



::आयुक्त (अपील्स) का कार्यालय, केन्द्रीय वस्तु एवं सेवा कर और उत्पाद शुल्क::  
O/O THE COMMISSIONER (APPEALS), CENTRAL GST & EXCISE,



द्वितीय तल, जी एस टी भवन / 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, GST Bhavan,  
रेस कोर्स रिंग रोड, / Race Course Ring Road,

राजकोट / Rajkot - 360 001

Tele Fax No. 0281 - 2477952/2441142

Email: cexappealsrajkot@gmail.com

रजिस्टर्ड ट्राक ए. डी. द्वारा :-

क अपील / फाइल संख्या /  
Appeal / File No.  
V2/54/GDM/2017

मूल आदेश सं /  
O.I.O. No.  
20/AC/Anjar/2016-17

दिनांक /  
Date  
08-03-2017

ख अपील आदेश संख्या (Order-In-Appeal No.):

**KCH-EXCUS-000-APP-205-2017-18**

आदेश का दिनांक /  
Date of Order: 20.03.2018

जारी करने की तारीख /  
Date of issue: 26.03.2018

26.03.2018

Passed by **Shri Lalit Prasad, Commissioner, Central Goods and Service Tax & Central Excise, Rajkot**

अधिसूचना संख्या २६/२०१७-के.उ.शु. (एन.टी.) दिनांक १७.१०.२०१७ के साथ पढ़े बोर्ड ऑफिस आदेश सं. ०५/२०१७-एस.टी. दिनांक १६.११.२०१७ के अनुसरण में, श्री ललित प्रसाद, आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय वस्तु एवं सेवा कर और उत्पाद शुल्क, राजकोट को वित्त अधिनियम १९९४ की धारा ८५, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम १९४४ की धारा ३५ के अंतर्गत दर्ज की गई अपीलों के सन्दर्भ में आदेश पारित करने के उद्देश्य से अपील प्राधिकारी के रूप में नियुक्त किया गया है।

In pursuance to Board's Notification No. 26/2017-C.Ex.(NT) dated 17.10.2017 read with Board's Order No. 05/2017-ST dated 16.11.2017, Shri Lalit Prasad, Commissioner, Central Goods and Service Tax & Central Excise, Rajkot has been appointed as Appellate Authority for the purpose of passing orders in respect of appeals filed under Section 35 of Central Excise Act, 1944 and Section 85 of the Finance Act, 1994.

ग अपर आयुक्त/ संयुक्त आयुक्त/ उपायुक्त/ सहायक आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क/ सेवाकर, राजकोट / जामनगर / गांधीधाम। द्वारा उपरलिखित जारी मूल आदेश से सृजित: /  
Arising out of above mentioned OIO issued by Additional/Joint/Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise / Service Tax, Rajkot / Jamnagar / Gandhidham :

घ **अपीलकर्ता & प्रतिवादी का नाम एवं पता / Name & Address of the Appellants & Respondent :-**  
**M/s Calyx Containers, Plot No. 221/222/234, GIDC Phase - I, Anjar Kutch**

इस आदेश(अपील) से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति निम्नलिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी / प्राधिकरण के समक्ष अपील दायर कर सकता है।/  
Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate authority in the following way.

(A) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35B के अंतर्गत एवं वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 के अंतर्गत निम्नलिखित जगह की जा सकती है।/  
Appeal to Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal under Section 35B of CEA, 1944 / Under Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994 an appeal lies to:-

(i) वर्गीकरण मूल्यांकन से सम्बन्धित सभी मामले सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की विशेष पीठ, वेस्ट ब्लॉक नं 2, आर. के. पुरम, नई दिल्ली, को की जानी चाहिए।/  
The special bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal of West Block No. 2, R.K. Puram, New Delhi in all matters relating to classification and valuation.

