	8		A				
	0/0 THE COMMISSIONE द्वितीय तल, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुर रेस कोर्स रिंग रो	का कार्यालय,केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क:: R (APPEALS-III), CENTRAL EXCISE ल्क, भवन / 2 nd Floor, Central Excise, Bhavan, ोड,/ Race Course Ring Road, 7/ Rajkot- 360001	TAVRAT				
		2441142 Email cexappealsrajkot@gmail.	com				
<u>रजिस</u> क	टर्ड डाक ए. डी. द्वारा :- अपील / फाइल संख्या / Appeal / File No. V2/84 /BVR/2016	मूल आदेश सं / O.I.O. No. 22/Demand/15-16	दिनांक / Date 09.03.2016,				
ख	अपील आदेश संख्या (Order-In-Appeal N	No.):					
9		S-000-APP-003-2017-18					
	आदेश दिनांक/ 25.04.2017 Date of Order :	नगी करने की नागिए /	27.04.2017				
	श्री उमा शंकर, आयुक्त (अपील-III) द Passed by Shri Uma Shank	्वारा पारित / ær, Commissioner (Appeals-I	III)				
ग	आदेश से सृजितः /	त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क/ सेवाकर, राजकोट / जामनगर / ग y Additional/Joint/Deputy/Assistant Commissioner,					
घ	M/s. Kalpana Steel Works,	/ Name & Address of the Appella Old Bundar Road, Near Krishna Way	Bridge, Bhavnagar				
(A)	इस आदेश(अपील) से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति निम्नलिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी / प्राधिकरण के समक्ष अपील दायर कर सकता है।/ Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate authority in the following way. सीमा शुल्क ,केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम ,1944 की धारा 35B के अंतर्गत एवं वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 के अंतर्गत निम्नलिखित जगह की जा सकती है।/ Appeal to Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal under Section 35B of CEA, 1944 / Under Section 86 of the Finance						
(i)	Act, 1994 an appeal lies to:- वर्गीकरण मूल्यांकन से सम्बन्धित सभी मामले सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की विशेष पीठ, वेस्ट ब्लॉक नं 2, आर. के. पुरम, नई दिल्ली, को की जानी चाहिए ।/ The special bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal of West Block No. 2, R.K. Puram, New Delhi in all matters relating to classification and valuation.						
(ii)	पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, ओ-20, न्यू मेन्टल होस्पिटल कम्प To the West regional bench of Customs, Excis	ष सभी अपीलें सीमा शुल्क, केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाक ाउंड, मेघाणी नगर, अहमदाबाद-380016, को की जानी चाहि se & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) , in case of appeals other than as mentioned in p	हेए।/ at O-20, New Mental Hospital				
(iii)	प्रपत्र EA-3 को चार प्रतियों में दर्ज किया जॉना चाहिए । गया जुमोना, रुपए 5 लाख या उससे कम, 5 लाख रुपए रुपये अथवा 10,000/- रुपये का निर्धारित जमा शुल्क की सहायक रजिस्टार के नाम से किसी भी सार्वजिनक क्षेत्र के	लेए केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001, के नि इनमें से कम से कम एक प्रति के साथ, जहां उत्पाद शुल्क या 50 लाख रुपए तक अथवा 50 लाख रुपए से अधिक । प्रति सलग्न करें। निर्धारित शुल्क का भुगतान, संबंधित बैंक द्वारा जारी रेखांकित बैंक इाफ्ट द्वारा किया जाना चा याधिकरण की शाखा स्थित हैं। स्थगन आदेश (स्टे ऑर्डर	की मॉग ,ब्याज की मॉग और लगाया है तो क्रमश: 1,000/- रुपये, 5,000/- अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा के हिए । संबंधित दफ्ट का अगृतान बैंक				
	(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied Rs.5000/-, Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty de respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in	ed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 / as prescribed d against one which at least should be accomp mand/interest/penalty/refund is upto 5 Lac., 5 Lac i favour of Asst. Registrar of branch of any nomi sector bank of the place where the bench of the a fee of Rs. 500/	panied by a fee of Rs. 1,000/- c to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac inated public sector bank of the				
(B)	प्रपत्र S.T5 में चार प्रतियों में की जा सकेगी एव उसके स प्रमाणित होनी चाहिए) और इनमें से कम से कम एक प्रा	ते के साथ, जहां सेवाकर की मॉग ,ब्याज की मॉग और रु 50 लाख रुपए से अधिक है तो कमरा: 1,000/- रुपये, 5,0 5 का भुगतान, संबंधित अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण की शाखा वे . दवारा किया जाना चाहिए । संबंधित दाफ्ट का भगतान वै	साथ में संलग्न करें (उनमें से एक प्रति रगाया गया जुर्माना, रुपए 5 लाख या 00/- रुपये अथवा 10,000/- रुपये का के सहायक रजिस्टार के नाम से किसी कि की उस शाखा में दोना नाटी जुटा				
	The appeal under sub section (1) of Section 86 of in Form S.T.5 as prescribed under Rule 9(1) of the appealed against (one of which shall be certified of service tax & interest demanded & penalty li	the Service Tax Rules, 1994, and Shall be accom- copy) and should be accompanied by a fees of	mpanied by a copy of the order f Rs. 1000/- where the amount				

