand may be confirmed? Is there any case for levy of march under Section 75 of the Act on such confirmed command? Is there any case for imposing penalty on the Appollant under Section 78 of the Act and what should be the quart time? such penalty? Is there any case for imposing penalty on the Appollant under the Act and what should be the quart time? Section 77(2) of the Act and what should be quartum of et this penalty?
  - (b) What should be the order, which is just and proper in the portex; of the greates of appeal, submission made by the Appealant during hearing as well as by way of aeditional submission and mores withe case before me?
  - As regards the point (a), I and in an imposphed fact that at the polyage time, the Appellant were seeigned work contract by Mts. Chinag Construction, for Dry Jock Project. It is not being disputed that during the relovant time the service tax tability was to be considered on receipt basis (II 30 06 2011, even though anchood an attimesian from the Appellant tractite outstanding source (as tability of Re. 15,03.754 has been reflected as Senach Lax payable. In the Audited Financial report of the Appellant for EY 2010-11, being the amount of source tax charged but not received from the service recipion against the disc payment of Re. 1.37 32 2239. The Appellant assumption with the same and agreed about the short payment of service tax of Rs. 555.2844 on economic of mistake in calculating the TDS component. With this, I depote the poor, (a) in affirmation.
  - 2.4. Now coming to point (h). If the that the notice has proposed the domand of service factions the Appellant in respect of services of proposed the domand by canal property provided by them to M/s. TIPL, as a sub-combactor in terms of MOD dod.01.45.2010. In the casepory of "Sita formation and elemence, expandition and elementoring and demolicion" as defined under ensist the Section 65(47a) of the Att, which is classificate as "taxable so vice" under cratically Section 65(105)(222a) of the Att, for the an apparent fact that the Appellant has not charger, any service fact in their

ن فرگر محمد ا http://dea.dtd. 51.19.2010, 28.02.2014 and 21.00.2011 in imaged of those services, which they had previous during 2010-11, considering those services of the exempted. casegory. In the statement doc 08-08-2015 also, there is a confident answer here the Appellar Ulbal they were not required to charge sorvice tax on the sorvice in such category, which had been provided by their towards canal project of the Government. In the statement did:60.06.2016 , the Appentages wage pot crossed on this aspect. But In para SL(R), (R), (N) satisfy of the Notice, the Appellant were asked to electly on the expect alleging that orshabita Nobildation No. 17/2005-ST, did 07,03,2005 did not extend assingtion for the equipes, which were provided in the course of opportually in of canal. Further informing to the clarification provided by the CBEC vide Sirculate Na. 138/7/2011-5T, dtd:08:05:2011 and No. 147/186/01 [48]1 (ff6;21,10;20(1.5 arified that just because the main contractor is providing works contract service or exempted. Category, if would not automatically logistic the describation of service being provided by the sub-contractor to the contractor as Works contract sendoe and the dessification. would have to be independently come as per file rules and taxability would get decided. accordingly. In this contest, it was alleged in the Notice that the service arong provided by the Appellant were not of the category of works political) service in nature and apacats to opinio taxable service of "Sile formation and plearance, immovestice endearth moving and demailt on and such other similar activities" classifiable under Section. 80(195)(2229) of the AM, Lence the said services were finally to an raxio 0.0460erslwhite Section 38 of the Act in this respect, \$10 your mentioned by It e Appellant in their returns of aanéce tax about such service as Works contract sorvice and acquiry. eveniption, the clarifystical has been provided by the Appellant in their reply to me Notice Defore the adjudicating authority and also in the grounds of appeal piaced. before the in the present eppeal (list Section 65(105)(xzzz) of the Att allows the department is consider the services provided or to be provided to any person, by any person, it relation to site formation and elegrance, expansion and be thinmaph and cembilian and such coronsimitation militars on inexall elegated (but while defining the form of twic formation and descance, excession and eadminaking and complian earybes) vide Section 65(97a) of the  $\Lambda children provision has been made in explicit the <math>\gamma e$ screeces provided in relation to agriculture linigation, watershed pevalapment and childing, digging, recoving irranovating correstoring of water sources or water bodies. It is not disputed that the services were provided by the Aupedant to M/s ,  $\Pi/\Gamma_0 ss$   $\Pi sr$ 800-contractor for the main contract for Construction of Pachttar-Kolikhada Specating. Change, in this control, the senaces provided by them were not falling within the nationary of "toxable service" under the placelification of service provided vide Spation. 85(105)(zzzu) of the Acti as has been alleged in the notice are has to be gapside ref. as exempted service. So far the problems of the CBEC are concerned, the same arc not relevant when the services were not or "taxable category" within the meaning or Spottern 95(105)(2226) of the Aut. Apparently Not fuelian No. 17(2005 ST,  ${
m did}.07.03.2005$  did not provide exemption to the projects rate to be easily etc., as there. was no need of such exemption at all, in very stitle test that said services in refactor. Mill S