(ii) उपरोक्त परिच्छेद 1(a) में बताए गए अपीलों के अलावा शेष सभी अपीलें सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, , द्वितीय तल, बहुमाली भवन असावा अहमदाबाद- ३८००१६ को की जानी चाहिए।/  
Appeals other than as mentioned in para- 1(a) above to the West regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Bhaumali Bhawan, Asarwa Ahmedabad-380016 in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para- 1(a) above

To the West regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Bhaumali Bhawan, Asarwa Ahmedabad-380016 in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para- 1(a) above

- (iii) अपीलिय न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष अपील प्रस्तुत करने के लिए केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001, के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए गये प्रपत्र EA-3 को चार प्रतियों में दर्ज किया जाना चाहिए। इनमें से कम से कम एक प्रति के साथ, जहां उत्पाद शुल्क की मांग, ब्याज की मांग और लगाया गया जुर्माना, रुपए 5 लाख या उससे कम, 5 लाख रुपए या 50 लाख रुपए तक अथवा 50 लाख रुपए से अधिक है तो क्रमशः 1,000/- रुपये, 5,000/- रुपये अथवा 10,000/- रुपये का निर्धारित जमा शुल्क की प्रति संलग्न करें। निर्धारित शुल्क का भुगतान, संबंधित अपीलिय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा के सहायक रजिस्टार के नाम से किसी भी सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के बैंक द्वारा जारी रेखांकित बैंक ड्राफ्ट द्वारा किया जाना चाहिए। संबंधित ड्राफ्ट का भुगतान, बैंक की उस शाखा में होना चाहिए जहां संबंधित अपीलिय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा स्थित है। स्थगन आदेश (स्टे ऑर्डर) के लिए आवेदन-पत्र के साथ 500/- रुपए का निर्धारित शुल्क जमा करना होगा।

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 / as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise (Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 1,000/- Rs.5000/-, Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty demand/interest/penalty/refund is upto 5 Lac., 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asst. Registrar of branch of any nominated public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominated public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated. Application made for grant of stay shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 500/-.

- (B) नियमवाली, 1994, के नियम 9(1) के तहत निर्धारित प्रपत्र S.T.-5 में चार प्रतियों में की जा सकेगी एवं उसके साथ जिस आदेश के विरुद्ध अपील की गयी हो, उसकी प्रति साथ में संलग्न करें (उनमें से एक प्रति प्रमाणित होनी चाहिए) और इनमें से कम से कम एक प्रति के साथ, जहां सेवाकर की मांग, ब्याज की मांग और लगाया गया जुर्माना, रुपए 5 लाख या उससे कम, 5 लाख रुपए या 50 लाख रुपए तक अथवा 50 लाख रुपए से अधिक है तो क्रमशः 1,000/- रुपये, 5,000/- रुपये अथवा 10,000/- रुपये का निर्धारित जमा शुल्क की प्रति संलग्न करें। निर्धारित शुल्क का भुगतान, संबंधित अपीलिय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा के सहायक रजिस्टार के नाम से किसी भी सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र के बैंक द्वारा जारी रेखांकित बैंक ड्राफ्ट द्वारा किया जाना चाहिए। संबंधित ड्राफ्ट का भुगतान, बैंक की उस शाखा में होना चाहिए जहां संबंधित अपीलिय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा स्थित है। स्थगन आदेश (स्टे ऑर्डर) के लिए आवेदन-पत्र के साथ 500/- रुपए का निर्धारित शुल्क जमा करना होगा।

The appeal under sub section (1) of Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994, to the Appellate Tribunal Shall be filed in quadruplicate in Form S.T.5 as prescribed under Rule 9(1) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994, and shall be accompanied by a copy of the order appealed against (one of which shall be certified copy) and should be accompanied by a fees of Rs. 1000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied of Rs. 5 Lakhs or less, Rs.5000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than five lakhs but not exceeding Rs. Fifty Lakhs, Rs.10,000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than fifty Lakhs rupees, in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of the Assistant Registrar of the bench of nominated Public Sector Bank of the place where the bench of Tribunal is situated. / Application made for grant of stay shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.500/-.