in Form S.T.5 as prescribed under Rule 9(1) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994, and Shall be accompanied by a copy of the order appealed against (one of which shall be certified copy) and should be accompanied by a fees of Rs. 1000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied of Rs. 5 Lakhs or less, Rs.5000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than five lakhs but not exceeding Rs. Fifty Lakhs, Rs.10,000/- where the amount of service tax & interest demanded & penalty levied is more than fity Lakhs rupees, in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of the Assistant Registrar of the bench of nominated Public Sector Bank of the place where the bench of Tribunal is situated. / Application made for grant of stay shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.500/-.

- वित्त अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 86 की उप-धाराओं (2) एवं (2A) के अंतर्गत दर्ज की गयी अपील, सेवाकर नियमवाली, 1994, के नियम 9(2) एवं 9(2A) के तहत निर्धारित प्रपत्र S.T.-7 में की जा सकेगी एवं उसके साथ आयुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अथवा आयुक्त (अपील), केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क दवारा पारित आदेश की प्रतियाँ संलग्न करें (उनमें से एक प्रति प्रमाणित होनी चाहिए) और आयुक्त द्वारा सहायक आयुक्त अथवा उपायुक्त, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क/ सेवाकर, को अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को आवेदन दर्ज करने का निर्देश देने वाले आदेश की प्रति भी साथ में सलग्न करनी होगी । / The appeal under sub section (2) and (2A) of the section 86 the Finance Act 1994, shall be filed in For ST.7 as prescribed under Rule 9 (2) & 9(2A) of the Service Tax Rules, 1994 and shall be accompanied by a copy of order of Commissioner Central Excise or Commissioner, Central Excise (Appeals) (one of which shall be a certified copy) and copy of the order passed by the Commissioner authorizing the Assistant Commissioner or Deputy Commissioner of Central Excise/ Service Tax to file the appeal before the Appellate Tribunal.
- सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय प्राधिकरण (सेस्टेट) के प्रति अपीलों के मामले में केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम 1944 की धारा 35एफ के अंतर्गत, जो की वित्तीय अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 83 के अंतर्गत सेवाकर को भी लागू की गई है, इस आदेश के प्रति अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में अपील करते समय उत्पाद शुल्क/सेवा कर मांग के 10 प्रतिशत (10%), जब मांग एवं जुर्माना विवादित है, या जुर्माना, जब केवल जुर्माना (ii) विवादित है, का भूगतान किया जाए, बशर्ते कि इस धारा के अंतर्गत जमा कि जाने वाली अपेक्षित देय राशि दस करोड़ रुपए से अधिक न हो।
 - केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर के अंतर्गत "मांग किए गए शुल्क" मे निम्न शामिल है
 - धारा 11 डी के अंतर्गत रकम (i)
 - सेनवेट जमा की ली गई गलत राशि (iii) सेनवेट जमा नियमावली के नियम 6 के अंतर्गत देय रकम (iii)
 - बशर्ते यह कि इस धारा के प्रावधान वित्तीय (सं. 2) अधिनियम 2014 के आरंभ से पूर्व किसी अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष विचाराधीन स्थगन अर्ज़ी एवं अपील को लागू नहीं होगे।/

For an appeal to be filed before the CES

TAT, under Section 35F of the Central Excise Act, 1944 which is also made applicable to Service Tax under Section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute, provided the amount of pre-deposit payable would be subject to a ceiling of Rs. 10 Crores,

- Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty Demanded" shall include :
- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken; (ii)
- amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules (iii)

- provided further that the provisions of this Section shall not apply to the stay application and appeals pending before any appellate authority prior to the commencement of the Finance (No.2) Act, 2014.

