

# ::आयुक्त (अपील्स) का कार्यालय, केन्द्रीय वस्तु एवं सेवा कर और उत्पाद शुल्क:: O/O THE COMMISSIONER (APPEALS), CENTRAL GST & EXCISE,

दवितीय तल, जी एस टी भवन / 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, GST Bhavan, रेस कोर्स रिंग रोड, / Race Course Ring Road,



<u>राजकोट / Rajkot – 360 001</u>

Tele Fax No. 0281 - 2477952/2441142

Email: cexappealsrajkot@gmail.com

रजिस्टर्ड डाक ए. डी. दवारा :-अपील / फाइल संख्या / क Appeal / File No

V2/4/BVR/2017

मूल आदेश सं / O.LO. No

R/63/2016

दिनांक /

Date 30.11.2016

अपील आदेश संख्या (Order-In-Appeal No.):

## BHV-EXCUS-000-APP-066-2017-18

आदेश का दिनांक / Date of Order:

01.12.2017

जारी करने की तारीख/

Date of issue:

05.12.2017

कुमार संतोष, आयुक्त (अपील्स), राजकोट द्वारा पारित / Passed by Shri Kumar Santosh, Commissioner (Appeals), Rajkot

अपर आयुक्त/ संयुक्त आयुक्त/ उपायुक्त/ सहायक आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क/ सेवाकर, राजकोट / जामनगर / गांधीधाम। द्वारा उपरितिखित जारी ग मूल आदेश से सृजित: /

Arising out of above mentioned OIO issued by Additional/Joint/Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise / Service Tax, Rajkot / Jamnagar / Gandhidham :

अपीलकर्ता & प्रतिवादी का नाम एवं पता /Name&Address of the Appellants & Respondent :-E M/s Ahmed Overseas,, Shop No. 14, Opp. Police Station, Sukhnath Chowk,, Junagadh.

इस आदेश(अपील) से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति निम्नतिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी / प्राधिकरण के समक्ष अपील दायर कर सकता है।/ Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate authority in the following way.

- सीमा शुल्क ,केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम ,1944 की धारा 35B के अंतर्गत एवं वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 के अंतर्गत निम्नलिखित जगह की जा सकती है ।/ Appeal to Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal under Section 35B of CEA, 1944 / Under Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994 an appeal lies to:-
- वर्गीकरण मूल्यांकन से सम्बन्धित सभी मामले सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की विशेष पीठ, वेस्ट ब्लॉक नं 2. आर. के. पुरम, नई दिल्ली, को की जानी चाहिए ।/ (i) The special bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal of West Block No. 2, R.K. Puram, New Delhi in all matters relating to classification and valuation.
- उपरोक्त परिच्छेद 1(a) में बताए गए अपीलों के अलावा शेष सभी अपीलें सीमा शुल्क, केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, , द्वितीय तल, बहुमाली भवन असार्वा अहमदाबाद- ३८००१६ को की जानी चाहिए ।/ (ii)

To the West regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at, 2nd Floor, Bhaumali Bhawan, Asarwa Ahmedabad-380016 in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para- 1(a) above

अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष अपील प्रस्तुत करने के लिए केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001, के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए गये प्रपत्र EA-3 को चार प्रतियों में दर्ज किया जाना चाहिए । इनमें से कम से कम एक प्रति के साथ, जहां उत्पाद शुल्क की माँग ,व्याज की माँग और लगाया गया जुर्माना, रुपए 5 लाख या उससे कम, 5 लाख रुपए या 50 लाख रुपए तक अथवा 50 लाख रुपए से अधिक है तो क्रमश: 1,000/- रुपये, 5,000/- रुपये अथवा 10,000/- रुपये का निर्धारित जमा शुल्क की प्रति संलग्न करें। निर्धारित शुल्क का भुगतान, संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा के सहायक रिजस्टार के नाम से किसी भी सार्वजिनक क्षेत्र के बैंक द्वारा जारी रेखांकित बैंक इाफ्ट द्वारा किया जाना चाहिए। संबंधित इाफ्ट का भुगतान, बैंक की उस शाखा में होना चाहिए जहां संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा स्थित है। स्थेगन आदेश (स्टे ऑर्डर) के लिए आवेदन-पत्र के साथ 500/- रुपए का निर्धारित शुल्क जमा करना होगा।/

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 / as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise (Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 1,000/- Rs.5000/-, Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty demand/interest/penalty/refund is upto 5 Lac., 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asst. Registrar of branch of any nominated public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominated public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated. Application made for grant of stay shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 500/-.

अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष अपील, वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86(1) के अंतर्गत सेवाकर नियमवाली, 1994, के नियम 9(1) के तहत निर्धारित प्रपत्र S.T.-5 में चार प्रतियों में की जा सकेगी एवं उसके साथ जिस आदेश के विरुद्ध अपील की गयी हो, उसकी प्रति साथ में संलग्न करें (उनमें से एक प्रति प्रमाणित होनी चाहिए) और इनमें से कम से कम एक प्रति के साथ, जहां सेवाकर की माँग ,ब्याज की माँग और लगाया गया जुर्माना, रुपए 5 लाख या उससे कम, 5 लाख रुपए या 50 लाख रुपए तक अथवा 50 लाख रुपए से अधिक है तो क्रमश: 1,000/- रुपये, 5,000/- रुपये अथवा 10,000/- रुपये का निर्धारित जमा शुल्क की प्रति संलग्न करें। निर्धारित शुल्क का मुगतान, संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा के सहायक रजिस्टार के नाम से किसी भी सार्वजिनक क्षेत्र के बैंक द्वारा जारी रेखांकित बैंक ड्राफ्ट द्वारा किया जाना चाहिए । संबंधित ड्राफ्ट का भुगतान, बैंक की उस शाखा में होना चाहिए जहां संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा स्थित है । स्थगन आदेश (स्टे ऑर्डर) के लिए आवेदन-पत्र के साथ 500/- रुपए का निर्धारित शुल्क जमा करना होगा ।/ (B)

The appeal under sub section (1) of Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994, to the Appellate Tribunal Shall be filed in quadruplicate in Form S.T.5 as prescribed under Rule 9(1) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994, and Shall be accompanied by a copy of the order appealed against (one of which shall be certified copy) and should be accompanied by a fees of Rs. 1000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied of Rs. 5 Lakhs or less, Rs.5000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than five lakhs but not exceeding Rs. Fifty Lakhs, Rs.10,000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than fifty Lakhs rupees, in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of the Assistant Registrar of the bench of nominated Public Sector Bank of the place where the bench of Tribunal is situated. / Application made for grant of stay shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.500/-.

- 6
- (i) वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 की उप-धाराओं (2) एवं (2A) के अंतर्गत दर्ज की गयी अपील, सेवाकर नियमवाली, 1994, के नियम 9(2) एवं 9(2A) के तहत निर्धारित प्रपन्न S.T.-7 में की जा सकेगी एवं उसके साथ आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुक्क अथवा आयुक्त (अपील), केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुक्क द्वारा पारित आदेश की प्रतियाँ संलग्न करें (उनमें से एक प्रति प्रमाणित होनी घाहिए) और आयुक्त द्वारा सहायक आयुक्त अथवा उपायुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुक्क/ सेवाकर, को अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को आवेदन दर्ज करने का निर्देश देने वाले आदेश की प्रति भी साथ में संलग्न करनी होगी । / The appeal under sub section (2) and (2A) of the section 86 the Finance Act 1994, shall be filed in For ST.7 as prescribed under Rule 9 (2) & 9(2A) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994 and shall be accompanied by a copy of order of Commissioner Central Excise or Commissioner, Central Excise (Appeals) (one of which shall be a certified copy) and copy of the order passed by the Commissioner authorizing the Assistant Commissioner or Deputy Commissioner of Central Excise/ Service Tax to file the appeal before the Appellate Tribunal.
- (ii) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय प्राधिकरण (सेस्टेट) के प्रति अपीलों के मामले में केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम 1944 की धारा 35एफ के अंतर्गत, जो की वित्तीय अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 83 के अंतर्गत सेवाकर को भी लागू की गई है, इस आदेश के प्रति अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में अपील करते समय उत्पाद शुल्क/सेवा कर मांग के 10 प्रतिशत (10%), जब मांग एवं जुर्माना विवादित है, या जुर्माना, जब केवल जुर्माना विवादित है, का भुगतान किया जाए, बशर्त कि इस धारा के अंतर्गत जमा कि जाने वाली अपेक्षित देय राशि दस करोड़ रुपए से अधिक न हो।

