

150



आयुक्त (अपील्स) का कार्यालय, केन्द्रीय वस्तु एवं सेवा कर और उत्पाद शुल्क:  
O/O THE COMMISSIONER (APPEALS), CENTRAL GST & EXCISE.



द्वितीय तल, जी एस टी भवन / 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, GST Bhavan,

रेस कोर्स रिंग रोड, / Race Course Ring Road,

राजकोट / Rajkot - 360 001

Tele Fax No. 0281 - 2477952/2441142

Email: cexappealsrajkot@gmail.com

रजिस्टर्ड डाक ए. डी. द्वारा :-

क	अपील / फाइल संख्या / Appeal / File No. V2/137 /RAJ/2017	मूल आदेश सं / O.I.O. No. 28/ST/REF/2017	दिनांक / Date 24-01-2017
---	---	---	--------------------------------

ख अपील आदेश संख्या (Order-In-Appeal No.):

**RAJ-EXCUS-000-APP-187-2017-18**

आदेश का दिनांक / Date of Order:	<b>18.01.2018</b>	जारी करने की तारीख / Date of issue:	<b>25.01.2018</b>
------------------------------------	-------------------	--	-------------------

Passed by **Dr. Balbir Singh, Additional Director General (Taxpayer Services), Ahmedabad Zonal Unit, Ahmedabad.**

अधिसूचना संख्या २६/२०१७-के.उ.शु. (एन.टी.) दिनांक १७.१०.२०१७ के साथ पठे बोर्ड ऑफिस आदेश सं. ०५/२०१७-एस.टी. दिनांक १६.११.२०१७ के अनुसरण में, डॉ. बलबीर सिंह, अपर महानिदेशक करदाता सेवाएँ, अहमदाबाद जोनल यूनिट को वित्त अधिनियम १९९४ की धारा ८५, केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम १९५४ की धारा ३५ के अंतर्गत दर्ज की गई अपीलों के सन्दर्भ में आदेश पारित करने के उद्देश्य से अपील प्राधिकारी के रूप में नियुक्त किया गया है.

In pursuance to Board's Notification No. 26/2017-C.Ex.(NT) dated 17.10.2017 read with Board's Order No. 05/2017-ST dated 16.11.2017, Dr. Balbir Singh, Additional Director General of Taxpayer Services, Ahmedabad Zonal Unit, Ahmedabad has been appointed as Appellate Authority for the purpose of passing orders in respect of appeals filed under Section 35 of Central Excise Act, 1944 and Section 85 of the Finance Act, 1994.

- ग अपर आयुक्त/ संयुक्त आयुक्त/ उपायुक्त/ सहायक आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क/ सेवाकर, राजकोट / जामनगर / गांधीधाम। द्वारा उपरलिखित जारी मूल आदेश से सृजित: /  
Arising out of above mentioned OIO issued by Additional/Joint/Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise / Service Tax, Rajkot / Jamnagar / Gandhidham :
- घ **अपीलकर्ता & प्रतिवादी का नाम एवं पता / Name & Address of the Appellants & Respondent :-**  
**M/s H P Rajyaguru, Shivam Arcade, 3rd Floor, Nirmala Convent School Road, ,Rajkot - 360 001,**

इस आदेश(अपील) से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति निम्नलिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी / प्राधिकरण के समक्ष अपील दायर कर सकता है।/  
Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate authority in the following way.

- (A) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35B के अंतर्गत एवं वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 के अंतर्गत निम्नलिखित जगह की जा सकती है। /  
Appeal to Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal under Section 35B of CEA, 1944 / Under Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994 an appeal lies to:-
- (i) वर्गीकरण मूल्यांकन से सम्बन्धित सभी मामले सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की विशेष पीठ, वेस्ट ब्लॉक नं 2, आर. के. पुरम, नई दिल्ली, को की जानी चाहिए। /  
The special bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal of West Block No. 2, R.K. Puram, New Delhi in all matters relating to classification and valuation.
- (ii) उपरोक्त परिच्छेद 1(a) में बताए गए अपीलों के अलावा शेष सभी अपीलों सीमा शुल्क, केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, , द्वितीय तल, बहुमाली भवन असावा अहमदाबाद- ३८००१६ को की जानी चाहिए। /

To the West regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Bhaumali Bhawan, Asarwa Ahmedabad-380016 in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para- 1(a) above