(C)

भारत सरकार को पुनरीक्षण आवेदन : Revision application to Government of India: इस आदेश की पुनरीक्षण याचिका निम्नलिखित मामलो में, केंद्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा 35EE के प्रथम परंतुक के अंतर्गत अवर सचित, भारत सरकार, पुनरीक्षण आवेदन ईकाई, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001, को किया जाना चाहिए। /

A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Government of India, Revision Application Unit, Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid:

- यदि माल के किसी नुकसान के मामले में, जहां नुकसान किसी माल को किसी कारखाने से भंडार गृह के पारंगमन के दौरान या किसी अन्य कारखाने या फिर किसी एक भंडार गृह से दूसरे भंडार गृह पारंगमन के दौरान, या किसी भंडार गृह में या भंडारण में माल के प्रसंस्करण के दौरान, किसी कारखाने या किसी भंडार गृह में माल के नुकसान के मामले में।/ (i) In case of any loss of goods, where the loss occurs in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse
- भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या क्षेत्र को निर्यात कर रहे माल के विनिर्माण में प्रयुक्त कच्चे माल पर भरी गई केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क के छुट (रिबेट) के मामले में, जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या क्षेत्र को निर्यात की गयी है। / (ii) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- यदि उत्पाद शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर, नेपाल या भूटान को माल निर्यात किया गया है। / In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty. (iii)
- सुनिश्चित उत्पाद के उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो इयूटी केडीट इस अधिनियम एवं इसके विभिन्न प्रावधानों के तहत मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो आयुक्त (अपील) के द्वारा वित्त अधिनियम (न- 2), 1998 की धारा 109 के द्वारा नियत की गई तारीख अथवा समायाविधि पर या बाद में पारित किए गए है।/ (iv) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec. 109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.
- उपरोक्त आवेदन की दो प्रतियां प्रपत्र संख्या EA-8 में, जो की केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001, के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट है, इस आदेश के संप्रेषण के 3 माह के अंतर्गत की जानी चाहिए । उपरोक्त आवेदन के साथ मूल आदेश व अपील आदेश की दो प्रतिया संलग्न की जानी चाहिए। साथ ही केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-EE के तहत निर्धारित शुल्क की अदायगी के साक्ष्य के तौर पर TR-6 की प्रति (v) संलग्न की जानी चाहिए। /

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

- पनरीक्षण आवेदन के साथ निम्नलिखित निर्धारित शल्क की अदायगी की जानी चाहिए । (vi) पुनर्धवान जायवा में सेव जिल्लासी विवास विकास के विकास के प्रायंत्र के मुगतान किया। जाए और यदि संलग्न रकम एक लोख रूपये से ज्यादा हो तो जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लोख रुपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/- का मुगतान किया। जाए और यदि संलग्न रकम एक लोख रूपये से ज्यादा हो तो रूपये 1000 -/ का भुगतान किया जाए । The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 200/- where the amount involved in Rupees One Lac or less and Rs. 1000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.
- यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश है तो प्रत्येक मूल आदेश के लिए शुल्क का भुगतान, उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिये। इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी की लिखा पढ़ी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय नयाधिकरण को एक अपील या केंद्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता है। / In case, if the order covers various numbers of order- in Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner, not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lakh fee of Rs. 100/- for each. (D)
- यथासंशोधित न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम, 1975. के अनुसूची-। के अनुसार मूल आदेश एवं स्थगन आदेश की प्रति पर निर्धारित 6.50 रुपये का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकिट लगा होना चाहिए। / (E) One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjudicating authority shall bear a court fee stamp of Rs. 6.50 as prescribed under Schedule-I in terms of the Court Fee Act, 1975, as amended.
- सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्य विधि) नियमावली, 1982 में वर्णित एवं अन्य संबन्धित मामलों को समिमलित करने वाले नियमों की और भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है। / Attention is also invited to the rules covering these and other related matters contained in the Customs, Excise and Service Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982. (F)
- उच्च अपीलीय प्राधिकारी को अपील दाखिल करने से संबंधित व्यापक, विस्तृत और नवीनतम पावधानों के लिए, अपीलार्थी विभागीय वेबसाइट (G) www.cbec.gov.in को देख सकते हैं । / For the elaborate, detailed and latest provisions relating to filing of appeal to the higher appellate authority, the appellant may refer to the Departmental website www.cbec.gov.in

:: ORDER ::

M/s Kalpana Steel Workds, old BunderRoad, Near Krishna way bridge, opp. Bocil, Bhavnagar (hereinafter referred to as 'appellant') has filed the present appeal against Order-In-Original No. 322/Demand/ 15-16 dated 09.03.2016 (hereinafter referred to as "impugned order") passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Central Excise, City Division, (hereinafter referred to as "adjudicating authority").