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर के अंतर्गत "सांग किए गए शुल्क" मे निम्न शामिल है

(i) धारा 11 डी के अंतर्गत रकम

सेनवेट जमा की ली गई गलत राशि

(iii) सेनवेट जमा नियमावली के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत देय रकम

- बशर्ते यह कि इस धारा के प्रावधान वित्तीय (सं. 2) अधिनियम 2014 के आरंभ से पूर्व किसी अपीतीय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष विचाराधीन स्थगन अर्ज़ी एवं अपील को लागू नहीं होगे।/

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, under Section 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944 which is also made applicable to Service Tax under Section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute, provided the amount of pre-deposit payable would be subject to a ceiling of Rs. 10 Crores,

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty Demanded" shall include

(i) amount determined under Section 11 D;

(ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;

(iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules

- provided further that the provisions of this Section shall not apply to the stay application and appeals pending before any appellate authority prior to the commencement of the Finance (No.2) Act, 2014.

(C) भारत सरकार को पुनरीक्षण आवेदन :

Revision application to Government of India: इस आदेश की पुनरीक्षण याचिका निम्नतिखित मामलो में, केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुक्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 35EE के प्रथम परंतुक के अंतर्गत अवर राचिव, भारत सरकार, पुनरीक्षण आवेदन ईकाई, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001, को किया जाना चाहिए। /

A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Government of India, Revision Application Unit, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35B ibid:

- (i) यदि मात के किसी नुकसान के मामले में, जहां नुकसान किसी माल को किसी कारखाने से अंडार गृह के पारगमन के दौरान या किसी अन्य कारखाने या फिर किसी एक अंडार गृह से दूसरे अंडार गृह पारगमन के दौरान, या किसी अंडार गृह में या अंडारण में माल के प्रसंस्करण के दौरान, किसी कारखाने या किसी अंडार गृह में माल के नुकसान के मामले में।/
  In case of any loss of goods, where the loss occurs in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.
- (ii) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या क्षेत्र को निर्यात कर रहे माल के विनिर्माण में प्रयुक्त कच्चे माल पर भरी गई केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क के छुट (रिबेट) के सामले में, जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या क्षेत्र को निर्यात की गयी है। /
  In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- (iii) यदि उत्पाद शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर, नेपाल या भूटान को माल निर्यात किया गया है। / In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.
- (iv) सुनिश्चित उत्पाद के उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो इयूटी क्रेडीट इस अधिनियम एवं इसके विभिन्न प्रावधानों के तहत मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो आयुक्त (अपीत) के द्वारा वित्त अधिनियम (न- 2), 1998 की धारा 109 के द्वारा नियत की गई तारीख अथवा समायाविधि पर या बाद में पारित किए गए है।/
  Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec. 109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.
- (v) उपरोक्त आवेदन की दो प्रतियां प्रपन्न संख्या EA-8 में, जो की केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुक्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001, के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट है, इस आदेश के संप्रेषण के 3 माह के अंतर्गत की जानी चाहिए। उपरोक्त आवेदन के साथ मूल आदेश व अपील आदेश की दो प्रतियां संलग्न की जानी चाहिए। साथ ही केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुक्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-EE के तहत निर्धारित शुक्क की अदायगी के साक्ष्य के तौर पर TR-6 की प्रति संलग्न की जानी चाहिए। /
  The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.
- (vi) पुनरीक्षण आवेदन के साथ निम्नितिखित निर्धारित शुल्क की अदायगी की जानी चाहिए । जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/- का भुगतान किया जाए और यदि संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये से ज्यादा हो तो रूपये 1000 -/ का भुगतान किया जाए । The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 200/- where the amount involved in Rupees One Lac or less and Rs. 1000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.
- (D) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश है तो प्रत्येक मूल आदेश के लिए शुल्क का भुगतान, उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिये। इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी की लिखा पढ़ी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय नयाधिकरण को एक अपील या केंद्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता है। / In case, if the order covers various numbers of order- in Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner, not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lakh fee of Rs. 100/- for each.
- (E) यथासंशोधित न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम, 1975, के अनुसूची-। के अनुसार मूल आदेश एवं स्थगन आदेश की प्रति पर निर्धारित 6.50 रुपये का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकिट लगा होना चाहिए। /
  One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjudicating authority shall bear a court fee stamp of Rs. 6.50 as prescribed under Schedule-I in terms of the Court Fee Act,1975, as amended.
- (F) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्य विधि) नियमावली, 1982 में वर्णित एवं अन्य संबन्धित मामलों को सम्मिलित करने वाले नियमों की और भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है। /
  Attention is also invited to the rules covering these and other related matters contained in the Customs, Excise and Service Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.
- (G) उच्य अपीलीय प्राधिकारी को अपील दाखिल करने से संबंधित व्यापक, विस्तृत और नवीनतम प्रावधानों के लिए, अपीलार्थी विभागीय वेबसाइट www.cbec.gov.in को देख सकते हैं । /
  For the elaborate, detailed and latest provisions relating to filing of appeal to the higher appellate authority, the appellant may refer to the Departmental website www.cbec.gov.in