. жум 10 кд 13

to capal were not covered (interiore taxable caregory at all if healbean careexy spelled that their pleas in this regard remained unlikeled haford the adjudiceling authority and due to non-consideration on this expect the demand which has been confirmed required to be set aside. I accord my considered view on this aspect by tinding sign/ficers force in the averments made by the Apporant in this regard, the issue gating closed when the torin defined vida (section 35(97a) of the Act explicitly disaflow (quarkgo to the services provided in relation to Irrigation and wate short projects. There is no reason to differ with the pleas made by the Appoilant in life espect. Ending full justification in favour of the Appellant's submission on this aspect, ram to decide the point (b) also with fulfilleation.

- On point (c) , and the facis of the set (increase two is almost similar to the present case of the Appreliant. It was viewed by the CESTAT, WZB, Multibet in that case that the Appellant of the said case were repurred to construct diaphragm wall,  $g_{\rm COM}$  stat, and retention well will lepace into for guida bund in different sectors alongside the Western and Eastern Bank of Salasmed River in Ahmedahad in the instant case defore the the Appellant around populate to allege such shift work, building required to provide the services whoir the limited scape of "Excevetion to soft" AIHB1 and "Excavation in all type (\* strata), but apparently the corruptive specifically indicator; that those services were to be provided by the Appellant as sub-confract work. of Paster-Rolling Channel Enertary, WR Project, in this contest, incline to the view adopted by CEGAT in Para 12 of the Cross dld.22.07.2014 that'll the cay bisw like water only is already existing, what is being done is to identific the horizont too given In view of this position, we are of the view though the potality undertaken by the appoliants are covered by the mota defining but gets excluded due to the exclusion. clause, to with of this scatteria. The eclivity undertailed by the apportant out  $\eta \eta / \eta \phi t$ covered by the "Site temporary and elegranes, skeswellet, and earthquiring and demonstran pervises and exceedingly no service tox world he chargesides, which is squeliely applicable in the present case also in the the view expressed by the CESTAT has abon strongthened by rejection of appeal filed by the department against the said CESTA . Didor 4frt,22 37 2014 before Hata Suprage Court was dismissed on ments. In this coulest. I need to follow the jacicial discipling, which requires meno consider the said services provided by the Appellant cut of the net of the Havelble service) and accommody, my decision in respect of point (c) is at cormbolist on reliable. has been submitted by the Appel antinitals respect.
- As regards to point (c), I find II is undespired too, on record that the Appellant had short peld the service tax in respect or services they had provided to Wis ICC i/is per the SCN and DIO, the quartum of short paid scruige tax is round to be of Rs.(3),29%, with which the Appellant has also agreed. If therefore, upher: the Amount of continues demand of short paid service tax under Saction 73(1) of the Api hora Rs 55 2844 (Rupees Fifty Hive Thousand), wo floadron Ninely Foundary) towards ਸਿਲ services of Compressial and Industrial Construction ਰਗਾਹੰਦਰ provided ਨੂੰ। Mਨਿ. CC رماً فرحي منا أجريه