2. The facts of the case in brief are that the appellant are registered excise assessee and availing benefit of Notification No. 08/2003-CE dated 01.03.2003. During the course of Audit it was observed that the appellant has inputs in balance as on 31st March during the priod from 2010-11 to 2012-13 and they have to pay an amount equivalent to Cenvat Credit involved on the inputs as such lying in stock under Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004 (hereinafter referred to as "the Rules"). It was observed from the balance sheet of the appellant that the appellant were required to reverse/ pay the Cenvat credit contained in stock of goods on value declared in the balance sheet. The appellant was issued a show cause notice demanding total Cenvat credit/ an amount equivalent to the Cenvat credit of Rs.2,19,904/- under Section 11A of the Central Excise Act, 1944 (hereinafter referred to as "the act"). The matter was decided afresh by the adjudicating authority vide impugned order wherein adjudicating authority has confirmed the demand of Rs.1,05,815/- pertaining to the year 2010-11 and 2011-12 along with interest under the Act and penalty under Rule 15(2) of the Rules and dropped the demand of Rs.1,14,089/- pertaining to the period 2012-13.

3. Being aggrieved, the appellant has preferred the present appeal on the grounds that:

(i) That the demand has been made on the basis of the closing stock of the value declared in the balance sheet and

Page 3 of 8

an

adjudicating authority has not consider that the value shown in the balance sheet is pertaining to the purchase of non excisable goods only; that they have submitted copies of invoices alongwith the written submission dated 15.02.2015; that the adjudicating authority has not verified the said bills; that vide their letter dated 29.02.2016 had proved that the value of the goods was of Rs.8,70,550/- was nothing but the purchase of non excisable goods only; that they also proved that amount of Rs.8,57,114/- pertaining to the Financial year 2011-12 was the value of purchase of non excisable goods; that they have already paid the amount of Rs.37,571/- alongwith interest amount of Rs.16,898/- vide challan no. 60015 dated 30.09.2014; that adjudicating authority has not accepted the said payment without any sustainable ground; that the said payment was made in consultation with the range superintendent and it was declared in their reply dated 16.12.2015; that adjudicating authority was required to get verified such information from the concerned range superintendent.

(ii) That impugned order was passed without proper investigation of the case on the basis of audit report and non central excise records i.e. Daily Production Register, Register of receipt of Cenvatable inputs, ER-3 returns; that balance sheet is not the exclusive evidence to prove the charged of demand in the present case; that no effective findings have been given by the adjudicating authority.

(iii) That the demand does not falls under the purview of Section 11A but covered under the provisions of Rule 11(2) read with Rule 14 of the Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004 and hence the matter has been decided beyond the facts and circumstances of the case.

(iv) That there was no suppression as much as department was aware that they were availing SSI exemption and an amount of Rs.50,757/- and Rs.39,034/- was lapsed on account of cenvat credit allowed in respect of inputs lying in stock etc as on 31st March for the financial year 201011 and

Page 4 of 8



 \cap

2011-12 and also ER-3 returns were filed by them.

4. Personal hearing in the matter was held on 22.02.2017 which was attended by Shri N K Maru, Consultant, on behalf of the appellant. He reiterated the ground of the appeal and made written submission. He also submitted that benefit of payment made for FY 2011-12 was denied in OIO. In the written submission mainly contains summary of grounds of appeal and it is also vehemently contended that findings given at Para 13 of the impugned order is not proper and legal as much they have submitted thee purchase register only for purchase of Central Excise goods pertaining to the period from 23.01.2011 to 31.03.2011.

5 I have carefully gone through the facts of the case, impugned order, the grounds of appeals, and the submissions made during the personal hearing. I find that the crux of the matter is value of inputs lying in stock shown in the balance sheet and related documents/details to ascertain the reversal amount under Transitional provisions under Rule 11(2) of Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004. The appellant has categorically advanced the argument that the adjudicating authority has not considered their submissions to arrive at factual position. I find that the adjudicating authority has observed that on verification of computer generated purchase register (party-wise) for the period 23.01.2011 to 31.03.2011 he find that it did not reflects any purchase of non-excisable goods. Whereas, countering that, the appellant produced a hand written copy of purchase register alongwith copies of Invoices showing purchase of Non-excisable goods before me. Copy of register is reproduced below :-

Q0

23

.....