- (i) वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 की उप-धाराओं (2) एवं (2A) के अंतर्गत दर्ज की गयी अपील, सेवाकर नियमवाली, 1994, के नियम 9(2) एवं 9(2A) के तहत निर्धारित प्रपत्र S.T.-7 में की जा सकेगी एवं उसके साथ आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अथवा आयुक्त (अपील), केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क द्वारा पारित आदेश की प्रतियां संलग्न करें (उनमें से एक प्रति प्रमाणित होनी चाहिए) और आयुक्त द्वारा सहायक आयुक्त अथवा उपायुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क/ सेवाकर, को अपीलिय न्यायाधिकरण को आवेदन दर्ज करने का निर्देश देने वाले आदेश की प्रति भी साथ में संलग्न करनी होगी। /

The appeal under sub section (2) and (2A) of the section 86 the Finance Act 1994, shall be filed in For ST.7 as prescribed under Rule 9 (2) & 9(2A) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994 and shall be accompanied by a copy of order of Commissioner Central Excise or Commissioner, Central Excise (Appeals) (one of which shall be a certified copy) and copy of the order passed by the Commissioner authorizing the Assistant Commissioner or Deputy Commissioner of Central Excise/ Service Tax to file the appeal before the Appellate Tribunal.

- (ii) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलिय प्राधिकरण (सेस्टैट) के प्रति अपीलों के मामले में केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम 1944 की धारा 35एफ के अंतर्गत, जो की वित्तीय अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 83 के अंतर्गत सेवाकर को भी लागू की गई है, इस आदेश के प्रति अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में अपील करते समय उत्पाद शुल्क/सेवा कर मांग के 10 प्रतिशत (10%), जब मांग एवं जुर्माना विवादित है, या जुर्माना, जब केवल जुर्माना विवादित है, का भुगतान किया जाए, बशर्त कि इस धारा के अंतर्गत जमा कि जाने वाली अपेक्षित देय राशि दस करोड़ रुपए से अधिक न हो।

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर के अंतर्गत "मांग किए गए शुल्क" में निम्न शामिल है

- (i) धारा 11 डी के अंतर्गत रकम
- (ii) सेनवेट जमा की ली गई गलत राशि
- (iii) सेनवेट जमा नियमावली के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत देय रकम

- बशर्त यह कि इस धारा के प्रावधान वित्तीय (सं. 2) अधिनियम 2014 के आरंभ से पूर्व किसी अपीलिय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष विचाराधीन स्थगन अर्जी एवं अपील को लागू नहीं होगा।

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, under Section 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944 which is also made applicable to Service Tax under Section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute, provided the amount of pre-deposit payable would be subject to a ceiling of Rs. 10 Crores,

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty Demanded" shall include :

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules

- provided further that the provisions of this Section shall not apply to the stay application and appeals pending before any appellate authority prior to the commencement of the Finance (No.2) Act, 2014.

(C) **भारत सरकार को पुनरीक्षण आवेदन :**

**Revision application to Government of India:**

इस आदेश की पुनरीक्षण याचिका निम्नलिखित मामलों में, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 35EE के प्रथम पैरालिफ के अंतर्गत अवर सचिव, भारत सरकार, पुनरीक्षण आवेदन इकाई, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001, को किया जाना चाहिए। /

A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Government of India, Revision Application Unit, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35B ibid:

- (i) यदि माल के किसी नुकसान के मामले में, जहां नुकसान किसी माल को किसी कारखाने से भंडार गृह के पारगमन के दौरान या किसी अन्य कारखाने या फिर किसी एक भंडार गृह से दूसरे भंडार गृह पारगमन के दौरान, या किसी भंडार गृह में या भंडारण में माल के प्रसंस्करण के दौरान, किसी कारखाने या किसी भंडार गृह में माल के नुकसान के मामले में। /

In case of any loss of goods, where the loss occurs in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse

- (ii) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या क्षेत्र को निर्यात कर रहे माल के विनिर्माण में प्रयुक्त कच्चे माल पर भरी गई केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क के छुट (रिबेट) के मामले में, जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या क्षेत्र को निर्यात की गयी है। /

In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of an excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

- (iii) यदि उत्पाद शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर, नेपाल या भूटान को माल निर्यात किया गया है। /  
In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