### :: ORDER IN APPEAL ::

M/s. Ahmed Overseas, Shop No. 14, Opp. Police Station, Sukhnath Chowk, Junagadh – 362 001 (hereinafter referred to as 'the appellant') has filed the present appeal against the Order-In-Original No. R/63/2016 dated 30.11.2016 (hereinafter referred to as "the impugned order") passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Service Tax Division, Bhavnagar (hereinafter referred to as "the sanctioning authority").

- 2. The facts of the case are that the appellant had filed refund claim of Rs. 3,55,976/- on 22,09,2016, under Notification No.41/2012-ST dated 29.06,2012, of service tax paid to various service providers for rendering taxable services in relation to export of goods for the period from October, 2015 to December, 2015. The query memo was issued by the department vide letter dated 07.10,2016 for submission of certificate of Chartered Accountant in respect of few shipping bills in view of Para 3(i) of the said Notification instead of general certificate of Chartered Accountant submitted by the appellant; that BRC amount was not matching with the shipping bill amount for few shipping bills; that Bank advice amount differed with shipping bill amount for few shipping bills and that the CHA mentioned in few Shipping Bills was different than the CHA service provider. The sanctioning authority granted refund of Rs. 1,68,160/- but rejected refund claim of Rs. 1,87,816/- (Rs. 1,63,317/- + Rs. 1,284/- + Rs. 21,265/- + Rs. 1,950).
- 3. Being aggrieved with the impugned order, the appellant preferred the present appeal, *interalia*, on the following grounds: -
- (i) The appellant submitted CA certificate as per format prescribed in Para 3(i) of Notification No. 41/2012-ST dated 29.06.2012 but even then the refund was denied as claimed.
- (ii) As regard to mis-match of BRC amount, the appellant submitted that on many occasions, the Foreign Banks as well as Indian Bank deducted their charges and credited account of appellant for the balance amount only.
- (iii) As regard to mis-match of Bank advice amount, the appellant submitted that their foreign customers had made payment in piecemeal and therefore, the Bank advice amount and Shipping Bill amount differed. The appellant submitted that they would submit Account ledgers of their foreign customers at the time of hearing, which would show that payment shown in payment advice is against the goods exported under respective shipping bills for which they claimed refund of service tax.

- (iv) As regard to name of CHA, the appellant submitted that one CHA availed the services of other CHA for providing service at port on behalf of them; that they have availed services of CHA for export of goods and service tax was paid to the service provider. The purpose of Government to introduce Service Tax refund under the said Notification is to remove burden of service tax from goods exported. It is a vowed policy of the Government not to export domestic taxes along with exported goods and to make such goods competitive in the foreign market. When exporter has paid service tax on input service used for export, he is eligible to get refund. The claim should not be rejected due to technical reasons when basic ingredients of payment of service tax by exporter on input service are fulfilled.
- 4. Personal hearing in the matter was attended to by Shri Chetan Dethariya, Chartered Accountant, who reiterated submissions made in the Grounds of Appeal and submitted that rejection of refund is not correct; that they have submitted CA certificate as per format now; that difference of Bank advice with that of shipping bill is due to payment received in instalment and not in one go; that difference of Rs. 1,284/- is due to Bank deducting their Bank Commission/charges; that refund of Rs. 1,950/- on account of CHA service is admissible as they have taken service of CHA duly authorized by the nominated/licensed CHA.