PARTY	DF.	Billind	w.t.	AMT
MMAR Nong- 87M.	241217.	204	944/4	4349.
במרולור בארב האבי אומי אומי	25/2177	2008	. بو	458%
win. F. with Ber.	2413172 -	2227	<1	3034
Elsente anoran & Son	29/2/98 .	VIT.	132	34444
When f. early 320	22/3/99 .	97153	820/2.	94699
नकाम स्थात यहने यहने भार	191349	9499	218	6979
min azing with with they	9113199.	9457	230	3520.
ann tells when artificial	1713/29	9473	244	urs7
कहारा स्ट्रील स्पोर राहितेया	1912129	9433	2<3	14932
with 8. 2010	2012(99.	2982	210.	vall?
अवाभ २२०११ स्तेष्ट पहिनेमन	PPILLE.	2768"	237	5837
warn sollin sayos such the	PPIEIT	1733	54<	30044
when of themen 23 cul.	912129	9999	33/2	2814
where 2210 222	1212199	دلاه٩	204/5	2053
272 22/21 2210	412129 .	334	9432 -	YKSKY.
why of early and	12199.	9000	600	3643
シュをションコ ママルシ.	2/2/99.	ددد	1438	50483
and a sola with the part	5 212129.	9360		1572
Laber & 22m	1219/29	499.	223/2.	ad
2471.8.2210	19/9/22	403	6/5	3789
	2012/189	37.5	ind	3377.
41412 237171	191919	227	2732 (40	928484
			22254 640	rares 1

1

The appellant has also clarified in their written submission made during the personal hearing that they have submitted the purchase register only for purchase of Central Excise goods pertaining to the period from 23.01.2011 to 31.03.2011 before the adjudicating authority as requested for. However, the same is not before me. Thus, I find that there appears mismatch in the communication, submission and production of documents. I further find that the adjudicating authority has inter-alia observed as under:-

> "The purchase register furnished by the noticee does not reflects any purchase of any non-excisable goods during the January-2011 to Mrch-2011 from those supplier, whose copies of invoices were furnished by the noticee in para supra during the said period. As there is no co-relation with

801

the copies of invoices of non-excisable purchase with the purchase register (party wise) is established I find that the noticee has suppressed the material fact from the department"

Thus, it transpires from the above facts that the matter is half cooked due to ambiguity in submission of documents before the adjudicating authority. I also, find force in the appellant's plea that balance sheet only can not be considered to arrive at the demand in the given circumstances. Thus, I find that the matter required to be looked into afresh to consider all the facts on records.

6. As regards details and payment made in respect of 2011-12, the adjudicating authority has rejected the submission of the appellant holding that payment was not genuine and authentic in absence of details of calculation from the appellant. I find that this could not be ground to reject the claim as much payment is not in question. Also, the appellant took a plea that the payment was made after discussion with the Range Superintendent and same was disclosed vide reply dated 16.12.2015. However, at the same time it is not forthcoming that whether any calculation and/or details were submitted before the adjudicating authority or otherwise. In this backdrop, I am of the view that rejecting a payment without factual verification will lead to injustice to the appellant at the same time appellant is required to furnish each and every piece of information and evidence in support of their claim. Therefore, in the fitment of the things I am of the view that the matter requires to be decided afresh.

7. In view of the above, I remand the matter back to the adjudicating authority who will examine all aspects with direction to come up with speaking order after considering all the evidence and facts on records in this regard. The appellant is directed to produce all the relevant/ necessary evidence, documents, clarification and calculations in support of their claim before the adjudicating authority

48

8. Accordingly, I set aside the impugned order and allow the appeal by way of remand to the adjudicating authority to decide the matter afresh.

९. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से

किया जाता है।

9. The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed off in above terms.

Shight

(उमा शंकर) आयुक्त (अपील्स - III)

By R.P.A.D. To, M/s Kalpana Steel Workds, old BunderRoad, Near Krishna way bridge, opp. Bocil, Bhavnagar

मेसर्स कल्पना स्टील वर्क्स ओल्ड बंदर रोड कृष्णा वे ब्रिज के नजदीक 'बोसिल' के सामने भावनगर

Copy to:

- 1. The Chief Commissioner, Central Excise, Ahmedabad.
- 2. The Commissioner, Customs and Central Excise, Bhavnagar.
- 3. The Assistant Commissioner, C.Excise City Division, Bhavnagar.
- 4. The Dy. Commissioner (Sys.), Central Excise, H.Q. Bhavnagar.
- 5. The Superintendent, AR-1, Bhavangar.
- 6. PA to Commissioner (Appeals- III), Central Excise, Ahmedabad.
- 7. Guard File.