- 144
- (iii) अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष अपील प्रस्तुत करने के लिए केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001, के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए गये प्रपत्र EA-3 को चार प्रतियों में दर्ज किया जाना चाहिए। इनमें से कम से कम एक प्रति के साथ, जहां उत्पाद शुल्क की मांग, ब्याज की मांग और लगाया गया जुर्माना, रुपए 5 लाख या उससे कम, 5 लाख रुपए या 50 लाख रुपए तक अथवा 50 लाख रुपए से अधिक है तो क्रमशः 1,000/- रुपये, 5,000/- रुपये अथवा 10,000/- रुपये का निर्धारित जमा शुल्क की प्रति संलग्न करें। निर्धारित शुल्क का भुगतान, संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा के सहायक रजिस्टार के नाम से किसी भी सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के बैंक द्वारा जारी रेखांकित बैंक ड्राफ्ट द्वारा किया जाना चाहिए। संबंधित ड्राफ्ट का भुगतान, बैंक की उस शाखा में होना चाहिए जहां संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा स्थित है। स्थगन आदेश (स्टे ऑर्डर) के लिए आवेदन-पत्र के साथ 500/- रुपए का निर्धारित शुल्क जमा करना होगा।

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 / as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise (Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 1,000/- Rs.5000/-, Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty demand/interest/penalty/refund is upto 5 Lac., 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asst. Registrar of branch of any nominated public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominated public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated. Application made for grant of stay shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 500/-.

- (B) अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष अपील, वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86(1) के अंतर्गत सेवाकर नियमवाली, 1994, के नियम 9(1) के तहत निर्धारित प्रपत्र S.T.-5 में चार प्रतियों में की जा सकेगी एवं उसके साथ जिस आदेश के विरुद्ध अपील की गयी हो, उसकी प्रति साथ में संलग्न करें (उनमें से एक प्रति प्रमाणित होनी चाहिए) और इनमें से कम से कम एक प्रति के साथ, जहां सेवाकर की मांग, ब्याज की मांग और लगाया गया जुर्माना, रुपए 5 लाख या उससे कम, 5 लाख रुपए या 50 लाख रुपए तक अथवा 50 लाख रुपए से अधिक है तो क्रमशः 1,000/- रुपये, 5,000/- रुपये अथवा 10,000/- रुपये का निर्धारित जमा शुल्क की प्रति संलग्न करें। निर्धारित शुल्क का भुगतान, संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा के सहायक रजिस्टार के नाम से किसी भी सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के बैंक द्वारा जारी रेखांकित बैंक ड्राफ्ट द्वारा किया जाना चाहिए। संबंधित ड्राफ्ट का भुगतान, बैंक की उस शाखा में होना चाहिए जहां संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा स्थित है। स्थगन आदेश (स्टे ऑर्डर) के लिए आवेदन-पत्र के साथ 500/- रुपए का निर्धारित शुल्क जमा करना होगा।

The appeal under sub section (1) of Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994, to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in Form S.T.5 as prescribed under Rule 9(1) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994, and shall be accompanied by a copy of the order appealed against (one of which shall be certified copy) and should be accompanied by a fees of Rs. 1000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is Rs. 5 Lakhs or less, Rs.5000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than five lakhs but not exceeding Rs. Fifty Lakhs, Rs.10,000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than fifty Lakhs rupees, in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of the Assistant Registrar of the bench of nominated Public Sector Bank of the place where the bench of Tribunal is situated. / Application made for grant of stay shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.500/-.

- (i) वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 की उप-धाराओं (2) एवं (2A) के अंतर्गत दर्ज की गयी अपील, सेवाकर नियमवाली, 1994, के नियम 9(2) एवं 9(2A) के तहत निर्धारित प्रपत्र S.T.-7 में की जा सकेगी एवं उसके साथ आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अथवा आयुक्त (अपील), केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क द्वारा पारित आदेश की प्रतियों संलग्न करें (उनमें से एक प्रति प्रमाणित होनी चाहिए) और आयुक्त द्वारा सहायक आयुक्त अथवा उपायुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क/ सेवाकर, को अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को आवेदन दर्ज करने का निर्देश देने वाले आदेश की प्रति भी साथ में संलग्न करनी होगी।

The appeal under sub section (2) and (2A) of the section 86 the Finance Act 1994, shall be filed in For ST.7 as prescribed under Rule 9 (2) & 9(2A) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994 and shall be accompanied by a copy of order of Commissioner Central Excise or Commissioner, Central Excise (Appeals) (one of which shall be a certified copy) and copy of the order passed by the Commissioner authorizing the Assistant Commissioner or Deputy Commissioner of Central Excise/ Service Tax to file the appeal before the Appellate Tribunal.