Phase 14 of an

classifiable under Section 65(105)(224) of the Act. The (emand has been raised by way of it volving the extended period of  $\theta$  years in light of the apparent ingradicul of suppression and mis-dadgration noticed on the part of the Appellant. It is argument of the Appellant first they failed to consider the amount of TDS deduction, which lead to the short payment of sendue textle not correct. In as much they are much aware audust the 103 provisions and can not escape to consider its insulication while country. service tax liability. Thus, the eforesaid demand of service tax of Re.55.294/- 1981/c bouphold under Section h0(1) of the  $\Delta m$  and the remaining amount of demand of service. lax of Rs.6 82 4247- has to be set aside allowing in favour of the Appellant the benafflat of exclusion deures provided in Section 65(97a) of the Act. Conscripting, the Appellants are trade to pay interest at applicable rate on the said amount of service. tax of Rs.55.264/- under Section 75 of the Act. The Appellant has dained that maximum emount of penalty which can be induced in terms of Section 78 of the Act. is @60% whereas on them penalty @100% has even mearnedly imposed ideacons. I do not find such plea backed by any legal gravision, honce into at the same. In terms i of Section 78 of the Ast, where any strictint of service tax short paid by reason of wiful. me-statement or suppression of table or in contravention of any of the previous of the Chapter Violithe Action of the rates made the concer with intent to ecade payment. of service tax, the penalty is also payable by such person which shall be equal to: handred percent of the smooth of such service lax. There is no exception of 50%. poneity in that case I modify the amount of pensity under Section 78:2; of the Actifion ( Rs.7.17.726/ fo Rs.5t.294/ (Budges Fifty Five Thousand Too Huntard Ningty Fouronly; I 6/1911 the Ontlings of the adjudiceting actionity that the Appelant had suppressed the value of raxable services mentioned in the 3.1--3 returns elect by them . from time to time, which resulted into short payment of solvice lexival intention to avace the payment of service tax. The said facts of short payment of service tax came. to the knowledge of the department only latter initiation of the inquiry against the Appellant I 6/80 fit dithat this is an appropriate case for imposing denally under Section . 77 of the Act for failure to correctly assess (pay service two due thereon and for failure) In the ratings of service less will, conject (His. is about the earliest randomed in terms of Section 70 of the Authead with Rule 7 of the Hollas. However, in the context of the position draw relances of the case, the case the said amount of penalty under Seption. r7 of the  $\Delta r^*$  from Ra110,0004 to Ra2,0004 (Rupees Two Thousand Only). Assorblingly. I decide the point (c) with such affirmation of pair at the damaint at  ${
m same}_{
m s}$  . bot interest and penalties and at the same time setting oxide remaining part of that demand of service tax, interest and paper; es-

2.7. At the conclusion of all the above and while rendering the decision of point (f), Tpaks the order for modification in the amount of confirmation of demand of service tax of from Rs.7,17,7297 to Rs.38284A (Brigods Hitty Hwo Thousand Two Hundred Ninety Hoor only) under Section 75(f) of the Act, with interest isolity at applicable rate mereor under Section 75 of the Act, with interest isolity at

حارب<u>ا</u>

exide the domain of service tax of RNLS.62,434.4 commod under impughed order implies Sentice, 73(1) of the Activity quasiting and safting as delifie consequent demand of interest, which was confirmed on add a mount of service tax under Section 75 of the Act, I resent for cook (Lation In the amount of penalty from 85 7, 17,726), under Section 73(1) of the Act to 8 to 264(1) (Rupees Firty Hist.) housand Two Hundrad Ninday Four child under Section 73(2) of the Act. I set seide the arcount of penalty of Radios, 457(1) imposed on the Apportant under Section 73(1) of the Act. As regards the imposition of penalty of Rs. 10,360(a), the Appartant under Section 77(2) of the Act, I mostly the said penalty amount from Rs. 10,360/160 Rs. 2,370(4) (Brunes Two Thousand Only) thater Section 77(2) of the Act, the Act, and shall safe address error if or constity of Rs.6 (200) imposed on the Appallant under Section 77(2) of the Act.

2.3. In above terms. I dispose the appearable way of allowing the appearable by the Appellant to the above extent by way of partial modification in the confirmed amount of short paid service tax, interest thereon and penalties.

(P. A. Vasave)
Cummissioner (Apocals)/
Cummissioner
Countries (Apocals)/
Cauth (Control Excise,
Kutch (Conditionar);

F. No. V2/174/BV7J2017

Date: 26,04,2013

### By H. P.A. D.

7Ľ,

Mis. Darshan Construction Cu. Tracen Complex, 3, Vibra Plat, Percandar-880575 Email: taxonpon@great.com

Copy ic:

Mis. Punit Projapall 8, Co., Charcost Accountables HRSC Titerium City Comre, Rear Sachin Towar, 100 J. Arrund Magan Read, Satellie, Annodahar - 880015 En all: punita@gmeit.com

### Copy to:

- 1. The Calef Centerission of CGST & D. Fal, Misnedebail Zone, Altinedahad,
- 2 The Commissioner, CSS 1 & C. ±κ , Rhavnayar
- 3 Lim Auditional Commissioner COST & D. Pyt/System), Buzanagar.
- 4 Jamil Con missioner (COST & C. SY Bhavnagar

....K Ward file.