- (iv) सुनिश्चित उत्पाद के उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो इयूटी क्रेडिट इस अधिनियम एवं इसके विभिन्न प्रावधानों के तहत मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो आयुक्त (अपील) के द्वारा वित्त अधिनियम (नं. 2), 1998 की धारा 109 के द्वारा नियत की गई तारीख अथवा संभाव्यविधि पर या बाद में पारित किए गए हैं। /

Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec. 109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

- (v) उपरोक्त आवेदन की दो प्रतियां प्रपत्र संख्या EA-8 में, जो की केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001, के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट है, इस आदेश के संप्रेषण के 3 माह के अंतर्गत की जानी चाहिए। उपरोक्त आवेदन के साथ मूल आदेश व अपील आदेश की दो प्रतियां संलग्न की जानी चाहिए। साथ ही केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-EE के तहत निर्धारित शुल्क की अदायगी के साक्ष्य के तौर पर TR-6 की प्रति संलग्न की जानी चाहिए। /

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

- (vi) पुनरीक्षण आवेदन के साथ निम्नलिखित निर्धारित शुल्क की अदायगी की जानी चाहिए। /

जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रुपये या उससे कम हो तो रुपये 200/- का भुगतान किया जाए और यदि संलग्न रकम एक लाख रुपये से ज्यादा हो तो रुपये 1000 -/ का भुगतान किया जाए। /

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 200/- where the amount involved in Rupees One Lac or less and Rs. 1000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

- (D) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश है तो प्रत्येक मूल आदेश के लिए शुल्क का भुगतान, उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिये। इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी की लिखा पेढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता है। / In case, if the order covers various numbers of order- in Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner, notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lakh fee of Rs. 100/- for each.

- (E) यथासंशोधित न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम, 1975, के अनुसूची-1 के अनुसार मूल आदेश एवं स्थगन आदेश की प्रति पर निर्धारित 6.50 रुपये का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए। /

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjudicating authority shall bear a court fee stamp of Rs. 6.50 as prescribed under Schedule-1 in terms of the Court Fee Act, 1975, as amended.

- (F) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्य विधि) नियमावली, 1982 में वर्णित एवं अन्य संबन्धित मामलों को सम्मिलित करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है। /

Attention is also invited to the rules covering these and other related matters contained in the Customs, Excise and Service Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

- (G) उच्च अपीलीय प्राधिकारी को अपील दाखिल करने से संबंधित व्यापक, विस्तृत और नवीनतम प्रावधानों के लिए, अपीलार्थी विभागीय वेबसाइट [www.cbec.gov.in](http://www.cbec.gov.in) को देख सकते हैं। /

For the elaborate, detailed and latest provisions relating to filing of appeal to the higher appellate authority, the appellant may refer to the Departmental website [www.cbec.gov.in](http://www.cbec.gov.in)

**:: ORDER-IN-APPEAL ::**

Being aggrieved with the Order-in-Original No. 20/AC/Anjar/2016-17 dated 08-03-2017 (**hereinafter referred to as "the impugned order"**) passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise, Division-Anjar (**hereinafter referred to as "the Lower Adjudicating Authority"**) M/s. Calyx Containers, Plot No: 221/222/234, GIDC Phase-I, Anjar (Kutch) (**hereinafter referred to as "the appellants"**) have filed present appeal.

**2.1** The facts of the case are that the appellants are manufacturing PET bottles falling under CETSH No. 3923 3090 and cleared the same upon payment of Central Excise duty at appropriate rate. They also avail CENVAT credit on inputs, capital goods and input services under CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004. Upon scrutiny of ER-1 returns for the period from July, 2014 to December, 2015 and from the information submitted by the appellant, it was noticed that they were manufacturing PET Bottles and also engaged in trading of caps/closures.

**2.2** As per explanation to Rule 2(e) of the CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004, trading activities are exempted services, therefore it appeared that the appellants were engaged in manufacturing of dutiable goods as well as in providing exempted services. It was found that they were availing CENVAT credit on common inputs therefore, they were required to either maintain separate accounts as stipulated under Rule 6(2) *ibid* or to comply with the provisions of Rule 6(3) *ibid*. However, it was observed that the appellants did not maintain any separate accounts for utilization of CENVAT credit in manufacture of dutiable goods and providing exempted service i.e. trading of caps/closures. Since they failed to observe conditions prescribed under Rule 6(3) of the Rules, therefore, they were required to reverse/pay an amount equal to 6% of the value of exempted services provided by them during the period from April, 2014 to December, 2015, as provided under Rule 6(3)(i) of the Rules.