## FINDINGS:

- 5. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case, impugned order, appeal memorandum and written as well as oral submissions made by the appellant including at the time of personal hearing. The issue to be decided in the present case is as to whether the impugned order rejecting the refund of service tax filed under Notification No. 41/2012-ST dated 29.06.2012 is proper or otherwise.
- I find that the sanctioning authority has rejected refund of Rs. 1,284/- on 6. the ground that BRC amount was not matching with the Shipping Bill amount. The appellant has stated that such mis-match is due to deduction of Bank charges by foreign as well as Indian Bank. The rejection of refund of Rs. 21,265/- is on account of mis-match of Bank advice amount with Shipping Bill amount and the appellant submitted that such mis-match is due to payment made by their foreign buyers in piecemeal and on instalment basis. The rejection of refund of Rs. 1,950/- was because of name of CHA appearing in shipping bills is different from CHA who raised invoice for providing service, the appellant submitted that one CHA can avail the services

nominated/registered CHA for providing service at port on behalf of them and that they had availed services of nominated/registered CHA for export of goods and service tax was paid to the service provider. The appellant also submitted that they had submitted their contention before the sanctioning authority also but he discarded the submissions stating that the same was not satisfactory. I find that availment of taxable services for export of goods, payment of service tax by the appellant to the service providers and exportation of goods are not under dispute. The reasons for mis-match of amount stated by the appellant is found to be satisfactory. It is general trade practice that the nominated CHA authorizes another CHA to provide service on their behalf. Therefore, refund of service tax paid on taxable services used for export of goods cannot be denied for the above stated reasons. It is settled position of law that refund of service tax is an incentive granted by Central Government to promote export of goods. Hence, I hold that appellant is entitled for refund amount of Rs. 24,499/- and order that refund of Rs. 24,499/- to be granted to the appellant.

- 7. The sanctioning authority rejected refund of Rs. 1,63,317/- on the ground that the appellant had submitted general CA certificate but not submitted CA certificate in prescribed format in respect of shipping bills where claim amount was more than 0.50% of FOB value of the goods exported. The appellant has submitted requisite CA certificate along with appeal memorandum. However, these documents were not filed along with refund claim. Hence, details mentioned in CA certificate with the goods exported need to be verified by the present jurisdictional authority. I find case of refund of Rs. 1,63,317/-, a fit case to be remanded to the jurisdictional adjudicating authority, who shall co-relate the details mentioned in CA certificate with documents such as Invoices. Shipping Bills and Bills of Lading submitted by the appellant and shall pass speaking and reasoned order within 3 months after affording fair and reasonable opportunities to the appellant to explain their case. The appellant is directed to submit their written submissions and documents along with CA certificate within 30 days from the date of receipt of this order.
- 7.1 I find that Commissioner (Appeals) has inherent power to remand a case as decided by the Hon'ble CESTAT in the cases of CCE, Meerut Vs. Singh Alloys (P) Ltd. reported as 2012(284) ELT 97 (Tri-Del) and CCE, Meerut-II Vs. Honda Seil Power Products Ltd. reported as 2013 (287) ELT 353 (Tri-Del). The Hon'ble Gujarat High Court in Tax Appeal No. 276 of 2014 in respect of Associated Hotels Ltd. has also held that even after the amendment of Section 35A (3) of the Central Excise Act, 1944 after 11.05.2001, the Commissioner (Appeals) would retain the power to remand an appropriate case.



- अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है। 6.
- The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed off in above terms. 8.

(कुमार संतोष) आयुक्त (अपील्स)

# By Speed Post

M/s. Ahmed Overseas,	मे. अहमद ओवर्सीस,
Shop No. 14, Opp. Police Station,	शॉप न. १४, पुलिस स्टेशन के सामने,
Sukhnath Chowk,	सुखनाथ चौक,
Junagadh – 362 001	जूनागढ़ – ३६२ ००१

### Copy to:

- 1) The Chief Commissioner, GST & Central Excise, Ahmedabad Zone, Ahmedabad.
- 2) The Commissioner, GST & Central Excise, Bhavnagar Commissionerate,
- 3) The Assistant Commissioner, GST & Central Excise Division, Junagadh.
- 4) Guard File.