- (ii) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय प्राधिकरण (सेस्टैट) के प्रति अपीलों के मामले में केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम 1944 की धारा 35एफ के अंतर्गत, जो की वित्तीय अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 83 के अंतर्गत सेवाकर को भी लागू की गई है, इस आदेश के प्रति अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में अपील करते समय उत्पाद शुल्क/सेवा कर मांग के 10 प्रतिशत (10%), जब मांग एवं जुर्माना विवादित है, या जुर्माना, जब केवल जुर्माना विवादित है, का भुगतान किया जाए, बशर्त कि इस धारा के अंतर्गत जमा कि जाने वाली अपेक्षित देय राशि दस करोड़ रुपए से अधिक न हो।

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर के अंतर्गत "मांग किए गए शुल्क" में निम्न शामिल है

- (i) धारा 11 डी के अंतर्गत रकम
- (ii) सेनवेट जमा की ली गई गलत राशि
- (iii) सेनवेट जमा नियमावली के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत देय रकम

- बशर्त यह कि इस धारा के प्रावधान वित्तीय (सं- 2) अधिनियम 2014 के आरंभ से पूर्व किसी अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष विवादाधीन स्थगन अर्जी एवं अपील को लागू नहीं होगा।

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, under Section 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944 which is also made applicable to Service Tax under Section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute, provided the amount of pre-deposit payable would be subject to a ceiling of Rs. 10 Crores,

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty Demanded" shall include :

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules

- provided further that the provisions of this Section shall not apply to the stay application and appeals pending before any appellate authority prior to the commencement of the Finance (No.2) Act, 2014.

(C) **भारत सरकार को पुनरीक्षण आवेदन :**

**Revision application to Government of India:**

इस आदेश की पुनरीक्षण याचिका निम्नलिखित मामलों में, केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 35EE के प्रथम परंतुक के अंतर्गत अवर सचिव, भारत सरकार, पुनरीक्षण आवेदन इकाई, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001, को किया जाना चाहिए। /

A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Government of India, Revision Application Unit, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35B ibid:

- (i) यदि माल के किसी नुकसान के मामले में, जहां नुकसान किसी माल को किसी कारखाने से भंडार गृह के पारगमन के दौरान या किसी अन्य कारखाने या फिर किसी एक भंडार गृह से दूसरे भंडार गृह पारगमन के दौरान, या किसी भंडार गृह में या भंडारण में माल के प्रसंस्करण के दौरान, किसी कारखाने या किसी भंडार गृह में माल के नुकसान के मामले में। /

In case of any loss of goods, where the loss occurs in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse

- (ii) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या क्षेत्र को निर्यात कर रहे माल के विनिर्माण में प्रयुक्त कच्चे माल पर भरी गई केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क के छुट (रिबेट) के मामले में, जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या क्षेत्र को निर्यात की गयी है। /

In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

- (iii) यदि उत्पाद शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर, नेपाल या भूटान को माल निर्यात किया गया है। /

In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

- (iv) सुनिश्चित उत्पाद के उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो ड्यूटी क्रेडिट इस अधिनियम एवं इसके विभिन्न प्रावधानों के तहत मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो आयुक्त (अपील) के द्वारा वित्त अधिनियम (नं. 2), 1998 की धारा 109 के द्वारा नियत की गई तारीख अथवा संभाव्यविधि पर या बाद में पारित किए गए हैं। /

Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec. 109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

- (v) उपरोक्त आवेदन की दो प्रतियां प्रपत्र संख्या EA-8 में, जो की केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001, के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट है, इस आदेश के संप्रेषण के 3 माह के अंतर्गत की जानी चाहिए। उपरोक्त आवेदन के साथ मूल आदेश व अपील आदेश की दो प्रतियां संलग्न की जानी चाहिए। साथ ही केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-EE के तहत निर्धारित शुल्क की अदायगी के साक्ष्य के तौर पर TR-6 की प्रति संलग्न की जानी चाहिए। /

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

- (vi) पुनरीक्षण आवेदन के साथ निम्नलिखित निर्धारित शुल्क की अदायगी की जानी चाहिए। /

जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रुपये या उससे कम हो तो रुपये 200/- का भुगतान किया जाए और यदि संलग्न रकम एक लाख रुपये से ज्यादा हो तो रुपये 1000 -/ का भुगतान किया जाए। /

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 200/- where the amount involved in Rupees One Lac or less and Rs. 1000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

- (D) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश है तो प्रत्येक मूल आदेश के लिए शुल्क का भुगतान, उपरोक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिये। इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी की लिखा पंटी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता है। / In case, if the order covers various numbers of order- in Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner, notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lakh fee of Rs. 100/- for each.