**3.** The above observations culminated into issuance of Show Cause Notice dated 05-08-2016 wherein it was proposed to recover an amount of Rs. 8,510/- under Rule 6(3)(i) of the CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004 read with Rule 14 *ibid* along with interest. Further, it was also proposed to impose penalty on them under Rule 15 *ibid*.



-5-

4. The said Show Cause Notice was adjudicated by the Lower Adjudicating Authority vide his impugned order wherein he held that the appellants were engaged in trading of the "caps and closures", which is an exempted activity under CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004 and they have neither maintained separate accounts as required under Rule 6(2) of CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004 nor have filed any declaration under Rule 6(3A) *ibid*, therefore they were required to pay an amount under Rule 6(3)(i) *ibid*. He ordered for recovery of amount of Rs. 8,510/- under Rule 14 of the CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004 by invoking the suppression clause and also imposed equivalent penalty on the appellants under Rule 15 *ibid* read with Section 11AC of the Central excise Act, 1944.

5. Being aggrieved with the impugned order, the appellant in their present appeal have submitted that this is a periodical Show Cause Notice and the earlier order on the same issue has been decided vide **Order-in-Appeal No: KCH-EXCUS-000-APP-075 to 078-16-17 dated 22-03-2017**, wherein it has been held that the caps and closures meant for bottles are composite part of a container and by showing bifurcated value in the invoices does not alter the facts of the case to add that they are engaged in trading activity and caps/closures are not parts of the bottle to keep it out of the purview of "inputs". Therefore the caps and closures were cleared upon payment of duty and thus there was no trading activity and there exists no reason for them to pay an amount under Rule 6(3) of the CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004. Since the demand under Rule 14 of the CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004, does not survive therefore interest on the same cannot be demanded and also no penalty can be imposed upon them under Rule 15 *ibid*.

6. The Central Board of Excise and Customs vide Notification No. 26/2017-Cx(NT) dated 17-10-2017 read with Order No. 05/2017-Service Tax dated 16-11-2017, has appointed undersigned as Appellate Authority under Section 35 of Central Excise Act, 1944 for the purpose of passing orders in this appeal.

7. Accordingly, personal hearing in the matter was fixed on 23-01-2018 and in response the appellant vide their letter dated Nil received on 22-01-2018, submitted a copy of the Order-in-Appeal No. KCH-EXCUS-000-APP-075 to 078-16-17 dated 22-03-2017 and requested that the



matter be decided on its basis. However, personal hearing was held on 20-02-2018, which was attended by Shri Ghanshyam Sheth, Partner of the appellant firm during which he reiterated the submissions already made in their grounds of appeal.

**Discussions & Findings :**

**8.** I have carefully gone through the entire appeal memorandum and the submissions made orally by the authorized person of the appellant during the personal hearing held on 20-02-2018. I find that the appellant has deposited an amount of Rs.638/- vide Challan dated 14-04-2017 being 7.5% of the confirmed demand of Rs. 8,514/-. Thus, I find that there is sufficient compliance to provisions of Section 35F(i) of Central Excise Act, 1944 and accordingly, I proceed to decide the appeal.

**9.** I find that the whole issue has been generated from the act of the appellants wherein they have shown the prices of bottles and caps & closures separately. Therefore, as per department the appellants have traded caps and closures procured from other manufacturers and the trading activity is an exempted activity. Since it is exempted activity, no CENVAT credit of traded goods is admissible. However, as appellants had not maintained separate accounts and also not filed a declaration as required under CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004 therefore they were required to pay an amount equal to 6% of the value of exempted services as provided under Rule 6(3)(i) *ibid*. I also find that in this case, for demand under Rule 14 read with Rule 6(3)(i) *ibid*, suppression clause has been invoked and penalty under Rule 15 of the Rules, read with Section 11AC of the Central Excise Act, 1944 has been imposed.

**10.1** I find from the submissions in the Ground of Appeal filed by the appellant that they are manufacturer of PET bottles and procure Caps and Closures from other manufacturers on which they avail CENVAT credit, considering the same as their "inputs". They were showing PET bottles and caps & closures separately in the sale invoices. However, just by showing the value of the caps & closures separately in their invoice does not render the caps & closures outside from the purview of inputs since their function remains the same.



54

**10.2** In this regard, I find that the appellants were also served with another Show Cause Notice dated 08-08-2016, for the same period which is covered under present appeal, for demand of wrongly availed CENVAT credit of Central Excise duty paid on caps and closures not considering the same as their inputs. The said Show Cause Notice was adjudicated vide Order-in-Original No. 20/AC/Anjar/2016-17 dated 08-03-2017 wherein it has been held that the caps and closures cannot be termed as "inputs" since the value of the same was shown separately in their invoices. The appellants had filed Appeal No. 53/GDM/2017 against the said Order-in-Original and the same stands decided vide Order-in-Appeal dated 16-03-2018 by the present appellate authority, wherein it has been held that the caps and closures were their valid inputs and just by showing their value separately in the invoices does not lead to the conclusion that they are not valid inputs.

**10.3** I further find that identical issue for the earlier period was a matter of consideration before the then Commissioner (Appeals-III), Rajkot and vide **Order-in-Appeal No. KCH-EXCUS-000-APP-075 to 078-16-17 dated 22-03-2017** it has been held as under:

*"6. The first and foremost fact of the issue is that caps and PET bottles bought out from the market on which CENVAT credit has been taken are duly assessed to at the time of clearance and duty has been paid by the appellant. This fact is not disputed by the department. Further, it also put on record that PET bottles purchased from market are subjected to the process of de-gating, de-flashing, cap alignment fitting, finishing, etc. to make the product usable which again is not challenged. Secondly, **caps meant for bottles are composite part of a container which can not be denied. Therefore, showing bifurcated value in the invoice does not alter the facts of the case to hold that the appellants are engaged in trading activity and caps are not part of a Bottle to kept it out from purview of the "input"....***

.....  
.....

*In light of the above decisions, I hold that as much as the duty has been paid on the final products in which inputs was used and the quantum of duty paid on the final products is not less than the credit taken on the inputs, the whole exercise becomes revenue neutral and therefore no*



*purpose will be served in any of the action covered in the impugned four orders"*

**10.4** I find that the facts and circumstances of the case on hand and the earlier cases are same and identical. Therefore, I have no hesitation in holding that the caps and closures are composite part of the PET bottles cleared by the appellants, despite its value shown separately in the invoice as per general practice prevailing in the industry. Thus, they are valid inputs. Since the caps and closures are their valid inputs therefore they are not required to maintain separate accounts or filing declaration or paying an amount as envisaged under Rule 6(3)(i) of the CENVAT Credit Rules, 2004. Thus, in these circumstances demand under Rule 14 *ibid* of the amount prescribed under Rule 6(3)(i) *ibid* does not survive and therefore the question of interest under Rule 14 of the Rules and imposition of penalty under Rule 15 of the Rules read with Section 11AC of the Central Excise Act, 1944 also does not arise.

**11.** In view of the above discussions, I set aside the impugned order and allow the appeal filed by the appellant.

F. No. V.2/54/GDM/2017  
Place: Rajkot.  
Dated: 20-03-2018



**(LALIT PRASAD)**

COMMISSIONER, CGST & CEX, RAJKOT/  
COMMISSIONER (APPEALS),  
CGST & CEX, RAJKOT

**By Speed Post**

To,  
M/s. Calyx Containers,  
Plot No: 221/222/234,  
GIDC Phase -I,  
Anjar - 370 110  
Dist: Kutch.

**Copy to:**

- 1) The Chief Commissioner, GST & Central Excise, Ahmedabad Zone, Ahmedabad.
- 2) The Commissioner, GST & Central Excise, Kutch Commissionerate, Gandhidham.
- 3) The Commissioner, GST & Central Excise, Rajkot Commissionerate.
- 4) The Assistant Commissioner, GST & CEX, Anjar - Bhachau.
- 5) Guard File.