- (E) यथासंशोधित न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम, 1975, के अनुसूची-1 के अनुसार मूल आदेश एवं स्थगन आदेश की प्रति पर निर्धारित 6.50 रुपये का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकिट लगा होना चाहिए। /

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjudicating authority shall bear a court fee stamp of Rs. 6.50 as prescribed under Schedule-1 in terms of the Court Fee Act, 1975, as amended.

- (F) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्य विधि) नियमावली, 1982 में वर्णित एवं अन्य संबन्धित मामलों को सम्मिलित करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है। /

Attention is also invited to the rules covering these and other related matters contained in the Customs, Excise and Service Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

ORDER-IN-APPEAL

M/s. H.P Rajyaguru, Ambika Bhavan, Opp. GEB, Nana Mauve Main Road, Rajkot-360001 ( hereinafter referred to as "the appellant" ) registered with Service Tax Department vide STC No. AFBPR8480DSD001 has filed this appeal against OIO No. 28/ST/REF/2017 dated 24.01.2017 (hereinafter referred to as "the impugned order") passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Service Tax Division, Rajkot ( hereinafter referred to as " the adjudicating authority").

2. Briefly stated, the facts are that the appellant had filed a refund claim for Rs.9,35,004/- of service tax under Section 102 of the Finance Act, 1994 inserted vide Finance Act, 2016 for providing services in the nature of construction services, work contract services to government, local authorities etc, to the service receiver as a Sub-Contractor. These works were falling at Sr. No.12 of mega Exemption Notification No. 25/2012-ST dated 20.06.2012. However, the said exemption from payment of service tax was withdrawn on certain services vide Notification No. 06/2015-ST with effect from 01.04.2015. The appellant had paid the service tax on the activities carried out by them on or after 01.04.2015. However, the exemption withdrawn on certain activities mentioned above, had been restored vide Notification No. 09/2016-ST dated 01.03.2016. Accordingly, the refund was filed by the appellant for the service tax already paid on the aforesaid services during the period from 01.04.2015 to 29.02.2016. The adjudicating authority issued show cause notice F.No.V/18-168/ST/Ref/2016-17 dated 02.12.2016 proposing rejection of the refund claim for not submitting all relevant documents. This notice was adjudicated vide the impugned order, wherein the adjudicating authority rejected the refund of Rs. 9,35,004/- filed by the appellant.

3. Feeling aggrieved, the appellant had filed the appeal on the following grounds :

- that the adjudicating authority had erred in rejecting the claim of Refund of Service Tax of Rs. 9,35,004/-/- holding that being a Sub-Contractor they are not eligible to claim refund under Section 102 of the Finance Act, 1994;
- the service provided by the main contractor were exempt under clause 12 of mega exemption Notification no. 25/2012-ST dated 20.06.2012. Hence, works contract service provided by the appellant as a sub-contractor to another contractor are also exempt under clause 29(h) of mega exemption Notification no. 25/2012-ST dated 20.06.2012;
- that the harmonious reading of Notification No. 09/2016-ST dated 01.03.2016 and Section 102 inserted in the Finance Act, 1994, it can be concluded that the services provided to Government, which were made taxable w.e.f 01.04.2015 has been made exempt again with effect from the date if contract for such services were entered into before 01.03.2015 and on which appropriate stamp duty, where applicable has been paid before the date;
- that consequent to this, as per clause 29(h) of the Mega Exemption Notification no. 25/2012-ST dated 20.06.2012, the services provided as a sub-contractor to another contractor is also exempt with effect from that date;
- that Section 102 of the Finance Act, 1994 also provides for Refund of Service Tax, which was paid on services which became exempt as per clause 12 A of Mega Exemption Notification;
- That the refund claim is rejected on the ground that being a Sub-Contractor they were not eligible for claiming refund as per Section 102 of the Finance Act, 1994. However, the said reason was nowhere mentioned in the SCN;

*B. S. Attu*

4. Personal hearing was held on 12.01.2018, Shri Keyur Radia, C.A. appeared on behalf of the appellant and reiterated the submissions made in the appeal memorandum. He requested to sanction the refund alongwith interest.

5. The appeal was filed before the Commissioner (Appeals), Rajkot. The undersigned has been nominated as Commissioner (Appeals) / Appellate Authority as regards to the case of appellant vide Board's Circular No. 208/6/2017-Service Tax dated 17.10.2017 and Board's Order No. 05/2017-Service Tax dated 16.11.2017 issued by the Under Secretary (Service Tax), G.O.I, M.O.F, Deptt of Revenue, CBEC, Service Tax Wing.

6. I have carefully gone through the facts of case, the grounds mentioned in the appeals and the submissions made by the appellant. The question to be decided in the appeal is whether the appellant, being a Sub-Contractor of Main Contractor, who is providing Works Contract Services to the Government, is eligible for refund claim under Section 102 of the Finance Act, 1994.

7. I find that Section 102 is introduced by the Finance Act, 2016 to exempt certain categories of services provided to the Government with effect from 01.04.2015, which were earlier exempt by virtue of clause (a), (c) and (f) of Sr. No. 12 of Mega Exemption Notification No. 25/2-12-ST dated 20.06.2012, but have been made taxable w.e.f 01.04.2015. Thus retrospective exemption has been given for certain categories of services. Sub-Section (2) of Section 102 also enables refund for service tax which have been paid for the period from 01.04.2015 to 29.02.2016. I also find that by virtue of Sr. No. 29(h) of Mega Exemption Notification No. 25/2-12-ST dated 20.06.2012 services provided by sub-contractor is exempt when services are provided by them is by way of works contract to another contractor providing work contract services which are exempt. It is not in dispute that services provided by appellant is Works Contract Service and falling under Sr. No. 12 of Mega Exemption Notification and provided to another contractor who is providing Works Contract Services to Government which is exempt. Hence, as per Sr. No. 12 read with Sr. No. 29(h) of mega exemption notification services provided by the appellant was exempt upto 31.03.2015. It is also not in dispute that appellant has paid service tax for the period from 01.04.2015 to 29.02.2016.

8. Therefore, I find that upto 31.03.2015, as discussed above, Works Contracts Services provided by the sub-contractor and main contractor were exempt. From 01.04.2015 services provided by both categories of contractors became liable for service tax. In this situation when services provided to Government became retrospectively exempt, the benefit of exemption should also be available to sub-contractor. Ultimately, sub-contractor is providing services to Government only though main contractor and such services is meant for Government only. It is also pertinent to note that in Mega Exemption Notification clause 12A has been inserted w.e.f 01.03.2016 to retrospectively exempt services provided to Government and clause 29(h) is already there which provides exemption to sub-contractor. Thus, I find merit in the contention of appellant that, though they are sub-contractor, they are eligible for refund under Section 102 of the Finance Act, 1994. Accordingly, in my considered opinion, refund is to be granted to appellant as claimed by them.

9. I further find that by virtue of provisions of Section 102 of the Finance Act, 1994 read with clause 12A and 29(h) of the Mega Exemption Notification, services provided by appellant became exempt from 01.04.2015 and thus service tax paid by the appellant for the period from 01.04.2015 to 29.02.2016 is not at all payable. In this, service tax paid by the appellant becomes

*[Handwritten Signature]*

'Deposit' with the department and thus the same has to be refunded any way. In this count also, appellant is duly eligible for refund as claimed by them.

10. I also find force in the argument of the appellant that the refund is rejected by the adjudicating authority on the ground that the appellant being a sub-contractor, is not eligible to claim refund under Section 102 of the Finance Act, 1994, without mentioning the said reasons in show cause notice. Thus, refund claim is rejected travelling beyond the scope of show cause notice. Thus, on this legal ground also, I find that refund is to be granted to the appellant.

11. Further, rejection of refund also fails the test of equality since there may be cases of non-payment of service tax in the said category, on the date when retrospective exemption has been granted, which will automatically go in the favour of those assesseees who have not paid service tax and those will not pay since retrospective exemption has been granted.

12. Considering the above, I hold that the appellant is duly eligible for refund of Service Tax under Section 102 of the Finance Act as claimed by them and therefore I order the adjudicating authority to pay the refund as claimed by the appellant.

13. In view of above, the impugned order dated 24.01.2017, is set aside and appeal is allowed.

14. The appeal filed by the appellant stand disposed of in above terms.

  
(DR. BALBIR SINGH)

ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR GENERAL (DGTS),  
AZU, AHMEDABAD.

18/01/18  
F.No. V2/137/RAJ/2017

Date : .01.2018

BY RPAD.

To,  
M/sH.P.Rajaguru,  
Ambika Bhavan,  
Opp. GEB, Nana Mauve Main Road,  
Rajkot-360 001

Copy to :

1. The Chief Commissioner, CGST & Central Excise, Ahmedabad Zone.
2. The Commissioner, CGST & Central Excise, Rajkot.
3. Assistant Commissioner, Division-I, Rajkot.
4. The Jt/Addl Commissioner, Systems, CGST, Rajkot
5. Guard File.
6. P.A